

#### Arboricultural Survey - BS5837:2012

**Manor Coliving Limited** 

Colne Spring Villa Colney Heath Hertfordshire AL4 OPB 15 July 2024

Anthony Jones BSc (Hons) TechArborA



# Table of Contents

1	Introduction	
2	Survey	4
3	BS 5837:2012 - Scope	6
4	Methodology	6
5	Definitions	
6	Recommendations	9
7	Limitations	9
8	Appendices	10
Арр	pendix 1: Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment	11
Арр	pendix 2: Tree Schedule	
Арр	pendix 3: Tree Constraints Plan	29
9	Document Production Record	

## 1 Introduction

Arbtech Consulting Limited (Arbtech) received written instruction on 12 June 2024 from Manor Coliving Limited to attend Colne Spring Villa, Coursers Road, Colney Heath to undertake an arboricultural survey to BS5837:2012 guidance to assess trees, hedges and major shrub groups growing on and within influencing distance of the site and to produce a Schedule of trees and Tree Constraints Plan.

I am Anthony Jones, an Arboricultural Consultant for Arbtech Consulting Ltd. I have worked within the arboricultural industry for over 8 years, I have qualifications including a BSc Hons in Environmental Resource Management, Level 4 certificate in arboriculture, and a LANTRA professional tee inspection certificate. I am an ISA certified arborist and technician member of the Arboricultural Association.

The advice below and appended is underwritten by our Professional Indemnity insurance for the business practice of Arboricultural Consultancy in the sum of one million Pounds Sterling in each and every claim.

Table 1: Documents referred to.

Document	Reference No.
Survey base drawing	08224-001
British Standard 5837:2012	"BS5837"
Tree Survey Schedule	Arbtech TS 01
Tree Constraints Plan	Arbtech TCP 01

### 2 Survey

Survey: An arboricultural survey to BS5837 of all trees within impacting distance of the site was undertaken by Anthony Jones between 10 July 2024- 11 July 2024.

During the survey, I categorised the trees using "Table 1 – Cascade chart for tree quality assessment" of the BS5837:2012 (see Appendix 1).

A total of 74no. individual trees and 8no. groups of trees were surveyed. Details for each are provided in the Schedule of Trees (Appendix 2).

Multiple other small trees and shrubs occupy the site, none of which meet the minimum diameter requirements to be considered for this survey.

Table 2: Documents upon which this tree survey has been based.

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Survey base drawing	Ridgeway Surveys	08224-001	Topographical Survey

Limitations: The survey was made at ground level using visual observation only. Detailed examinations, such as climbing inspections and advanced decay detection equipment, were not employed, though may form part of the survey's management recommendations. Measurements were taken using specialist tapes, lasers, and GPS devices. Where this was not possible, measurements are estimated.

Scope: Pre-development tree surveys make arboricultural management recommendations based exclusively upon the individual tree or group of tree's condition relative to their present context (*i.e.* not in relation to the proposed development).

Legal Status: No statutory protection check has been performed. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the quality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.

Colne Spring Villa– Arbtech TSR 01

#### **Site Description**

The site is located in a rural area with plantation woodland and residential properties. To the east of the site is the river Colne.



Figure 1: OS Map showing the site location (Bing Maps).



Figure 2: Land Registry Plan, drawing number: 08224-00 (Ridgeway Surveys).

## 3 BS 5837:2012 - Scope

This standard recognises that there can be problems for development close to existing trees which are to be retained, and of planting trees close to existing structures. This standard sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to construction to form balanced judgements. It does not set out to put arguments for or against development or for the removal or retention of trees. Where development, including demolition, is to occur, the standard provides guidance on how to decide which trees are appropriate for retention, on the means of protecting these trees during development, including demolition work, and on the means of incorporating trees into the developed landscape.

## 4 Methodology

The methodology used to assess the trees was the British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Construction' tree survey method. The aim of the survey is to establish which trees are moderate and good quality, suitable for retention and justifying protection. And which trees are low or poor quality, either undesirable or unsuitable to retain and protect.

The tree survey includes all trees included in the land survey red line boundary plan, as well as any that may have been missed, and it should categorize trees or groups of trees, including woodlands, for their quality and value within the existing context in a transparent, understandable, and systematic way. Where the arboriculturist has deemed it appropriate, the trees have been tagged with small metal or plastic tags, placed as high as is convenient on the stem of each tree.

Whilst master plan proposals for the development of the site might be available, the trees have been surveyed without taking these into consideration. All detailed design work on site layout should take into consideration the results of the tree survey (and the TCP).

Trees forming groups and areas of woodland (including orchards, wood pasture and historic parkland) are identified and considered as groups where the arboriculturist has determined that this is appropriate, particularly where they contain a variety of species and age classes that could aid long-term management. It is often expedient to assess the quality and value of such groups of trees as a whole rather than as individuals. However, an assessment of individuals within any group has been undertaken if they are open-grown or if there is a need to differentiate between them.

The quality and value of each tree or group of trees have been recorded by allocating it to one of the four categories: A, B, C, or U (highest to lowest quality, respectively). The categories are differentiated on the tree survey plan by colour or by suffixing the category adjacent to the tree identification number on the TCP.

The survey schedule lists all the trees or groups of trees. The following information is also provided:

- a) reference number (to be recorded on the tree survey plan);
- b) species (common or scientific names);
- c) height in meters (m);
- d) stem diameter in millimetres (mm) at 1.5m above adjacent ground level or immediately above the root flare for multi-stemmed trees;
- e) branch spread in meters taken at the four cardinal compass points;
- f) height of crown clearance above adjacent ground level in meters (m);
- g) age class (newly planted, young, semi-mature, early mature, mature, over mature);
- h) physiological condition (e.g. good, fair, poor, decline and dead);
- i) structural condition (e.g. good, fair, poor or not visible);
- j) comment about the tree, its location and preliminary management recommendations, including further investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed assessment and potential for wildlife habitat;
- k) The retention category referring to the quality and useful contribution in years; U = <10yrs; A = >40yrs; B = >20yrs; C = >10yrs. The retention subcategory referring to the type of amenity; 1 = Arboricultural; 2 = Landscape; 3 = Cultural including conservation (see Appendix 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment).

# 5 Definitions

#### Arboriculturist

An arboriculturist (or arboricultural consultant) is a person who has, through relevant education, training, and experience, gained recognized qualifications and expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction.

#### Tree Survey

A tree survey should be undertaken by an arboriculturist and should record information about the trees on a site independently of and prior to any specific design for development. As a subsequent task, and with reference to a design or potential design, the results of the survey should be included in the preparation of a tree constraints plan, which should be used to assist with site layout design.

#### Tree Constraints Plan

A TCP is plan, typically delivered as an AutoCAD drawing (.DWG file format), prepared by an arboriculturist for the purposes of layout design showing the root protection area and representing the effect that the mature height and spread of retained trees will have on layouts through shade, dominance, etc.

#### **Root Protection Area**

An RPA is a layout design tool indicating the area surrounding a tree that contains sufficient rooting volume to ensure the survival of the tree, shown in plan form in m<sup>2</sup>.

#### Construction Exclusion Zone (also termed Tree Protection Zone)

A construction exclusion or tree protection zone is an area based on the RPA (in m<sup>2</sup>), identified by an arboriculturist, to be protected during development, including demolition and construction work, by the use of barriers and/or ground protection fit for purpose to ensure the successful long-term retention of a tree.

#### Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)

This is a study, undertaken by an arboriculturist, to identify, evaluate and possibly mitigate the extent of direct and indirect impacts on existing trees that may arise as a result of the implementation of any site layout proposal.

#### Tree Protection Plan (TPP)

A TPP is plan, typically delivered as an AutoCAD drawing (.DWG file format), prepared by an arboriculturist showing the finalized layout proposals, tree retention and tree and landscape protection measures detailed within the arboricultural method statement, which can be shown graphically.

#### Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)

This is a methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree. The AMS is likely to include details of an on-site tree protection monitoring regime.



## 6 Recommendations

With the benefit of making an assessment of your planning proposals, we make the following recommendation to ensure that there are no irrevocable issues to the proposed retained trees and so that no conditions relating to arboriculture are attached to any planning consent secured; obtain an arboricultural report to include:

- a) An arboricultural impact assessment (AIA).
- b) An arboricultural method statement (AMS).
- c) A tree protection plan drawing (TPP).

## 7 Limitations

Trees were inspected from using visual observation from ground level only. Trees were not climbed or inspected below ground level. Inaccessible trees will have best estimates made about the location, physical dimensions, and characteristics. Trees have been grouped where BS5837 guides us that it is expedient to do so. Trees have been excluded from the survey if they are found by us to be sufficiently far away from the proposed developable area or if they are outside of the red line boundary plan showing the expectations of our client for the extent of the survey. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because a detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the quality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.



## 8 Appendices

The following documents were released to the Client as appendices to this report:

- Survey Schedule (.PDF)
- Tree Constraints Plan drawing (.DWG & .PDF)

If you require clarification of the information contained herein, please do not hesitate to contact us via

Yours Sincerely,

Anthony Jones BSc (hons), Cert Arb Lv4 (ABC), TechArborA.

Arboricultural Consultant



# Appendix 1: Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment



Arbtech Consulting Limited is registered in England and Wales: 05678552. VAT: GB903660148

Colne Spring Villa– Arbtech TSR 01



#### BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations

Cascade chart for tree quality assessment - Table 1 - (reproduced with permission of BSI Global)

Category and Definition	c	riteria including sub-categories where appropria	te)	Identification on Plan						
Category U ( <i>Trees unsuitable for</i> <i>retention - See notes</i> ). Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.	<ul> <li>Trees that have serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning).</li> <li>Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline.</li> <li>Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low-quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality.</li> <li>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.</li> </ul>									
Trees considered for retention	1) Mainly arboricultural qualities	2) Mainly landscape qualities	<ol> <li>Mainly cultural values (including conservation)</li> </ol>							
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominate and/or principal trees within an avenue).	Trees, groups, or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features.	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood- pasture).	Light green						
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remedial defects, including unsympathetic management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention of beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category 'A' designation.	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.	Mid blue						
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape value.	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.	Grey						

Arbtech Consulting Limited is registered in England and Wales: 05678552. VAT: GB903660148

Colne Spring Villa– Arbtech TSR 01



# Appendix 2: Tree Schedule

Arbtech Consulting Limited is registered in England and Wales: 05678552. VAT: GB903660148

Colne Spring Villa- Arbtech TSR 01

BS5837:2012	2 Tree Survey
-------------	---------------

#### Arbtech Consulting Ltd

Client: Manor Coliving Limited

Project: Colne Spring Villa, Colney Heath, Hertfordshire, AL4 0PB

Survey Date: 09/07/2024 - 10/07/2024

Surveyor: Anthony Jones

ิก	arbtech
----	---------

#### 3 Well House Barns Chester Road Bretton Cheshire CH4 0DH Phone: 01244661170

Tree and Tag No			9	Stems		Crown				RP	Phys	Structural			Cat	
Species		Hght (m)	No	(n	Ø Spre nm) (m	ad )	Clear (m)	1	\ge	A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition	Conditio	al n		Survey Comment	ERC
G01			-												Estimated Me	asurements
A Group		21	1	480	) N	3	3	7	М	A: 104.2	Fair	C: Fair				<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					E	3	:	7		R: 5.75		S: Fair	-	Dlantati	ion crop of approx 100+ early mature - mature larch	20+ vrs
					S	3	:	7				B: Fair		and pin	he trees planted 2-3 m apart. A number of trees in	/
					W	3	1	7						group a estimat	are dead, declining or structurally failed. Measurements ted and indicative of largest individual tree in group.	
G02															Estimated Me	asurements
A Group		21	1	350	) N	3	3	8	М	A: 55.4	Fair	C: Fair				<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					E	3	:	8		R: 4.19		S: Fair	-	Group	of 14 early mature- mature larch plantation trees and 3	20+ vrs
					S	3	<b>i</b>	8				B: Fair		early m	nature oak trees located beyond fence line. Trees	
					W	3	<b>i</b>	8						planted	2-3 m apart. Minor root heave evident in 2 trees in	
														group. individu	Measurements estimated and indicative of largest al tree in group.	
G03															Estimated Me	asurements
A Group		19	1	500	) N	6	5	3	М	A: 113.1	Good	C: Good				<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					E	6	<b>i</b>	3		R: 6		S: Good	-	Group	of 6 trees located off the proposed site development	20+ vrs
					S	6	j	3				B: Good		Species	s consist of beech, larch and pine. Measurements	
					W	6		3						estimat	ted and indicative of largest individual tree in group.	
G04															Estimated Me	asurements
A Group		21	1	430	) N	3	3	9	М	A: 83.7	Fair	C: Fair				<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					E	3	•	9		R: 5.16		S: Fair	-	Group	of approx 50 early mature- mature larch and pine	20+ yrs
					S	3	•	9				B: Fair		plantati	ion trees. Trees planted 2-3 m apart. A number	,
					W	3		9						recently estimat	y failed and dead trees are within group. Measurements ted and indicative of largest individual tree in group.	
Age Classifications:	Ν	Newly plant	ted	EM	Early Mature			Со	nditi	on: C	Crown		S	tems:	Ø Diameter	
	Y	Young		М	Mature					S	Stem				(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 def	inition
	SM	Semi-matur	re	OM	Over Mature					В	Basal are	а		ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Dego 1										TreeN	linder				15	100 2024

Tree and Tag No			S	tems	0	row	'n		RP A (m2)	2) Phys	Structural		Preliminary Recommendations			
Species		(m)	No	Ø (mm)	Sprea ) (m)	d	Clear (m)	A	ge	A (m²) R (m)	Condition		Structural Condition		Survey Comment	ERC
G05															Estimated Mea	surements
A Group		21	1	450	Ν	3	9	Ν	4	A: 91.6	Good	C:	Good			<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					Е	3	9			R: 5.39		S:	Not visible	Dlanta	ation grop of approx 200+ largh and pipe trees planted	20+ vrs
					S	3	9					B:	Not visible	2-3 n	m apart with a number of self set birch and holly within	201 /10
					W	3	9							group Measu tree ir	p. Trees located off proposed site development. surements estimated and indicative of largest individual in group.	
G06															Estimated Mea	surements
A Group		20	1	420	Ν	3	7	Μ	4	A: 79.8	Fair	C:	Fair			<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					Е	3	7			R: 5.03		S:	Fair	Dlanta	ation crop of approx. 40+ garly mature - mature larch	20+ vrs
					S	3	7					B:	Fair	and p	pine trees planted 2- 3 m apart. A number of trees in	201 /10
					W	3	7							group Measu tree ir	p are dead, declining or have structurally failed. surements estimated and indicative of largest individual in group.	
G07															Estimated Meas	surements
A Group		18	1	370	Ν	4	3	Μ	4	A: 61.9	Good	C:	Good			<b>B.1.2</b>
See comments for details					E	4	3			R: 4.43		S:	Good	Groun	p of 3 trees located off proposed site development.	20+ yrs
					S	4	3					B:	Good	Specie	ies consist of larch, oak and hornbeam. Measurements	
					W	4	3							estima	nated and indicative of largest individual tree in group	
G08															Estimated Meas	surements
A Group		8	1	200	Ν	4	1	E	М	A: 18.1	Good	C:	Good			<b>B.2</b>
See comments for details					E	2	1			R: 2.4		S:	Good	Linear	ar group of 6 semi mature - early mature trees located off-	20+ yrs
					S	4	1					B:	Good	site o	on western boundary on road side verge. Species consist	
					W	4	1							of hav estima	wthorn, hornbeam, ash and hazel. Measurements nated and indicative of largest individual tree in group.	
T01																
European Larch		18	1	340	Ν	3	8	Ν	4	A: 52.3	Dead	C:	Poor			U
Larix decidua					E	3	8			R: 4.08		S:	Poor	Dead	l tree.	n/a
					S	2	8					B:	Poor			
					W	3	8									
Age Classifications:	Ν	Newly plante	ed	EM Ea	rly Mature			Con	ditic	on: C	Crown			Stems:	Ø Diameter	
	Y	Young		M Ma	ture					S	Stem				(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 defin	nition
	SM	Semi-matur	е	OM Ov	er Mature					В	Basal are	a		ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Dago 2										TrooM	lindor				15	10024

Tree and Tag No		Hght		Stems		Crown			RP A (m <sup>2</sup> )	2) Phys	s Structural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		ngnt (m)	No	Ø (m	) Spre m) (m	ead 1)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment ER	1C 2C
T02													
Common Oak		16	1	420	Ν	4.5	3.5	EM	A: 79.8	Good	C: Good	B.	.2
Quercus robur					Е	6	3		R: 5.03		S: Good	Tree located at site entrance next to access road. No notable 20+	vrs
					S	6	2.5				B: Good	features observed.	1.0
					W	5	1						
Т03													
Common Oak		18	1	570	Ν	5	0.5	EM	A: 147	Good	C: Good	В.	.2
Quercus robur					E	6	0.5		R: 6.84		S: Fair	Tree located onsite, 300 mm diameter Cambium/ bark damage 20+	yrs
					S	6	0.5				B: Good	on north side of main stem, 1 m from ground level.	
					W	5.5	0.5						
T04													
European Larch		18	1	230	Ν	1	7	EM	A: 23.9	Fair	C: Fair	C.	.2
Larix decidua					E	1	7		R: 2.75		S: Good	Tree located onsite within plantation group. Evidence of minor 10+	yrs
					S	1	7				B: Fair	root heave at base of tree. Sparse crown.	
					W	1.5	/						
Т05													
European Larch		18	1	230	Ν	1	7	EM	A: 23.9	Fair	C: Fair	С.	.2
Larix decidua					E	1	7		R: 2.75		S: Good	Tree located onsite within plantation group. Sparse crown. 10+	yrs
					S	1 5	/				B: Fair		
					vv	1.5	/						
Т06													
Common Oak		16	1	280	N	5	1	EM	A: 35.5	Good	C: Good	В.	.2
Quercus robur					E	2.5	4		R: 3.36		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No notable features 20+	yrs
					S W	4	4				B: G000	observed.	
						1.5	1						
Т07													
Common Oak		11	1	210	Ν	3.5	4	SM	A: 20	Good	C: Good	C.1	.2
Quercus robur					E	3.5	4		R: 2.52		S: Fair	Tree located within plantation group. Prolific epicormic growth 10+	yrs
					S	2.5	3				B: GOOD	around main stem up to 3 m.	
					vv	J.J	3						
		h	- 4	<b>FM</b> 7		_				0		0 Discussor	
Age Classifications: N	Vour	iy planto	ea		any Mature Vaturo	3	C	ondit	ion: C	Stom		Stems: Ø Diameter (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837-2012 definition	
SM	M Semi	i-matur	e	OM C	Over Mature	)			B	Basal area	а	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 3									TreeN	linder			2024

Tree and Tag No		Unite	S	tems	(	Crow	า		RP	Dhua	Structural	Proliminary Decommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	ø	Sprea	nd	Clear	Age	A (m2)	Phys Condition	Condition	Survey Comment ER	at RC
	_			(mm)	(m)		(m)		к (ш)				
T08													
Common Oak		8	1	150	Ν	2	3	SM	A: 10.2	Good	C: Good	C.1	1.2
Quercus robur					E	2.5	2		R: 1.8		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group 10 degree phototrophic 10+	yrs
					S	2	1.5				B: Good	lean to the south east.	
					W	2	1.5						
T09													
Common Oak		16	1	310	Ν	4.5	3	EM	A: 43.5	Good	C: Good	B.1	1.2
Quercus robur					Е	4	1		R: 3.72		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group 50, 100 mm diameter 20+	vrs
					S	6.5	2				B: Good	deadwood on west side of crown	y13
					W	6.5	2						
T10													
Scots Pine		20	1	470	Ν	3	15	М	A: 99.9	Good	C: Fair	B.1	1.2
Pinus sylvestris					Е	3	15		R: 5.63		S: Good	Tree leasted within plantation group 50, 100 mm diameter 201	vrc
					S	2.5	15				B: Good	deadwood throughout crown	yı S
					W	3.5	15						
T11													
Common Oak		18	1	310	Ν	4	0	EM	A: 43.5	Good	C: Good	B.1	1.2
Quercus robur					Е	5	0		R: 3.72		S: Good	Ture la stad within alantation annual Dawdon Mildow annual 201	VIC
					S	5	0				B: Fair	in lower crown	yı S
					W	5	0						
T12													
Common Oak		11	1	200	Ν	3	1.5	SM	A: 18.1	Good	C: Good	C.1	1.2
Quercus robur					E	2.5	2		R: 2.4		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No potable features 10+	vrs
					S	2	2				B: Good	observed.	·
					W	2.5	2						
T13													
Common Oak		16	1	250	Ν	3.5	1	SM	A: 28.3	Good	C: Good	B.1	1.2
Quercus robur					Е	4	2		R: 3		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No potable features 20+	vrs
					S	3.5	1.5				B: Good	observed.	/
					W	3.5	1						
Age Classifications:	N N	lewly plante	ed	EM Early	Mature		C	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
	Y Y	oung		M Matu	re				S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition	
	SM S	emi-matur	е	OM Over	Mature				В	Basal area	3	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 4									Tree	linder		15 July 2	2024

Tree and Tag No		Hght	S	tems		Crown			RP	Phys	s Structural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	ø	Sprea	ad	Clear	Age	A (m2)	Pnys Condition	Structural Condition	Survey Comment	
	_	,		(mm)	(m)	)	(m)		к (Ш)				
T14													
Common Oak		16	1	350	Ν	6	3	EM	A: 55.4	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Quercus robur					E	7.5	4		R: 4.19		S: Good	Tree located next to open garage and fence line boundary. No	20+ yrs
					S	5.5	3				B: Good	notable features observed.	
					W	5	2.5						
T15													
Common Holly		4.5	1	130	Ν	3	1.5	SM	A: 7.6	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
Ilex aquifolium					Е	3.5	1.5		R: 1.55		S: Good	Tree located port to open garage and fonce line boundary	10+ vrs
					S	3.5	1				B: Fair	100 mm Longitudinal bark/ cambium damage on north west	10 / 10
					W	2.5	1					side of basal area.	
T16													
Common Beech		17	1	560	Ν	5.5	1	EM	A: 141.9	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Fagus sylvatica					Е	6	1		R: 6.72		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group on fence line boundary	20+ vrs
					S	5.5	0.5				B: Good	100 mm Longitudinal cavity on south east side of main stem.	201 110
					W	6	0.5					0.5 m from ground level.	
T17													
Downy Birch		7	1	440	Ν	3	1	м	A: 87.6	Decline	C: Poor		U
Betula pubescens					Е	3	1		R: 5.28		S: Poor	Tree located on fonce line boundary. Bark percess and	<10 vrs
					S	3	1				B: Fair	significant 1 m Longitudinal decay on south east side of main	10 113
					W	3	1					stem. Historic pruning consistent with topping at 5 m from	
												ground level.	
T18													
European Larch		15	1	400	Ν	1	8	М	A: 72.4	Dead	C: Poor		U
Larix decidua					E	3	8		R: 4.8		S: Poor	Dead tree.	n/a
					S	1	8				B: Fair		
					W	1	8						
T19													
European Larch		15	1	350	Ν	1	4	М	A: 55.4	Dead	C: Poor		U
Larix decidua					Е	3.5	4		R: 4.19		S: Poor	Dead tree	n/a
					S	1	4				B: Fair		
					W	0.5	4						
Ano Classifications	N N	owly plant	od	EM Early	Matura			onditi	<b>A</b>	Crown		Stome: Ø Diamotor	
Age classifications.	Y Y		ou	M Matu	re		C C	onait	S S	Stem		(Fg) Equivalent stem diameter using RS5837:2012 defit	nition
S	SM S	emi-matur	е	OM Over	Mature				В	Basal area	1	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Dago 5									TrooM	lindor			July 2024

Tree and Tag No		laht	5	Stems		Cr	own			RP	Dhue	Charactural	Preliminary Recommendations	
Species		ignt (m)	No	Ø (mm	) Sp	oread (m)	Cl (i	ear m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment ER	ic C
T20														
Common Oak		11	1	270	Ν	3	3.5	1	SM	A: 33	Good	C: Good	B.1.	.2
Quercus robur					E	3	3.5	2		R: 3.24		S: Good	Tree located with plantation group on southern boundary. No. 20+	vrs
					S		3	2				B: Good	notable features observed.	/
					W	3	3.5	1.5						
T21														
Downy Birch		15	1	310	Ν		5	1	EM	A: 43.5	Good	C: Good	B.1.	.2
Betula pubescens					E	3	3.5	1		R: 3.72		S: Good	Tree located with plantation group on southern boundary. No. 20+	yrs
					S		4	1				B: Good	notable features observed.	,
					W		5	1						
T22														
Common Oak		15	1	320	Ν	3	3.5	1	SM	A: 46.3	Decline	C: Good	U	Į.
Quercus robur					E	3	3.5	0		R: 3.83		S: Fair	Tree located near access road within plantation group. <10	yrs
					S	. 2	2.5	0				B: Poor	Ganoderma sp. Located on north side of basal area. Sounding	
					W		3	0.5					hammer- indicates hollowing on west side of basal area.	
T23														
Common Oak		19	1	470	Ν	4	1.5	5	М	A: 99.9	Fair	C: Good	C.1.	2
Quercus robur					E		4	6		R: 5.63		S: Fair	Tree located within plantation group. Bleeding canker / black 10+	yrs
					S	,	6	6				B: Good	spot oozing on east and west side of main stem. 100 mm	
					vv		0	4					ground level.	
T24														
Common Oak		12	2	225	(Eq) N		3	2.5	EM	A: 22.8	Decline	C: Poor	U	j –
Quercus robur					E		2	2		R: 2.69		S: Good	Proviously conniced tree located within plantation group. Both <10	vrs
					S		2	3				B: Poor	stems have significant 6 m dieback.	
					W	2	2.5	3						
T25														
Common Oak		18	1	570	Ν		5	14	М	A: 147	Good	C: Fair	B.1	.2
Quercus robur					E		6	15		R: 6.84		S: Good	Tree located onsite on western boundary. 50-150 mm 20+	yrs
					S	,	6	12				B: Good	diameter deadwood throughout crown. Partially ivy covered	
					vv	1	0	12					stem.	
Ago Clossifications	I Novi	v nlant	od	EM Ea	arky Mot			~	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stame: Ø Diamator	
Age classifications. N	Your	ng piant Ng	eu	M Ma	ature	ule		C	onuit	S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837-2012 definition	
SI	M Sem	i-matur	е	OM Ov	ver Mat	ure				В	Basal area	a	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 6										Tree	/linder		15 July 20	024

Tree and Tag No	Ца	<b>b</b> ŧ	9	Stems		(	Crown	า		RP	Dhue	Structural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species	(n	1)	No	Ø	۶ <u>۱</u> ۶	Sprea	d	Clear	Age	A (m2)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	
				(m	m)	(m)		(m)		к (Ш)				
T26														
Common Oak	1	8	1	380		Ν	8	4	М	A: 65.3	Good	C: Good	E	B.1.2
Quercus robur						E	3	8		R: 4.55		S: Good	Tree located off-site on road side verge. 100 mm diameter, 6 20	0+ yrs
						S	6	7				B: Good	m length dead branch on south west side of crown, 6 m from	
						w	8	/					ground level.	
T27														
Common Oak	1	9	1	430		N	6	10	М	A: 83.7	Good	C: Fair	E	B.1.2
Quercus robur						E	6	10		R: 5.16		S: Good	Tree located ancite on western boundary, 100 mm diamater 5	0+ vrs
						S	7	12				B: Good	m length dead branch west side of crown, 10 m from ground	. ,
						W	7	8					level.	
T28														
Common Oak	1	9	1	1330		N	8.5	9	М	A: 707	Fair	C: Fair	,	A.1.2
Quercus robur						E	8.5	9		R: 15		S: Fair	Tree located on western houndary line, 100-400 mm diameter	0+ vrs
						S	8	5				B: Good	deadwood throughout crown. Ecologically important features	
						W	9	10					observed.	
T29														
Common Hornbeam	1	5	3	510	(Eq)	Ν	6.5	2	М	A: 117.5	Good	C: Good	E	B.1.2
Carpinus betulus						E	7	1		R: 6.11		S: Fair	Tree located on western boundary 300 mm longitudinal 20	0+ yrs
						S	4.5	4.5				B: Good	cavity on south side stem almost completely occluded. Three	
						W	6	4					codominant stems with included bark unions.	
Т30														
Common Hornbeam	1	5	2	474	(Eq)	Ν	6.5	0.5	М	A: 101.7	Fair	C: Good	E	B.1.2
Carpinus betulus						E	9.5	0		R: 5.68		S: Good	Tree located onsite on western boundary, 100 mm diameter 20	0+ yrs
						S	5.5	0.5				B: Fair	severed root on west side of tree.	
						W	8	2						
T31														
Common Oak	1	3	1	190		Ν	2	4	SM	A: 16.3	Fair	C: Fair	(	C.1.2
Quercus robur						E	2	3		R: 2.27		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. Leading stem has 1 m 10	0+ vrs
						S	3	3				B: Not visible	dieback. Basal area not visible due to surrounding	- ,
						W	3	3					undergrowth.	
Are Classifications: N	Newly	olante	ed	FM F	arly M	ature		C	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
Y SU CHACCHICALIONS. Y	Young	- and		M	Vature			U U	onun	S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition	on
SM	I Semi-n	nature	e	OM C	Over Ma	ature				В	Basal area	a	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 7										TreeM	linder		15.Jub	v 2024

Tree and Tag No		Habt	S	Stems		(	Crow	n		RP	Dhue	Chruchunal	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No		ø	Sprea	d	Clear	Age	A (m2)	Phys Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	
	_			<b>(</b> m	ım)	(m)		(m)		к (Ш)				
T32														
Common Hornbeam		16	10	727	' (Eq)	Ν	5	1	М	A: 239.3	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Carpinus betulus						E	5	0		R: 8.72		S: Good	Tree located off-site on western boundary. 10 stems of various 2	20+ yrs
						S	6	3				B: Good	size. Average stem diameter recorded.	
						W	6	1						
T33														
Common Oak		20	1	360	)	Ν	6	5	EM	A: 58.6	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Quercus robur						Е	5	3		R: 4.31		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No patable features	0+ vrs
						S	6	4				B: Good	observed.	,
						W	8	12						
T34														
Common Oak		22	2	659	) (Ea)	N	6	4	м	A: 196.2	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Quercus robur			-		(1)	E	8	3		R: 7.9		S: Good	Tree leasted near weetern beinden. Oak processionen, meth	
						S	6	3				B: Good	identified on lower stem. Two codominant stems with a	UT 915
						W	8.5	6					naturally formed union.	
T35														
Common Oak		18	1	200	)	N	4	2	FM	A· 38 1	Good	C. Good		B 1 2
Ouercus robur		10	1	250		F	4	0	LIN	R: 3.48	0000	S: Good		
Quereus rosar						S	5	1.5		11 01 10		B: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No notable features 2	20+ yrs
						W	5	2					observeu.	
T36														
Common Oak		13	1	240	)	N	4	2	SM	A: 26.1	Good	C. Good		B12
Ouercus robur		15	1	210		E	5	2	011	R: 2.88	0000	S: Good		
						S	4	3				B: Good	I ree located within plantation group. No notable features 4	0+ yis
						W	3.5	1					ubseived.	
T37														
Common Oak		18	2	633	(Fa)	N	2	6	м	۵. 181	Fair	C: Poor		C12
Ouercus robur		10	2	055	(=4)	F	6	4	11	R: 7 59	1 dii	S: Fair		0.1.2
Quereus robui						S	4	4		10.7.00		B: Good	Tree located onsite on western boundary. Eastern stem is	l0+ yrs
						W	8	8					deadwood in lower crown.	
Age Classifications:	N Ne	ewly plante	ed	EM	Early N	Mature		C	Condit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
	Y YO	oung mi moture	•	M	Mature	) Acture				S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definiti	on
	SIVI 36	ann-mature	6		OverN	nature				В	basal area	a	ERC. Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 8										Tree	linder		15 Ju	ly 2024

Tree and Tag No		Habt	S	tems		С	rown			RP	Dhue	Structural	Preliminary Recommendations	
Species		(m)	No	, 9	Ø SI	pread	d (	Clear	Age	A (m2)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment ERC	с
				(m	m)	(m)		(m)		K (III)				
138														
Common Oak		18	1	520	N	I	6	8	М	A: 122.3	Good	C: Good	B.1.2	2
Quercus robur					E		5	6		R: 6.23		S: Good	Tree located off-site on road side verge. No notable features 20+ y	yrs
					S		6	5				B: Good	observed.	
					N	/	7	8						
Т39														
Common Oak		19	1	460	N	1	7	10	М	A: 95.7	Fair	C: Fair	B.1./	2
Quercus robur					E		6	8		R: 5.51		S: Good	Tree located ansite an wastern houndary 50,100 mm 20+ V	vrs
					S		7	8				B: Good	diameter deadwood throughout crown.	,
					W	1	8	8						
T40														
Common Oak		12	1	250	N	1	4	2	SM	A: 28.3	Fair	C: Good	C.1.	2
Quercus robur					E		7	0		R: 3		S: Poor	Tree located within plantation group. Heavy 60 degree leaning 10+ V	vrs
					S		6.5	0				B: Fair	tree to the east.	,
					W	1	3	3						
T41														
Common Oak		3	1	110	N	I I	1	1	Y	A: 5.5	Good	C: Good	C.1.2	2
Quercus robur					E		3	1		R: 1.32		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No notable features 10+ V	vrs
					S		3	1				B: Good	observed.	,
					W	1	3	1						
T42														
Common Oak		19	1	490	N	I	7	7	М	A: 108.6	Good	C: Good	B.1.1	2
Quercus robur					E		3	7		R: 5.87		S: Good	Tree located off-site on western boundary on read side verge 20± V	vrs
					S		6	7				B: Good	No notable features observed.	,
					W	1	8	7						
T43														
Common Beech		20	2	718	(Eq) N	I	6.5	6	М	A: 233.5	Good	C: Good	A.1.3	2
Fagus sylvatica					E		6.5	6		R: 8.62		S: Good	Tree located onsite pext to western boundary. Two 40+ V	yrs
					S		6	8				B: Good	Codominant stems with a naturally formed union. Two dead	·
					W	/	6.5	6					branches 75-100 mm diameter 5 and 7 m in length on east	
													side of crown, 3 m from ground level.	
Age Classifications: N	Nev	wly plante	ed	EM F	Early Mat	ture		C	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
Y	You	ung		M	Mature					S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition	
S	M Sen	ni-mature	•	OM (	Over Mat	ure				В	Basal area	а	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 9										Tree	linder		15. July 20	124

Tree and Tag No		Habt	S	Stems		(	Crown	1		RP	Dhue	Chruchural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	Ø (mi	) S m)	prea (m)	d	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Pnys Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	ERC
T44														
Common Oak		10	1	280	I	N	4	4	EM	A: 35.5	Good	C: Fair		B.1.2
Quercus robur					I	E	2	4.5		R: 3.36		S: Good	Trae located ancite on wastern boundary, 200 mm diameter	20+ vrs
					9	5	2	5				B: Good	torn limb on west side of crown, 4 m from ground level.	201 113
					V	N	6	5					, ,	
T45														
Mountain Ash		5	1	80	I	N	1.5	1	SM	A: 2.9	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
Sorbus aucuparia					I	E	2	1		R: 0.96		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No patable features	10+ vrs
					5	5	1	1				B: Good	observed.	,
					١	N	1	1						
T46														
Common Oak		6	4	378	(Eq) I	N	3	2	SM	A: 64.6	Dead	C: Poor		U
Quercus robur					I	E	1	2		R: 4.53		S: Poor	Dead tree.	n/a
					5	5	1	2				B: Poor		
					V	N	3	2						
T47														
Common Oak		16	1	220	I	N	8.5	4	EM	A: 21.9	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Quercus robur					I	E	3	5		R: 2.64		S: Good	Tree located onsite within plantation group. Asymmetrical	20+ yrs
					9	5	2.5	5				B: Good	crown due to neighbouring trees.	-
					N N	N	4	5						
T48														
Common Oak		8.5	1	160	I	N	3	2	SM	A: 11.6	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
Quercus robur					I	E	2.5	0.5		R: 1.92		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group. No notable features	10+ vrs
					5	5	2.5	0				B: Good	observed.	,
					١	N	2.5	0.5						
T49														
Common Hazel		8.5	10	253	(Eq) I	N	4	0	EM	A: 29	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Corylus avellana					I	E	5	0		R: 3.03		S: Good	Tree located within plantation group, 10 main stems, average	20+ yrs
						5	3	0				B: Good	stem diameter recorded.	
					V	N	3	0						
Age Classifications:	N Ne	wly plante	ed	EM E	Early Ma	ture		C	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
	Y Yo	ung mi moture		MN	Mature	turo				S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definiti	ion
	SWI SE	m-mature	,			luie				В	Dasai are	1	ERG. ESumated Remaining Contributio	
Page 10										Treel	/inder		15 Ju	ulv 2024

Tree and Tag No		Habt		Stems		(	Crown	1		RP	Dhue	Charactural		Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		ngnt (m)	No	(m	Ø m)	Sprea (m)	nd	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition		Survey Comment	ERC
Т50															
Common Oak		17	1	380		Ν	3.5	7	EM	A: 65.3	Good	C: Good			B.1.2
Quercus robur						E	3	7.5		R: 4.55		S: Good	Tree	ocated within plantation group. Asymmetrical crown due	20+ vrs
						S	4	7.5				B: Good	to nei	ghbouring trees.	,
						W	6	6							
T51															
Common Hazel		8	10	253	(Eq)	Ν	5	2	EM	A: 29	Good	C: Good			C.1.2
Corylus avellana						Е	4.5	0.5		R: 3.03		S: Good	Tree	ocated near western boundary. 20+ stems, average	10+ yrs
						S	3	2				B: Good	stem	diameter recorded.	-
						W	1.5	2							
T52															
Common Oak		12	1	700		Ν	3	4	М	A: 221.7	Dead	C: Poor			U
Quercus robur						E	6	4		R: 8.4		S: Poor	Dead	tree.	n/a
						S	4	4				B: Poor			
						W	4	2							
T53															
Common Hazel		7	10	253	(Eq)	Ν	2	2	EM	A: 29	Good	C: Good			C.1.2
Corylus avellana						E	5	0		R: 3.03		S: Good	Tree	ocated near western boundary. 10+ stems, average	10+ yrs
						S	2.5	2				B: Good	stem	diameter recorded.	
						vv	3	2							
T54															
Common Oak		18	1	460		N	5	6	М	A: 95.7	Poor	C: Fair			U
Quercus robur						E	3	7		R: 5.51		S: Poor	Tree I	ocated on western boundary. Major bark necrosis and	<10 yrs
						S W	о 65	с З				B: POOL	honey	r fungus present on south west side of stem and basal	
							0.5	5					lower	crown.	
T55															
Common Oak		20	1	1110	)	Ν	8	6	М	A: 557.5	Fair	C: Fair			A.1.2
Quercus robur						E	12	5		R: 13.32		S: Not visible	Tree	ocated on western boundary line. Tvy covered stem	40+ yrs
						S	11	9				B: Good	restric	ting survey. Oak processionary moth present. 100- 300	
						W	11	8					mm d	iameter deadwood throughout crown.	
Age Classifications:	I Ne	wly plant	ed	FM F	Farly N	Nature		C	ondi	ion: (	C Crown		Steme:	Ø Diameter	
Y	You	ung		м	Mature	)		Ŭ	- Train		S Stem		sterile.	(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	finition
SI	M Se	mi-matur	e	OM (	Over N	lature				E	Basal area	а	ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 11										Tree	Minder			15	5 July 2024

Tree and Tag No		Habt	S	tems		Crown			RP	Dhuc	Ctructural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		ingint (m)	No	Ø (mm)	Sprea (m)	ad C	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	ERC
T56													
Mountain Ash		9	1	200	Ν	3	0.5	EM	A: 18.1	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
Sorbus aucuparia					Е	4	2		R: 2.4		S: Fair	Tree located within plantation group. Two codominant stoms	0+ vrs
					S	3.5	2.5				B: Good	with an included union, 3 m from ground level.	,
					W	3.5	1.5						
T57													
European Larch		20	1	290	Ν	2	12	М	A: 38.1	Fair	C: Fair		B.1.2
Larix decidua					Е	2	12		R: 3.48		S: Good	Tree located on fence line and part of original plantation crop 2	20+ vrs
					S	2	12				B: Good	The located of three and part of orginal plantation crop.	
					W	2	12						
T58													
European Larch		20	1	280	Ν	3	12	М	A: 35.5	Fair	C: Fair		C.1.2
Larix decidua					Е	3	12		R: 3.36		S: Poor	Tree located near gate entrance and access road. Tree part of	0+ yrs
					S	3	12				B: Good	original crop plantation. 1 m Longitudinal cavity on east side of	
					W	1.5	12					main stem, 1 m from ground level.	
T59													
European Larch		20	1	260	Ν	1	5	М	A: 30.6	Fair	C: Fair		B.1.2
Larix decidua					E	2	5		R: 3.12		S: Good	Tree located near western boundary. Tree part of original 2	20+ yrs
					S	3	5				B: Good	plantation crop.	
					vv	0.5	5						
Т60													
European Larch		20	1	180	Ν	2	6	М	A: 14.7	Fair	C: Fair		B.1.2
Larix decidua					Е	2	6		R: 2.16		S: Good	Tree located near western boundary. Tree part of original 2	20+ yrs
					S	2	6				B: Good	plantation crop.	
					W	2	6						
T61													
European Larch		21	1	440	Ν	3.5	10	М	A: 87.6	Good	C: Good		<b>B.1.2</b>
Larix decidua					E	2.5	10		R: 5.28		S: Good	Tree located near access driveway. Dense undergrowth 2	20+ yrs
					S	3.5	10				B: Not visible	restricting survey. Tree part of original plantation crop.	
					W	3	10						
Age Classifications:	N Ne	wly plante	ed	EM Early	Mature		С	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
	Y Yo	oung		M Matu	re				S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition	ion
S	M Se	emi-mature	e	OM Over	Mature				В	Basal area	3	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 12									TreeM	inder		15 Ju	lv 2024

Tree and Tag No			S	tems	(	Crown	1		RP	Dhua	Characterized	Preliminary Decommondations	0-1
Species		(m)	No	ø	Sprea	d	Clear	Age	A (m2)	Pnys Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	
	_			(mm)	(m)		(m)		к (ш)			Surrey comment	
T62													
European Larch		20	1	330	Ν	4	12	М	A: 49.3	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Larix decidua					E	4	12		R: 3.96		S: Good	Tree located onsite. Dense undergrowth restricting survey.	20+ yrs
					S	1.5	12				B: Not visible	Tree part of original plantation crop.	
					W	2.5	12						
T63													
Common Oak		8	1	210	Ν	8	4	SM	A: 20	Fair	C: Fair		C.1.2
Quercus robur					Е	3	4		R: 2.52		S: Good	Trac located ancite . 45 degree Distatraphic loaping trac	10+ vrs
					S	1	4				B: Good	towards the north.	10. 10
					W	2.5	4						
T64													
European Larch		8	1	140	N	2	4	Y	A: 8.9	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
Larix decidua		0	1	110	E	2	4	•	R: 1.68	0000	S: Good		10 1 10
					S	2	4				B: Good	Tree located onsite. Tree part of original plantation crop.	10+ yis
					W	2	4						
T65													
European Larch		8	1	150	N	2	4	Y	A· 10.2	Good	C. Good		C.1.2
Larix decidua		0	1	150	F	2	4		R: 1.8	0000	S: Good		10
					S	2	4		10 110		B: Good	Tree located onsite. Tree part of original plantation crop.	10+ yrs
					W	2	4						
T66													
European Larch		19	1	300	N	2.5	10	м	A: 40.7	Good	C: Good		B.1.2
Larix decidua					Е	2.5	10		R: 3.59		S: Good	Tree leasted yout to access read. Tree yout of avisingl	20+ vrs
					S	3	10				B: Good	plantation crop.	201 913
					W	2.5	10						
T67													
Common Oak		12	1	180	Ν	3.5	2	EM	A: 14.7	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
Quercus robur					Е	2.5	2		R: 2.16		S: Good	Two leads and the second and the set of the factors	$10 \pm vrc$
					S	3.5	2				B: Good	observed	101 915
					W	4	2						
Age Classifications:	N No	why plant	ha	EM Early	Mature		~	onditi	<b>on:</b> C	Стомп		Stame: Ø Diamotor	
Age classifications.	Y You	una	u	M Matur	e		C	onait	S S	Stem		(Fg) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837'2012 defi	nition
5	SM Se	mi-matur	e	OM Over	Mature				В	Basal area	1	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 13									TreeM	linder		- 15	July 2024

Tree and Tag No		laht	S	Stems		Crow	n		RP	Dhue	Chrustural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species	(	(m)	No	Ø (mm)	Sprea (m)	ad )	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	
T68													
Common Oak		8.5	1	140	Ν	4.5	6	SM	A: 8.9	Good	C: Good	(	C.1.2
Quercus robur					Е	2.5	5		R: 1.68		S: Good	Tree located near to access road. No notable features 10	0+ vrs
					S	5	5				B: Good	observed.	. ,
					W	5	6						
T69													
European Larch		18	1	230	Ν	2	12	М	A: 23.9	Dead	C: Poor		U
Larix decidua					Е	2	12		R: 2.75		S: Poor	Dead tree	n/a
					S	2	12				B: Poor		
					W	2	12						
T70													
Scots Pine		20	1	380	Ν	1	12	М	A: 65.3	Good	C: Good	E	B.1.2
Pinus sylvestris					E	3.5	12		R: 4.55		S: Good	Tree located next to access road. Basal area partially covered 20	0+ yrs
					S	4	12				B: Not visible	by log pile restricting survey. Tree part of original plantation	
					W	2	12					crop.	
T71													
Common Beech		18	1	620	Ν	7.5	8	М	A: 173.9	Good	C: Good	A 44	A.1.2
Fagus sylvatica					Е	8.5	3.5		R: 7.44		S: Good	Tree located onsite on western boundary. No notable features	0+ yrs
					S	6	4				B: Good	observed.	
					W	8.5	7						
T72													
European Larch		15	1	150	Ν	1	6	М	A: 10.2	Dead	C: Poor		U
Larix decidua					E	1	6		R: 1.8		S: Poor	Dead tree.	n/a
					S	1	6				B: Poor		
					VV	1	6						
T73													
European Larch		15	1	200	Ν	1	6	М	A: 18.1	Dead	C: Poor		U
Larix decidua					E	1	6		R: 2.4		S: Poor	Dead tree.	n/a
					S	1	6				B: Poor		
					W	1	6						
Age Classifications: N	Newly	y plant	ed	EM Early	Mature		C	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
Y	Youn	g		M Matu	re				S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition	on
SM	M Semi	-matur	e	OM Over	Mature				В	Basal area	1	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 14									TreeM	linder		15 July	y 2024

Tree and Tag No		S	tems	Crown				RP	Dharm	Charles I.	Droliminary Decommondations	0.1
Species	Hght (m)	No	Ø (mm)	Spread (m)	Cle (n	ear n)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	ERC
T74												
Field Maple	8	1	250	Ν	3	1	EM	A: 28.3	Good	C: Good		<b>B.1.2</b>
Acer campestre				E	3	1		R: 3		S: Good	Tree located off-site on road side verge. No notable features	20+ yrs
				S W	2 4	1				B: Good	observed.	
				vv	4	1						
						-			0		ot di Dismotor	

Age Classifications:	N	Newly planted	EM	Early Mature	Condition:	C	Crown	Stems:	ø	Diameter
	Υ	Young	Μ	Mature		S	Stem		(Eq)	Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition
	SM	Semi-mature	OM	Over Mature		В	Basal area	ERC:	Esti	mated Remaining Contributio



# Appendix 3: Tree Constraints Plan



Arbtech Consulting Limited is registered in England and Wales: 05678552. VAT: GB903660148

Colne Spring Villa– Arbtech TSR 01

Page | 29 of 31



# A arbtech

## 9 Document Production Record



#### Limitations

Arbtech Consulting Ltd has prepared this report for the sole use of the above-named Client/Agent in accordance with our terms of business, under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report or any other services provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. The assessments made assume that the sites and facilities will continue to be used for their current purpose without significant change. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon information provided by others and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from third parties has not been independently verified by Arbtech Consulting Ltd.

#### Copyright

© This Report is the copyright of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.