Case No: 3305322/2024



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr F Talukder

Respondent: Amrit Indian Restaurant

JUDGMENT

Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013 - Rule 21

- 1. The respondent has made an unauthorised deduction from the claimant's wages and is ordered to pay the claimant the gross sum of £200.
- 2. The unfair dismissal complaint was already dismissed (judgment sent to parties on 10 October 2024).
- 3. All other complaints, other than those mentioned in the first two paragraphs, are dismissed

REASONS

- 1. The Claimant worked for the Respondent for 3 days, 31 January, 1 February and 2 February 2024.
- 2. It had been agreed that he would work 30 hours per week and be paid £600 per week.
- 3. He was not entitled to statutory minimum notice, and I am not satisfied that there was a contractual agreement for a minimum notice period. Thus, when he was dismissed with immediate effect, on 2 February, that was not a breach of contract.
- 4. I am not satisfied that there was any agreement that he be reimbursed for expenses incurred (either for travel to work, or at all).

Case No: 3305322/2024

5. The Claimant's email of 7 August 2024 mentioned that he had been paid £100 and was owed £200. I infer from that that the agreement was for £100 per day, for approximately 5 hours per day, and 6 days per week. I award £200 for that reason.

6. No other losses, consequent on the non-payment / underpayment have been demonstrated. I do not award anything for alleged inconvenience or distress.

Employment Judge Quill

Date: 10 December 2024
UDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON 5 January 2025
AND ENTERED IN THE REGISTER T Cadman
FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.