



Department for
Energy Security
& Net Zero

Matt Norris
Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC
Walton Road
Drayton
Portsmouth
PO6 1UJ

Energy Infrastructure Planning

3-8 Whitehall Place
London
SW1A 2JP

Email:

S37consents@energysecurity.gov.uk

Website: www.gov.uk/desnz

Our ref: 1978u
Your ref: MN-33NI-BOVI-WARE

07 October 2024

Dear Mr Norris,

**SCREENING DECISION BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE UNDER THE
ELECTRICITY WORKS (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT)
(ENGLAND AND WALES) REGULATIONS 2017 (“THE 2017
REGULATIONS”)**

NAME OF SCHEME: BOVI-WARE 33kV NI (P42)

Decision:

The Secretary of State concludes that the proposed works are not EIA development under the 2017 Regulations and do not require a statutory EIA as they are unlikely to have significant effects on the environment due to their nature, location and size. A copy of this letter has been sent to the LPA for information.

Screening decision for a proposed development (“the proposed development”) to:

- Replace 2 H poles as part of a 33kV, 146 metre overhead line



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

Secretary of State considerations:

The Secretary of State has considered the factors set out in Schedule 3 of the 2017 Regulations, together with the information within the supplied documentation (“the Application”) by Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC (“the Applicant”) in relation to the impacts on the environment of the proposed development and the views of Dorset Council (“the LPA”). In reaching his decision, the Secretary of State notes the following factors:

1. The proposed development does not fall within Schedule 1 (mandatory EIA).
2. The proposed development falls under Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations as the electricity line is to be installed above ground in a sensitive area.
3. The proposed development falls within the following designated sensitive areas:
 - Turners Puddle Heathland Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
 - Dorset Heaths Special Area of Conservation (SAC)
 - Dorset Heathlands Special Protected Area (SPA)
 - Dorset Heathlands Ramsar
4. The Applicant produced a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) in February 2024 which provided details on the working method, risks to protected sites, and proposed mitigation measures.
5. Natural England was consulted regarding the designated sensitive areas and granted assent to the development from the period between 1 September 2024 and 28 February 2025 based on the HRA submitted regarding the proposed development (Natural England reference: 0902241154NG).
6. In July 2024, the applicant produced an ecology survey to assess the possible risks and mitigations of the development. The survey advised mitigations such as conducting vegetation clearance outside of bird breeding season and having an ecological clerk of works present on site at all times.
7. Having considered the HRA, the Secretary of State considers there may be likely significant effects on a National Site Network site under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. This is due to potential disturbance to European dry heaths habitats, great crested newts and breeding birds, as a result of excavations and



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

vehicle movements. The Secretary of State has considered whether there could be an Adverse Effect on Integrity of the protected site. The works are expected to take one week, where excavations will be undertaken during the day and will not be left open overnight. An Ecologist will assess vehicle access routes and work areas around the poles. The proposed development will be carried out outside of bird breeding season and works vehicles will stay on designated routes and will not drive on habitats beyond these routes.

8. In light of the proposed mitigations, the Secretary of State is satisfied that there will be no Adverse Effect on Integrity of the protected site, and this is beyond all reasonable scientific doubt.
9. The Applicant consulted with the LPA who noted no objection to the proposed development (reference: P/STA/2024/04743) in September 2024. It concluded that the development is unlikely to result in a likely significant environmental effect and is not EIA development.

Yours sincerely,

John McKenna
Head of Network Planning team
Energy Infrastructure Planning Delivery Team