

# **Permitting Decisions- Variation**

We have decided to grant the variation for Masons Landfill operated by Valencia Waste Management Ltd.

The variation number is EPR/BV4517IM/V015.

The permit was issued on 06/11/2024.

The variation is for the inclusion of two new activities on the permit, which will permit the operator to operate a materials recovery facility (MRF) on the site. The combined limit for these proposed activities is 250,000 tonnes per annum of waste. Recyclate and refuse derived fuel (RDF) sorted from the facility will be transferred to an appropriately permitted site for further processing. Some residual wastes may be utilised in recovery on site. The remainder will be disposed of in the landfill.

The waste treatment process will separate non-combustible material through shredding and mechanical and manual separation. The combustible fractions will be sent off-site for energy recovery. Some residual waste, such as grit, glass and bricks, will be used on site to maintain roads and for cover on the landfill. All outgoing waste from the Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) will be weighed and recorded.

There have been no changes proposed, or made, to the current permitted activities or permit conditions.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

# Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It summarises the decision-making process to show how the main relevant factors have been taken into account. We have assessed the aspects that are changing as part of this variation, we have not revisited any other sections of the permit.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Read the permitting decisions in conjunction with the environmental permit and the variation notice.

# **Decision considerations**

## **Confidential information**

A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

# Identifying confidential information

We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

# Consultation

The consultation requirements were identified in accordance with the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations (2016) and our public participation statement.

The comments and our responses are summarised in the <u>consultation responses</u> section.

The application was publicised on the GOV.UK website.

We consulted the following organisations:

- UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA);
- Local Authority Environmental Protection Department;
- Fire & Rescue; and,
- Health and Safety Executive.

We received a response from UKHSA. No other responses were received.

#### The regulated facility

We considered the extent and nature of the facility at the site in accordance with RGN2 'Understanding the meaning of regulated facility', Appendix 2 of RGN2 'Defining the scope of the installation' and Appendix 1 of RGN 2 'Interpretation of Schedule 1'.

The extent of the facility is defined in the site plan and in the permit. The activities are defined in table S1.1 of the permit.

## The site

The operator has provided a plan which we consider to be satisfactory.

These show the extent of the site of the facility.

The plans show the location of the part of the installation to which this permit applies on that site.

The plan is included in the permit.

# Nature conservation, landscape, heritage and protected species and habitat designations

We have checked the location of the application to assess if it is within the screening distances we consider relevant for impacts on nature conservation, landscape, heritage and protected species and habitat designations. The application is within our screening distances for these designations.

We have assessed the application and its potential to affect sites of nature conservation, landscape, heritage and protected species and habitat designations identified in the nature conservation screening report as part of the permitting process. We have assessed the following sites:

- Great Blakenham Pit SSSI;
- Little Blakenham Pit SSSI;
- Stour and Orwell Estuaries SPA; and,
- Stour and Orwell Estuaries Ramsar.

We consider that the application will not affect any site of nature conservation, landscape and heritage, and/or protected species or habitats identified.

We have not consulted Natural England

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.

## **Environmental risk**

We have reviewed the operator's assessment of the environmental risk from the facility.

The operator's risk assessment is satisfactory.

# **Operating techniques**

The operating techniques that the applicant must use are specified in table S1.2 in the environmental permit.

### **General operating techniques**

We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility.

The operating techniques that the applicant must use are specified in table S1.2 in the environmental permit.

#### Odour management

We have reviewed the odour management plan in accordance with our guidance on odour management.

We consider that the odour management plan is satisfactory and we approve this plan.

We have approved the odour management plan as we consider it to be appropriate measures based on information available to us at the current time. The applicant should not take our approval of this plan to mean that the measures in the plan are considered to cover every circumstance throughout the life of the permit.

The applicant should keep the plans under constant review and revise them annually or if necessary sooner if there have been complaints arising from operations on site or if circumstances change. This is in accordance with our guidance 'Control and monitor emissions for your environmental permit'.

The plan has been incorporated into the operating techniques S1.2.

#### Noise and vibration management

We have reviewed the noise and vibration management plan in accordance with our guidance on noise assessment and control.

We consider that the noise and vibration management plan is satisfactory and we approve this plan.

We have approved the noise and vibration management plan as we consider it to be appropriate measures based on information available to us at the current time. The applicant should not take our approval of this plan to mean that the measures in the plan are considered to cover every circumstance throughout the life of the permit.

The applicant should keep the plans under constant review and revise them annually or if necessary sooner if there have been complaints arising from operations on site or if circumstances change. This is in accordance with our guidance 'Control and monitor emissions for your environmental permit'.

2/1/2024

The plan has been incorporated into the operating techniques S1.2.

# Fire prevention plan

We have assessed the fire prevention plan and are satisfied that it meets the measures and objectives set out in the Fire Prevention Plan guidance.

The plan sets out alternative measures that we consider meet the objectives of the Fire Prevention Plan guidance.

We have approved the fire prevention plan as we consider it to be appropriate measures based on information available to us at the current time. The applicant should not take our approval of this plan to mean that the measures in the plan are considered to cover every circumstance throughout the life of the permit.

The plan has been incorporated into the operating techniques S1.2.

#### Dust management

We have reviewed the dust and emission management plan in accordance with our guidance on emissions management plans for dust.

We consider that the dust and emission management plan is satisfactory and we approve this plan.

We have approved the dust and emission management plan as we consider it to be appropriate measures based on information available to us at the current time. The applicant should not take our approval of this plan to mean that the measures in the plan are considered to cover every circumstance throughout the life of the permit.

The applicant should keep the plans under constant review and revise them annually or if necessary sooner if there have been complaints arising from operations on site or if circumstances change. This is in accordance with our guidance 'Control and monitor emissions for your environmental permit.

The plan has been incorporated into the operating techniques S1.2.

## Waste types

We have specified the permitted waste types, descriptions and quantities, which can be accepted at the regulated facility.

We are satisfied that the operator can accept these wastes for the following reasons:

- they are suitable for the proposed activities
- the proposed infrastructure is appropriate; and

• the environmental risk assessment is acceptable.

# Reporting

We have added reporting in the permit for the following parameters:

Table S4.2: Annual production/treatment	
Ferrous metal recovered	Tonnes
Non-ferrous metal recovered	Tonnes
Plastics recovered	Tonnes
SRF recovered	Tonnes
Wood recovered	Tonnes
Other fractions recovered	Tonnes
A summary of the residual waste removed from the Material Recycling Facility and sent to the landfill.	Tonnes

We made these decisions in accordance with the Non-hazardous and inert waste: appropriate measures for permitted facilities

## Management system

We are not aware of any reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.

The decision was taken in accordance with the guidance on operator competence and how to develop a management system for environmental permits.

## **Technical competence**

Technical competence is required for activities permitted.

The operator is a member of the CIWM/WAMITAB scheme.

We are satisfied that the operator is technically competent.

#### **Previous performance**

We have assessed operator competence. There is no known reason to consider the applicant will not comply with the permit conditions.

We have checked our systems to ensure that all relevant convictions have been declared.

No relevant convictions were found. The operator satisfies the criteria in our guidance on operator competence.

# **Financial competence**

There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not be financially able to comply with the permit conditions.

## **Growth duty**

We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit variation.

Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:

"The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation."

We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise noncompliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.

We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.

# **Consultation Responses**

The following summarises the responses to consultation with other organisations, our notice on GOV.UK for the public, and the way in which we have considered these in the determination process.

# Responses from organisations listed in the consultation section

Response received from UKHSA.

Brief summary of issues raised: Primary issues of concern are fugitive dust, noise and odour and suitable mitigation should be in place for these issues.

Summary of actions taken: We have assessed the relevant management plans that contain measures to mitigate and control these fugitive emissions. We are satisfied that there will not be a significant impact from dust, noise or odour.

No response received from Local Authority – Environmental Protection Department.

No response received from Fire & Rescue.

No response received from Health and Safety Executive.

# Representations from local MPs, assembly members, councillors and parish/town community councils

No responses received.

# Representations from community and other organisations

No responses received.

#### **Representations from individual members of the public**

No responses received.