



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL  
PROPERTY CHAMBER  
(RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)**

**Case reference** : **LON/00AN/LDC/2024/0224**  
**P:Paperremote**

**Property** : **Chelsea Creek Tower 12 Park Street  
London SW6 2RQ**

**Applicant** : **St George West London Limited**

**Respondent  
leaseholders** : **The leaseholders named on the  
application**

**Type of application** : **To dispense with the consultation  
requirements under S.20 Landlord  
and Tenant Act 1985**

**Tribunal member** : **Mrs E Flint FRICS**

**Date and venue of  
determination** : **18 October 2024**  
**Remote on the papers**

---

**DECISION**

---

This has been a remote hearing on the papers which has been consented to by the Applicant and not objected to by the Respondent. A face to face hearing was not held because it was not practicable, no-one requested the same, and all the issues could be determined on the papers. The documents that I was referred to were in a bundle of 77 pages, the contents of which I have recorded.

### **Decision of the tribunal**

- (1) The Tribunal grants dispensation from all of the consultation requirements under S.20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in relation to the replacement of the full belt for the out of service fire fighting lift.
- (2) The question of reasonableness of the works or cost was not included in this application, the sole purpose of which is to seek dispensation.

### **The Background**

1. The application under section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 (“the Act”) was made by the Applicant on 8 August 2024.
2. The Applicant has applied for dispensation from the statutory consultation requirements under section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 and the Service Charges (Consultation Requirements) (England) Regulations 2003 in respect of the replacement of a one of the belts serving the firefighting lift.
3. Directions were issued on 21 August 2023 requiring the applicant to prepare bundles to include statements
  - (i) Setting out the full grounds for the application, including all of the documents on which the landlord relies and copies of any replies from the tenants;
  - (ii) The Leaseholders were asked to confirm whether or not they would give their consent to the application.
  - (iii) In the event that such agreement was not forthcoming the leaseholders were to state why they opposed the application and provide copies of all documents to be relied upon.
4. The Applicant confirmed that copies of the application were sent to all the leaseholders on 3 September 2024 and the digital notice boards within the communal areas had been used to inform the leaseholders of the proposed works on 8 August.
5. No objections were received from the leaseholders.
6. The Leaseholders were informed in the Directions issued by the Tribunal that the question of reasonableness of the works or cost was

not included in this application, the sole purpose of which is to seek dispensation.

### **The Evidence**

7. The Chelsea Creek Tower comprises a purpose built block of fifty apartments above a commercial unit on the ground floor. The fire fighting height of the tower is 74.2 metres.
8. Following an inspection of the lift on 3 August the applicant was advised that there was a fault with one of the four belts and that one required replacement. The replacement belt was ordered on 8 August for which there was a four week lead in time.
9. The applicant stated that due to the height of the building it was considered that there should be no undue delay since if there was a fire the defective belt could result in the work of the fire brigade being impeded.
10. The work was completed on 29 September at a cost of £24,916.67 + VAT.

### **The Decision**

11. The relevant test to be applied in an application for dispensation was set out by the Supreme Court in *Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson & Ors* [2013] UKSC 14 where it was held that the purpose of the section 20 consultation procedure was to protect tenants from paying for inappropriate works or paying an inappropriate amount. Dispensation should not result in prejudice to the tenant.
12. The Tribunal determines from the evidence before it that the works were necessary, were required to be completed urgently and that no prejudice to the lessees has been demonstrated or asserted.
13. On the evidence before it, and in these circumstances, the Tribunal considers that the application for dispensation be granted.

**Name:** Evelyn Flint

**Date:** 18 October 2024

### **RIGHTS OF APPEAL**

1. If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
2. The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the Regional office within 28 days after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.
3. The application should be made on Form RP PTA available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/form-rp-pta-application-for-permission-to-appeal-a-decision-to-the-upper-tribunal-lands-chamber>
4. If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed despite not being within the time limit.
5. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.