



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

Case Number: 115255/2009

Mrs I Reilly

Claimant

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Glasgow City Council

Respondents

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Cordia (Services) LLP

[Redacted]
[Redacted]

1203 2009 MS

JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out under rule 37 of the Rules contained in Schedule 1 of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 on the grounds that the claim has not been actively pursued in terms of rule 37(1)(d).

REASONS

1. The claimant's solicitors having withdrawn from acting on her behalf, a letter was sent to her on 29 July 2024 on the direction of an Employment Judge asking for confirmation of her intention with regard to this claim.
2. That correspondence has been returned to the Tribunal Office indicating that the claimant no longer resides at the address provided on the ET1.
3. No steps have been taken by the claimant to advise the Tribunal of her new address. In the absence of this information the tribunal cannot communicate with the claimant. The Tribunal have been unable to give the claimant notice under rule 37(2) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.
4. The Tribunal assumes in these circumstances that she no longer wishes to pursue her claim, which is struck out under rule 37(1)(d) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.

Employment Judge:	F Eccles
Date of Judgment:	28 August 2024
Entered in register:	28 August 2024
and copied to parties	