

# **Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended)**

## **Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit**

The Permit number is:                   EPR/BX0865IF  
The Operator is:                        Kepak Kirkham Limited  
The Installation is:                    Kirkham Food Processing Plant  
This Variation Notice number is:   EPR/BX0865IF/V002

### **What this document is about**

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication by the European Commission of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries published on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2019 in the Official Journal of the European Union. In this decision document, we set out the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we have issued.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issue. Where this has not already been done, it also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and with other permits issued to Installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been deleted because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document, we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. Achieving all three objectives is not always easy, and we would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future.

### **How this document is structured**

1. Our decision
2. How we reached our decision
3. The legal framework
4. Annex 1 – Review of operating techniques within the Installation against BAT Conclusions.
5. Annex 2 – Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review
6. Annex 3 – Improvement Conditions

# 1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the Variation Notice to the Operator. This will allow the Operator to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the Consolidated Variation Notice that updates the whole permit.

We consider that, in reaching our decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The Consolidated Variation Notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of “tailor-made” or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

## 2 How we reached our decision

### 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusion techniques

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 03/08/2022 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate where the operation of their installation currently meets, or how it will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the relevant BAT Conclusions document.

The Notice required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- describes the techniques that will be implemented before 4 December 2023, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standards, or
- justifies why standards will not be met by 4 December 2023, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the Installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standards are not applicable to those processes, or
- justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised BAT standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT-AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice required that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that BAT-AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 29/11/2022.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that determination.

The Operator made no claim for commercial confidentiality. We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 61 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

## 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the Installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous experience in the regulation of the installation we have no reason to consider that the Operator will not be able to comply with the techniques and standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

## 2.3 Requests for further information during determination

Although we were able to consider the Regulation 61 Notice response generally satisfactory at receipt, we did in fact need more information in order to complete our permit review assessment, and issued a further information request on 20/06/2024, this was regarding BAT 6, BAT 7, BAT 11 and BAT 12. A copy of the further information request was placed on our public register.

# 3 The legal framework

The Consolidated Variation Notice will be issued under Regulations 18 and 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an *installation* as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that, in issuing the Consolidated Variation Notice, it will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

## Annex 1: decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries, were published by the European Commission on 4 December 2019.

There are 37 BAT Conclusions.

BAT 1 – 15 are General BAT Conclusions (Narrative BAT) applicable to all relevant Food, Drink and Milk Installations in scope.

BAT 16 – 37 are sector-specific BAT Conclusions, including Best Available Techniques Associated Emissions Levels (BAT-AELs) and Associated Environmental Performance Levels (BAT-AEPLs):

BAT 16 & 17	BAT Conclusions for Animal Feed
BAT 18 – 20	BAT Conclusions for Brewing
BAT 21 – 23	BAT Conclusions for Dairies
BAT 24	BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production
BAT 25 & 26	BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing
BAT 27	BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing
BAT 28	BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling
BAT 29	BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing
BAT 30 – 32	BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining
BAT 33	BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables
BAT 34	BAT Conclusions for Starch Production
BAT 35 – 37	BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

**NA – Not Applicable**

**CC – Currently Compliant**

**FC – Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT Conclusions)**

**NC – Not Compliant**

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
<b>GENERAL BAT CONCLUSIONS (BAT 1-15)</b>			
1	<p><b>Environmental Management System - Improve overall environmental performance.</b></p> <p>Implement an EMS that incorporates all the features as described within BATc 1.</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 1. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 1.</p> <p>Although the onsite EMS is not externally accredited to the ISO14001 standard, the operator provided a summary of the document and it is determined that it is written in line with the requirements of the ISO14001 accreditation and meets all the requirements set out in the BATc.</p>
2	<p><b>EMS Inventory of inputs &amp; outputs. Increase resource efficiency and reduce emissions.</b></p> <p>Establish, maintain and regularly review (including when a significant change occurs) an inventory of water, energy and raw materials consumption as well as of waste water and waste gas streams, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that incorporates all of the features as detailed within the BATCs.</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 2. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 2.</p> <p>The operator declared that their EMS meets the standards of ISO14001 and contains the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Process flow diagrams</li> <li>• Regular sampling and monitoring of water usage and waste streams, in addition to all energy consumption.</li> </ul>
3	<p><b>Monitoring key process parameters at key locations for emissions to water.</b></p> <p>For relevant emissions to water as identified by the inventory of waste water streams (see BAT 2), BAT is to monitor key process parameters (e.g. continuous monitoring of waste water flow, pH and temperature) at key locations (e.g. at the inlet and/or outlet of the pre-treatment, at the inlet to the final treatment, at the point where the emission leaves the installation).</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 3. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 3.</p> <p>The operator monitors all site effluent daily, for temperature and pH before treatment and flow</p>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			rate at the point the effluent leaves the site. Furthermore the effluent is monitored for suspended solids and chemical oxygen demand (COD) by the sewage undertaker.
4	<p><b>Monitoring emissions to water to the required frequencies and standards.</b></p> <p>BAT is to monitor emissions to water with at least the frequency given [refer to BAT 4 table in BATc] and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.</p>	NA	<p>We are satisfied that BATc 4 is not applicable to this Installation.</p> <p>This BATc is concerned with discharges of process effluent to controlled waters and this installation does not have such discharges. All treated waste water is discharged directly to sewer under consent of United Utilities. As such, BATc 4 is not applicable.</p>
5	<p><b>Monitoring channelled emissions to air to the required frequencies and standards.</b></p> <p>BAT is to monitor channelled emissions to air with at least the frequency given and in accordance with EN standards.</p>	NA	<p>We are satisfied that BATc 5 is not applicable to this Installation.</p> <p>This BATc is concerned with channelled dust emissions to air from processes such as grinding, cooling, or drying. This installation does not have any of this processes therefore, BATc 5 is not applicable.</p>
6	<p><b>Energy Efficiency</b></p> <p>In order to increase energy efficiency, BAT is to use an energy efficiency plan (BAT 6a) and an appropriate combination of the common techniques listed in technique 6b within the table in the BATc.</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 6. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 6.</p> <p>The operator has set out annual energy efficiency targets and continuous improvement in energy efficiency is embedded within the onsite activities and fundamental to the monitoring and targeting of site performance.</p> <p>In addition to this the operator used the following techniques to ensure energy efficiency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burner regulation and control on all boilers and process ovens.</li> <li>• Preheating feed water.</li> </ul>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reducing heat loss by insulation.</li> <li>• Reducing compressed air system leaks via preventative maintenance.</li> <li>• Minimising blowdown from the boiler.</li> <li>• Energy efficient lighting: LED lighting is installed across the site</li> <li>• High efficiency evaporate condensers are used in the processes.</li> </ul>
7	<p><b>Water and wastewater minimisation</b></p> <p>In order to reduce water consumption and the volume of waste water discharged, BAT is to use BAT 7a and one or a combination of the techniques b to k given below.</p> <p>(a) water recycling and/or reuse</p> <p>(b) Optimisation of water flow</p> <p>(c) Optimisation of water nozzles and hoses</p> <p>(d) Segregation of water streams</p> <p>Techniques related to cleaning operations:</p> <p>(e) Dry cleaning</p> <p>(f) Pigging system for pipes</p> <p>(g) High-pressure cleaning</p> <p>(h) Optimisation of chemical dosing and water use in cleaning-in-place (CIP)</p> <p>(i) Low-pressure foam and/or gel cleaning</p> <p>(j) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas</p> <p>(k) Cleaning of equipment as soon as possible</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 7. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 7.</p> <p>The site currently recycles and reuses water from the evaporative condensers, cooker scrubber and condensate return from the boilers. Water recycling and reuse is considered when all new projects are planned.</p> <p>The site uses the following techniques to reduce water usage:</p> <p><i>b) Optimisation of water flow</i> – Water flow is optimised in the odour abatement plant.</p> <p><i>c) Optimisation of water nozzles and hoses</i> - Self closing triggers are used on all cleaning hoses to minimise water use.</p> <p><i>e) Dry cleaning</i> – dry cleaning processes are utilized wherever appropriate on site.</p> <p><i>k) Cleaning of equipment as soon as possible</i> - Cleaning equipment is carried out to prevent product hardening through hygiene operations for specific equipment.</p>



BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			i) <i>Low pressure foam and/or gel cleaning</i> – in main production area low pressure foam cleaning is utilized.
8	<p><b>Prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances</b></p> <p>In order to prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances, e.g. in cleaning and disinfection, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below.</p> <p>(a) Proper selection of cleaning chemicals and/or disinfectants  (b) Reuse of cleaning chemicals in cleaning-in-place (CIP)  (c) Dry cleaning  (d) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 8. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 8.</p> <p>The operator uses a combination of techniques on site to ensure harmful substance use is reduced, this includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proper selection of cleaning chemicals and/or disinfectants</li> <li>• Dry cleaning</li> <li>• Optimised design and construction of equipment to aid the cleaning processes.</li> </ul>
9	<p><b>Refrigerants</b></p> <p>In order to prevent emissions of ozone-depleting substances and of substances with a high global warming potential from cooling and freezing, BAT is to use refrigerants without ozone depletion potential and with a low global warming potential.</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 9. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 9.</p> <p>The operator provided details of all the refrigerants used on site and their plan on how to manage these, currently all refrigerants used in the process are ammonia which has no global warming potential (GWP).</p>
10	<p><b>Resource efficiency</b></p> <p>In order to increase resource efficiency, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below:</p> <p>(a) Anaerobic digestion  (b) Use of residues  (c) Separation of residues  (d) Recovery and reuse of residues from the pasteuriser  (e) Phosphorus recovery as struvite  (f) Use of waste water for land spreading</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 10. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 10.</p>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			<p>The operator has confirmed that they use a range of techniques to increase resource efficiency, this includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All residues are separated</li> <li>- Sending food waste to off-site anaerobic digestion (AD).</li> </ul>
11	<p><b>Waste water buffer storage</b> In order to prevent uncontrolled emissions to water, BAT is to provide an appropriate buffer storage capacity for waste water.</p>	<b>CC</b>	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 11. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 11.</p> <p>A buffer tank is located prior to the effluent treatment plant (ETP) allowing all effluent to be monitored before treatment. The tank allows flow to the ETP to be shut off to control any out of spec effluent, this can then be treated before further treatment in the ETP.</p> <p>The ETP and storage tanks are located on a sloping floor and drains to an underground effluent feeding chamber. (Please see details in containment section of Annex 2)</p> <p>Furthermore, penstock valves are in place at key locations in addition to spill kits across the site for smaller spillages.</p>
12	<p><b>Emissions to water – treatment</b> In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given below. Preliminary, primary and general treatment (a) Equalisation (b) Neutralisation (c) Physical separate (eg screens, sieves, primary settlement tanks etc) Aerobic and/or anaerobic treatment (secondary treatment) (d) Aerobic and/or anaerobic treatment (eg activated sludge, aerobic lagoon etc) (e) Nitrification and/or denitrification</p>	<b>CC</b>	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 12. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 12.</p> <p>All effluent generated in the process is treated in the on-site effluent treatment plant. The effluent undergoes physical separation in a primary settlement tank and step screen to remove the solids. The pH is adjusted and passed through a dissolved air floatation plant to further separate solids from the wastewater.</p>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement										
	(f) Partial nitrification - anaerobic ammonium oxidation Phosphorus recovery and/or removal (g) Phosphorus recovery as struvite (h) Precipitation (i) Enhanced biological phosphorus removal Final solids removal (j) Coagulation and flocculation (k) Sedimentation (l) Filtration (eg sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration) (m) Flotation		The final treated effluent is the discharge to sewer under consent of United Utilities.										
12	<p><b>Emissions to water – treatment</b>  <b>BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for direct emissions to a receiving water body</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="304 804 1234 1007"> <thead> <tr> <th>Parameter</th> <th>BAT-AEL (°) (°) (daily average)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (°) (°)</td> <td>25-100 mg/l (°)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total suspended solids (TSS)</td> <td>4-50 mg/l (°)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total nitrogen (TN)</td> <td>2-20 mg/l (°) (°)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total phosphorus (TP)</td> <td>0,2-2 mg/l (°)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Parameter	BAT-AEL (°) (°) (daily average)	Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (°) (°)	25-100 mg/l (°)	Total suspended solids (TSS)	4-50 mg/l (°)	Total nitrogen (TN)	2-20 mg/l (°) (°)	Total phosphorus (TP)	0,2-2 mg/l (°)	NA	We are satisfied that BATc 12-AELs are not applicable to this Installation. This BATc is concerned with direct discharges to water. All treated waste water is discharged directly to sewer under consent of United Utilities. As such, BATc 12-AELs are not applicable to this installation.
Parameter	BAT-AEL (°) (°) (daily average)												
Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (°) (°)	25-100 mg/l (°)												
Total suspended solids (TSS)	4-50 mg/l (°)												
Total nitrogen (TN)	2-20 mg/l (°) (°)												
Total phosphorus (TP)	0,2-2 mg/l (°)												
13	<p><b>Noise management plan</b></p> <p>In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review a noise management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a protocol containing actions and timelines;</li> <li>- a protocol for conducting noise emissions monitoring;</li> <li>- a protocol for response to identified noise events, e.g. complaints;</li> <li>- a noise reduction programme designed to identify the source(s), to measure/estimate noise and vibration exposure, to characterise the contributions of the sources and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures.</li> </ul>	NA	We are satisfied that BATc 13 is not applicable to this Installation. This BATc is concerned with sites that have previously received noise nuisance complaints, this site has received no such complaints and therefore BATc 13 is not applicable.										

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
14	<p><b>Noise management</b></p> <p>In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below.</p> <p>(a) Appropriate location of equipment and buildings</p> <p>(b) Operational measures</p> <p>(c) Low-noise equipment</p> <p>(d) Noise control equipment</p> <p>(e) Noise abatement</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 14. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 14.</p> <p>Equipment is located in appropriate areas to ensure noise is mitigated, pumps motors and compressors are only used internally.</p> <p>Structures are located at the furthest point from sensitive receptors.</p> <p>The site implements operational measures to reduce noise emissions, such as preventative maintenance procedures and ensuring doors are kept shut.</p> <p>The site has installed acoustic doors to their odour abatement plant to ensure noise is mitigated.</p>
15	<p><b>Odour Management</b></p> <p>In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce odour emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review an odour management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a protocol containing actions and timelines;</li> <li>- a protocol for conducting odour monitoring.</li> <li>- a protocol for response to identified odour incidents eg complaints;</li> <li>- an odour prevention and reduction programme designed to identify the source(s); to measure/estimate odour exposure: to characterise the contributions of the sources; and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures.</li> </ul>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 15. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 15.</p> <p>The site has not received any odour complaints and as such does not require an odour management plan and BAT15 is not applicable. The operator has submitted an odour management plan however this has not been formally approved by the Environment Agency.</p>

## **Annex 2: Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review**

### **Updating permit during permit review consolidation**

- Activity name
- Introductory note
- Site plan
- Table S1.1 overhaul
  - Activity Reference (AR) renumbering
  - Updated listed activities
  - Addition of production capacity
  - Directly associated activities (DAAs) standardisation

We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as a part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide the same level of protection as those in the previous permit.

### **Production/Capacity threshold**

The Environment Agency is looking to draw a “line in the sand” for permitted production capacity; a common understanding between the Operator and regulator for the emissions associated with a (maximum) level of production, whereby the maximum emissions have been demonstrated as causing no significant environmental impact.

We have included a permitted production level (capacity) within table S1.1 of the permit for the section 6.8 listed activity and we need to be confident that the level of emissions associated with this production level have been demonstrated to be acceptable.

The maximum production capacity for the site has increased however as there are no particulate emissions or direct emission to water a new H1 assessment is not required. The consent to discharge with United Utilities is up to date and is not impacted by this increase.

### **Emissions to Air**

We asked the operator to list all emission points to air from the installation in the Regulation 61 notice. And to provide a site plan indicating the locations of all air emission points.

The operator has provided an up to date air emission plan.

### **Implementing the requirements of the Medium Combustion Plant Directive**

#### **Existing Medium Combustion Plant (1MW-50MW)**

We asked the Operator to provide information on all combustion plant on site in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows:

- Number of combustion plant (CHP engines, back-up generators, boilers);

- Size of combustion plant – rated thermal input (MWth)
- Date each combustion plant came into operation

The Operator provided the information in the table(s) below:

**Boilers**

	Boiler 1	Boiler 2
1. Rated thermal input (MW) of the medium combustion plant.	5 MWth	5 MWth
2. Type of the medium combustion plant (diesel engine, gas turbine, dual fuel engine, other engine or other medium combustion plant).	Boiler	Boiler
3. Type and share of fuels used according to the fuel categories laid down in Annex II.	Natural Gas	Natural Gas
4. Date of the start of the operation of the medium combustion plant or, where the exact date of the start of the operation is unknown, proof of the fact that the operation started before 20 December 2018.	January 2004	January 2004

We have reviewed the information provided and we consider that the declared combustion plant qualify as “existing” medium combustion plant.

For existing MCP with a rated thermal input of less than or equal to 5 MW, the emission limit values set out in tables 1 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex II MCPD shall apply from 1 January 2030.

We have included the appropriate emission limit values for existing medium combustion plant as part of this permit review. See Table S3.1 in the permit. We have also included a new condition 3.1.4 within the permit which specifies the monitoring requirements for the combustion plant in accordance with the MCPD.

**Emissions to Water and implementing the requirements of the Water Framework Directive**

We asked the Operator to provide information on all emissions to water at the installation in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows;

- Identify any effluents which discharge directly to surface or groundwater;
- Provide an assessment of volume and quality, including results of any monitoring data available;
- and for any discharges to water / soakaway whether a recent assessment of the feasibility of connection to sewer has been carried out.

The operator has previously provided assessments for all emissions to water at the installation. The operator declares there has been no change to activities and subsequent effluents generated at the installation since this risk assessment was taken. Consequently, we agree that the original risk assessments remain valid at this time.

### **Soil & groundwater risk assessment (baseline report)**

The IED requires that the operator of any IED installation using, producing or releasing “relevant hazardous substances” (RHS) shall, having regarded the possibility that they might cause pollution of soil and groundwater, submit a “baseline report” with its permit application. The baseline report is an important reference document in the assessment of contamination that might arise during the operational lifetime of the regulated facility and at cessation of activities. It must enable a quantified comparison to be made between the baseline and the state of the site at surrender.

At the definitive cessation of activities, the Operator has to satisfy us that the necessary measures have been taken so that the site ceases to pose a risk to soil or groundwater, taking into account both the baseline conditions and the site’s current or approved future use. To do this, the Operator has to submit a surrender application to us, which we will not grant unless and until we are satisfied that these requirements have been met.

The Operator submitted a site condition report [Application Bespoke - A001 - Supporting documents incl SCR 09072004] during the original application received on 09/07/2004. The site condition report included a report on the baseline conditions as required by Article 22. We reviewed that report and considered that it adequately described the condition of the soil and groundwater at that time.

The Operator submitted a summary report which referenced the site condition report and baseline report. We have reviewed the information and we consider that it adequately describes the current condition of the soil and groundwater. Consequently, we are satisfied that the baseline conditions have not changed.

### **Hazardous Substances**

Hazardous substances are those defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures

The operator has confirmed there has been no change in the hazardous substances used, their capability of causing pollution and/or the pollution prevention measures at the installation since the risk assessment was submitted on 09/07/2004. Consequently, we are satisfied there has been no change to the assessment of risk for hazardous substances.

### **Climate Change Adaptation**

The operator has considered if the site is at risk of impacts from adverse weather (flooding, unavailability of land for land spreading, prolonged dry weather / drought) .

The operator has stated that the installation is not likely to be or has previously not been affected by climate change.

The installation relies on a resilient water supply and is a business critical factor. However, all water is sourced from reliable mains and a Climate Change Adaption Plan is not applicable to this installation. A risk assessment has been completed.

## **Containment**

We asked the Operator via the Regulation 61 Notice to provide details of the each above ground tanks which contain potentially polluting liquids at the site, including tanks associated with the effluent treatment process where applicable.

The Operator provided details of all tanks;

- Tank reference/name
- Contents
- Capacity (litres)
- Location
- Construction material(s) of each tank
- The bunding specification including
  - Whether the tank is bunded
  - If the bund is shared with other tanks
  - The capacity of the bund
  - The bund capacity as % of tank capacity
  - Construction material of the bund
  - Whether the bund has a drain point
  - Whether any pipes penetrate the bund wall
- Details of overfill prevention
- Drainage arrangements outside of bunded areas
- Tank filling/emptying mitigation measures (drips/splashes)
- Leak detection measures
- Details of when last bund integrity test was carried out
- Maintenance measures in place for tank and bund (inspections)
- How the bund is emptied
- Details of tertiary containment

and whether the onsite tanks currently meet the relevant standard in the CIRIA “Containment systems for the prevention of pollution (C736)” report.

We reviewed the information provided by the operator and their findings. We are not satisfied that the existing tanks and containment measures on site meet the standards set out in CIRIA C736.

The effluent treatment plant and storage tanks are located on a sloping floor and drains to an underground effluent feeding chamber. As this tank is underground and has been in use for over 20 years it is imperative that an assessment is carried out to ensure the integrity of the tank.

We have set improvement conditions in the permit to address the deficiencies in the existing tanks and containment measures on site (IC6). See Improvement condition(s) in Annex 3 of this decision document.



### Annex 3: Improvement Conditions

Based on the information in the Operator's Regulation 61 Notice response and our own records of the capability and performance of the installation at this site, we consider that we do not need to set improvement conditions relating to the techniques detailed in the BAT Conclusions. However we do consider that an improvement condition is required relating to changes in the permit not associated with the compliance of the BAT conclusion.

These improvement conditions are set out below - justifications for them is provided at the relevant section of the decision document (Annex 1 or Annex 2).

Previous improvement conditions marked as complete in the previous permit.

<b>Superseded Improvement Conditions – Removed from permit as marked as “complete”</b>	
<b>Reference</b>	<b>Improvement Condition</b>
IC1	The operator shall submit, in writing to the Agency, an Energy Efficiency Plan, or an equivalent agreed in writing with the Agency, having regard to the Agency Guidance Note IPPC S6.10, august 2003, Section 2.7.2 and Section 2.7.3
IC2	The Operator shall review their written accident management plan with regard to the requirements set out in Section 2.8 of the Agency Guidance Note (IPPC S6.10, July 2003). The review shall include, but not be limited to the identification of hazards (such as spillage/overflow from the waste fat storage tank or effluent treatment plant) and assessment if risks. Upon completion of the review, any improvements identified shall be incorporated and the revised document shall be submitted to the Agency.
IC3	The Operator shall submit, in writing to the Agency, a monitoring programme for the release point S1 having regard for the requirements given in Table 2.10.1. The programme shall include but not be limited to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Identification of parameters to be monitored</li> <li>– The monitoring method to be employed</li> <li>– The monitoring frequency</li> <li>– Provisions (including a timetable) to be made to meet MCERT requirements were appropriate, or an equivalent agreed in writing with the Agency.</li> </ul>
IC4	Th Operator shall undertake monitoring to characterise the emissions of volatile organic compounds from emission point A2. Based on the monitoring results, the operator shall re-evaluate the environmental impact assessment for these emissions, and provide a report in writing to the Agency.
IC5	The operator shall carry out an assessment of recovery, reuse and disposal options for screening waste and sludge form the effluent treatment plant and identify any improvements. (This assessment shall not compromise the requirements of the Animal By-Products Regulations). On conclusion of the assessment a summary report shall be submitted in writing to the Agency detailing the assessment and its findings and shall include a timetable for implementing any improvements identified.

The following improvement conditions have added to the permit as a result of the variation.

<b>Improvement programme requirements</b>		
<b>Reference</b>	<b>Reason for inclusion</b>	<b>Justification of deadline</b>
IC6	<p>The operator shall submit a written 'underground structures plan' and shall obtain the Environment Agency's written approval to it. The plan shall contain the results of a review conducted, by a competent person, in accordance with the risk assessment methodology detailed within CIRIA C736 (2014) guidance, of the condition and extent of secondary and tertiary containment systems where all polluting liquids and solids are being stored.</p> <p>The review shall include, but not be limited to, the following for all underground structures at the installation;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The physical condition of all underground structures;</li> <li>• The suitability of providing containment when subjected to the dynamic and static loads caused by the vessels' contents;</li> <li>• A preventative maintenance inspection regime.</li> </ul> <p>The plan must contain dates for the implementation of individual improvement measures necessary for the underground structures to adhere to the standards detailed/referenced within CIRIA C736 (2014) guidance, or equivalent.</p> <p>The plan shall be implemented in accordance with the Environment Agency's written approval.</p>	6 months from permit issue or other date as agreed in writing with the Environment Agency