

National Professional Qualification (NPQ): Leading Literacy Framework

October 2021

Acknowledgements

This National Professional Qualification Framework was developed in consultation with the following members of an Expert Advisory Group.

Elaine Allen English Hubs Council

Professor Teresa Cremin The Open University

David Didau Ormiston Academy

Ruth Miskin Read Write Inc.

Charlotte Raby Early Reading expert and English consultant

Mark Siswick Wandle Learning Trust

Andrew Truby Learning Unlimited

A wider advisory group of individuals and organisations has provided further support and challenge during the framework drafting process.

The content of the framework and its underpinning evidence have been independently assessed and endorsed by the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF).



Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Contents	3
Summary	4
About this guidance	4
Who is this guidance for?	4
Introduction	5
One – Teaching	10
Two – Developing Language	11
Three – Developing Reading	12
Four – Developing Writing	14
Five – Professional Development	16
Six – Implementation	19
References	22

Summary

About this guidance

This publication provides guidance from the Department for Education (DfE). It sets out the knowledge, skills and behaviours that will be developed by teachers when they participate in the National Professional Qualification for Leading Literacy (NPQLL).

Who is this guidance for?

This guidance is for:

- · teachers applying for, or participating in, this NPQ
- school leaders who are encouraging staff to undertake this NPQ
- organisations developing and delivering NPQs.

Introduction

Transforming the support and development offer for teachers and school leaders throughout their career

Teachers are the foundation of the education system – there are no great schools without great teachers. At the heart of great teaching and great school leadership is a shared, evidence-informed understanding of what works.

Delivering on the commitments set out in the <u>Teacher Recruitment and Retention</u> <u>Strategy</u>, we have transformed our training and support for teachers at all stages of their career.

We revised our Initial Teacher Training (ITT) Core Content Framework in 2019 and, through the implementation of the Early Career Framework (ECF), are now supporting teachers in the first years of their career with a structured two-year induction into the profession.

Building on this, our priority is to help all our teachers and school leaders continuously develop their knowledge and skills throughout their career so every child in every classroom in every school gets a world-class start in life.

The National Professional Qualifications (NPQs) provide training and support for teachers and school leaders at all levels, from those who want to develop expertise in high-quality teaching practice, such as behaviour management, to those leading multiple schools across trusts. We are also now expanding the suite of NPQs to include early years.

The NPQ frameworks continue the robust method of design and development, building on the evidence base and expert guidance already established in the ECF and the ITT Core Content Framework. They complete the golden thread, running from initial teacher training through to school leadership, rooting teacher and school leader development in the best available evidence and collective wisdom of the profession.

The frameworks reflect the <u>Teachers' Standards</u> and <u>Headteachers' Standards</u> to ensure coherency with the requirements already used by the teaching profession.

The 2021 suite of National Professional Qualifications

NPQs are a set of prestigious professional qualifications, already widely recognised by the sector. They are voluntary and are designed to support the professional development of teachers and school leaders at all levels, across primary and secondary schools. Three existing NPQs in Senior Leadership, Headship and Executive Leadership have been reformed to ensure they are underpinned by the latest and best evidence. Our NPQ in Middle Leadership has been replaced with three new NPQs for teachers and school leaders who want to broaden and deepen their expertise in specialist areas.

The 2021 NPQs comprise the:

- National Professional Qualification for Leading Teacher Development
 (NPQLTD) for teachers who have, or are aspiring to have, responsibilities for
 leading the development of other teachers in their school. They may have
 responsibilities for the development of all teachers across a school or specifically
 trainees or teachers who are early in their career.
- National Professional Qualification for Leading Behaviour and Culture (NPQLBC) – for teachers who have, or are aspiring to have, responsibilities for leading behaviour and/or supporting pupil wellbeing in their school.
- National Professional Qualification for Leading Teaching (NPQLT) for teachers who have, or are aspiring to have, responsibilities for leading teaching in a subject, year group, key stage or phase.
- National Professional Qualification for Senior Leadership (NPQSL) for school leaders who are, or are aspiring to be, a senior leader with cross-school responsibilities.
- National Professional Qualification for Headship (NPQH) for school leaders
 who are, or are aspiring to be, a headteacher or head of school with responsibility
 for leading a school.
- National Professional Qualification for Executive Leadership (NPQEL) for school leaders who are, or are aspiring to be, an executive headteacher or have a school trust CEO role with responsibility for leading several schools.

Reforming the National Professional Qualification content frameworks for 2021

In collaboration with an Expert Advisory Group, we consulted extensively with the sector to design the reformed suite of NPQs for 2021. This work gathered invaluable input from teachers, school and trust leaders, academics, and experts.

The frameworks set out two types of content. Within each section, key evidence statements ("Learn that…") have been drawn from current high-quality evidence from the UK and overseas. This evidence includes high-quality reviews and syntheses, including meta-analyses and rigorous individual studies. In addition, the NPQ frameworks provide practical guidance on the skills that teachers and school/trust leaders should be supported to develop. Practice statements ("Learn how to…") draw both on the best

available educational research and on additional guidance from the Expert Advisory Group and other sector representatives.

The NPQs have been designed around how to support all pupils to succeed. This includes those pupils identified within the four areas of need set out in the Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) Code of Practice, and children in need of help and protection as identified in the Children in Need Review.

The EEF has independently reviewed the frameworks to ensure they draw on the best available evidence and that this evidence has been interpreted with fidelity. References for evidence underpinning each section are provided at the end of the framework.

The NPQ frameworks have also been reviewed by a wide range of sector bodies including unions and special educational needs and disability experts.

National Professional Qualification available from 2022

From autumn 2022 two further NPQs will be available. They build on the new pathway for teacher and leader development and progression, accessible at all stages of a teacher or leader's career. The methodology for the development of the content frameworks for these NPQs remains the same as for the 2021 suite, and the EEF has once again independently reviewed them to ensure they draw on the best available evidence and that this evidence has been interpreted with fidelity.

The 2022 NPQs comprise the:

- National Professional Qualification for Leading Literacy (NPQLL) for teachers who have, or are aspiring to have, responsibilities for leading literacy across a school, year group, key stage or phase.
- National Professional Qualification for Early Years Leadership (NPQEYL) –
 for leaders qualified to at least Level 3 with a full and relevant qualification¹ who
 are, or are aspiring to be, managers of Private, Voluntary and Independent
 nurseries, headteachers of school-based and maintained nurseries, or
 childminders with leadership responsibilities.

Professionals Leading Literacy

Leading literacy teaching is complex. Although the role varies, many phase leaders, key stage leaders, and heads of department (or similar titles) with responsibilities for leading literacy are considered to be part of the middle leadership team. They often contribute to

¹ "Full and relevant qualifications" are defined as qualifications that demonstrate depth and level of learning appropriate to specified outcomes of full early years, childcare or playwork qualifications. The qualification should have valid, reliable assessment and awarding procedures and must include an element of assessed performance evidence.

strategic school development, develop and lead a team of teachers, and their work is focused on supporting effective teaching of literacy across the school.

Literacy leaders need to have a deep understanding of the fundamental importance of literacy and recognise the influence it has on pupils' future academic achievement, wellbeing and success in life. An excellent literacy leader will have expertise across a number of specialist areas related to their role (e.g. developing pupils' spoken language) and a passion for literature that they want to share with the school community.

Literacy leaders also need to have a deep understanding of the school and wider community in which they work, enabling them to share their expertise effectively. They must understand how to prioritise professional development to ensure their colleagues have the knowledge, skills, understanding and professional support to teach reading and writing effectively. They must work with their colleagues, collectively enabling their school to keep improving (e.g. implementation). They must understand the relationship between these different domains, how they can change over time and how to contribute to a culture and conditions in which staff and pupils are able to thrive, all while maintaining the highest professional conduct as set out in the Teachers' Standards.

This framework is a codification of essential knowledge, skills and concepts that underpin successful leadership of teaching literacy. It sets out what those leading literacy teaching should know and be able to do within the specialist areas related to their role and in relation to putting new approaches into practice. Providers of this qualification will design a curriculum that draws on and blends sections from across this framework with the professional standards that sit alongside it, and which is responsive to the needs of the participants who are taking the course.

The course curriculum should aim to develop expertise that is flexible and allows participants to respond to the challenges they will encounter in a range of contexts. It should also provide fellow professionals with a network, common language and access to a continuous debate through which the collective expertise of our education system can grow and develop. For the National Professional Qualification for Leading Literacy, the use of subject-specific, phase-specific, or domain-specific exemplification materials should be embedded into the course content to enable professionals to develop expert teaching practice within their relevant context.

Updating the National Professional Qualification content frameworks

The NPQ frameworks will be kept under review as the evidence base evolves. As in any profession, the evidence base is not static and research insights develop and progress.





National Professional Qualification (NPQ): Leading Literacy Framework

This qualification is for teachers who have, or are aspiring to have, responsibilities for leading literacy across a school, year group, key stage or phase

One - Teaching

Participants will be effective teachers who apply a range of knowledge and skills to teaching, including those set out in the **Initial teacher training and early career framework**.

Two – Developing Language

Learn	ı that	Learn	how to
2.2.	The secure development of pupils' language and vocabulary is a crucial factor in improving pupils' academic success, particularly in literacy. Pupils from more socially disadvantaged backgrounds are disproportionately more likely to be affected by language difficulties when they enter school. Regardless of subject specialism, all teachers can develop pupils' language skills. High-quality classroom discussion can support pupils to articulate key ideas,	capab 2.a.	ort colleagues to develop all pupils' language bility and wider understanding of language by: Explaining how to model and develop high-quality pupil talk and listening and ensuring high-quality talk is prioritised in the classroom. Identifying pupils whose language learning difficulties might have a significant impact on their ability to access the curriculum and seeking specialised language support.
2.4.	consolidate understanding and extend their vocabulary. Collaborative activity that involves pair and group discussion can increase outcomes when it is carefully planned, well-designed and structured, and guided by teachers.		Planning and modelling structured collaborative activities that allow pupils to articulate their understanding and teachers to probe and remedy their misconceptions. Providing scaffolds for pupil discussion to increase the focus and rigour of dialogue.
	Teachers should be effectively supported to assess pupils' individual language needs and to identify appropriate interventions. Short-term oral language interventions that boost		Ensuring teachers use a range of structured questioning to improve the quality of class discussion (e.g. to check pupils' prior knowledge, assess understanding and break down problems).
2.7.	spoken vocabulary can improve outcomes for pupils who require additional support, including those from disadvantaged backgrounds. It is important to teach Tier 2 and Tier 3 vocabulary incrementally from reception through to key stages 3 and 4.		Embedding language development into the curriculum by ensuring that subject-specific vocabulary is planned across the curriculum, so that it is linked with prior knowledge, continually reinforced, and builds incrementally as pupils progress both within and between key stages.

Three – Developing Reading

Learn	that	Learn how to	
3.1.	Reading comprises two broad elements: word reading and language comprehension. Both elements must be addressed to ensure pupils become skilled readers. Systematic synthetic phonics is the most effective approach for teaching pupils to decode, including older pupils struggling with decoding.	 Support colleagues to develop all pupils' word reading by: 3.a. Ensuring that the school uses systematic synthetic phonics taught with fidelity, when teaching early reading or when old pupils are struggling with decoding. 3.b. Ensuring pupils are supported to read aloud accurately boo 	der oks
3.2.	Pedagogical skills and content knowledge are important for teaching phonics; teachers should be supported using evidence-based professional development to teach early reading.	that are consistent with their developing phonic knowledge and that do not require them to use other strategies to work out words. 3.c. Ensuring pupils who have learnt how to decode develop	<
3.3.	Fluent reading supports comprehension because pupils' cognitive resources are freed from focusing on word recognition and can be redirected towards comprehending the text.	fluency through regular and monitored reading, and texts ar read aloud to pupils who are unable to decode. 3.d. Promoting the effective use of assessment of reading acros the school e.g. identifying where pupils are struggling in wor	ss
3.4.	Through regular, monitored reading, pupils' orthographic development improves, leading to fluency.	reading, reading fluency or language comprehension and when pupils require additional targeted support.	
3.5.	Motivation and engagement among pupils are important for progress in literacy. By creating a culture which puts reading and book discussions at the heart of every day	Support colleagues to develop pupils' reading comprehension across the school by:	n
	teachers can develop persistence and positive attitudes to reading.	 Developing pupils' ability to read aloud with prosody to support the development of pupils' language and vocabular 	ry.
3.6.	Introducing pupils to a wide range of texts supports the development of pupils' reading comprehension by extending both their knowledge and their skills.	3.f. Supporting colleagues to improve reading comprehension (e.g. through the modelling of prediction, questioning, clarifying, summarising and activating prior knowledge).	
3.7.	Regular exposure to teacher-read high-quality texts that are above instructional level can help extend vocabulary	3.g. Promoting the importance of domain and contextual knowledge and the role of foundation subjects in supporting	9

development, especially when read with prosody.

- 3.8. Practice is an integral part of the effective teaching of reading; ensuring pupils have repeated opportunities to practise, with appropriate monitoring, guidance and support, increases success.
- 3.9. Background knowledge and vocabulary are essential components of reading comprehension.
- 3.10. Reading comprehension can be supported by modelling approaches that pupils can apply to both monitor and overcome barriers to comprehension.

reading.

Champion reading across the school by:

- 3.h. Establishing timetabled, everyday reading practices in school, ensuring consistent, school-wide prioritisation of reading.
- 3.i. Maximising opportunities for adults to read with and to pupils, and for pupils to read both aloud and quietly, as well as engage in paired and shared reading.
- 3.j. Building a reading culture that promotes reading for pleasure and the reading of challenging texts at school and home.
- 3.k. Ensuring the availability of appropriate and subject-specific texts (including a range of genres, informational texts and high-quality fiction that reflect the diversity of pupils' lives).
- 3.I. Explaining the increasingly specialised and subject-specific nature of texts, and related reading, writing and talk as pupils progress through school, emphasising the importance of disciplinary literacy.

Four – Developing Writing

Learr	n that	Learn how to	
4.2.	Considering purpose and audience can support pupils' writing; this can include pupils themselves being the audience to clarify and organise understanding. Writing is complex and can place a heavy burden on working memory because it requires pupils to combine transcription skills, text generation and the use of executive functions (e.g. to plan and monitor their writing).	Support colleagues to develop pupils' fluent written transcription and sentence construction skills by: 4.a. Ensuring colleagues know both how to explicitly and systematically teach spelling (underpinned by phonic knowledge) so that pupils develop accuracy and automaticity, and how to teach pupils to understand the rol of morphology and etymology and the relationships between meaning and spellings where these are relevant.	
4.3.	Promoting the transcriptional writing skills of spelling, handwriting and sentence construction that need to become automatic (e.g. writing simple sentences from memory dictated by the teacher) enables pupils to concentrate on writing composition.	 4.b. Planning and modelling a range of spelling strategies including phonic approaches, analogy and identifying tricky parts of a word. 4.c. Modelling to colleagues how to teach sentence constructio to pupils in order to develop sentence-level mastery. 	
4.4.	To develop accurate spelling, pupils should be explicitly taught rather than simply tested. Teaching spelling that is related to current content being studied is likely to encourage active use of new spellings in pupils' writing.	4.d. Promoting the effective and careful teaching of letter formation and joined-up handwriting and ensuring pupils at taught incrementally and systematically.	re
4.5.	Explicitly teaching accurate letter formation can improve the quality, length and fluency of pupils' writing. Focusing on the speed of pupils' writing as well as the accuracy is important as this improves handwriting fluency.	4.e. Ensuring pupils undertake regular, purposeful practice of letter formation and transcription (e.g. through dictating words to novice writers), and that colleagues give specific and accurate feedback and guidance to pupils on improvin these skills.	
handwriting fluency. Teachers can s practise purposefully by providing ef	Regular and substantial practice is essential for improving handwriting fluency. Teachers can support pupils to practise purposefully by providing effective feedback and should ensure pupils are motivated and fully engaged in improving their handwriting.	Promoting the effective use of assessment across the school, identifying where pupils are struggling in writing an when pupils require specialist writing support.	ıd

- 4.7. Pupils can benefit from explicit teaching about the structure of texts. Through modelling structures of different types of texts teachers can support the learning of how texts are formed.
- 4.8. Pupils' writing can be improved by teaching them strategies to plan and monitor their own writing.
- 4.9. Scaffolding can support pupils while writing but should be gradually reduced as pupil expertise increases.

Support colleagues to teach pupils to use strategies for planning and monitoring their writing by:

- 4.g. Sharing approaches to improve pupils' writing, such as breaking down complex writing tasks, scaffolding, and combining reading and writing tasks.
- 4.h. Modelling the use of oral rehearsal to vocalise text, practise shaping sentences, support the process of composing text and co-constructing text to support pupils to develop their writing (including academic writing) in all subjects.
- 4.i. Ensuring pupils are given wide-ranging and purposeful opportunities for practising writing and spelling.
- 4.j. Supporting staff to teach planning, editing and reviewing techniques to achieve whole-text level and sentence-level mastery.

Five – Professional Development

Learn	that	Learn	how to
5.1.	Teaching quality is a crucial factor in raising pupil attainment.		ribute to effective professional development linked to ing, curriculum and assessment across the school by:
5.2.	professional development that is explicitly focused on improving classroom teaching can be a cost-effective way to improve pupils' academic outcomes when compared	5.a.	Aligning professional development priorities with wider school improvement priorities and focussing on a shared responsibility for improving outcomes for all pupils.
		5.b.	Making use of well-designed frameworks and resources instead of creating new resources (e.g. sources of subject knowledge, the Early Career Framework and associated
5.3.	Effective professional development is likely to involve a lasting change in teachers' capabilities or understanding so that their teaching changes.		core induction programme for early career teachers, ITT Core Content Framework, suite of National Professional Qualifications,).
5.4.	Professional development should be developed using a clear theory of change, where facilitators understand what the intended educational outcomes for teachers are and how these will subsequently impact pupil outcomes. Ideally, they should check whether teachers learn what was intended.	5.c.	Ensuring that time is protected for teachers to plan, test and implement new, evidence-informed ideas.
		5.d.	Developing a team of colleagues who can facilitate a range of professional development approaches.
5.5		5.e.	Ensuring that colleagues are able to continually develop specialist subject, phase and domain expertise.
5.5.	Whilst professional development may need to be sustained over time, what the time is used for is more important than the amount.	5.f.	Making reasonable adjustments that are well-matched to teacher needs (e.g. to content, resources and venue).
5.6.	More effective professional development is likely to be designed to build on the existing knowledge, skills and understanding of participants.	5.g.	Ensuring that any professional development time is used productively and that colleagues perceive the relevance to their work.
5.7.	The content of professional development programmes should be based on the best available evidence on effective pedagogies and classroom interventions and		

- aim to enhance capabilities and understanding in order to improve pupil outcomes.
- 5.8. Teachers are more likely to improve if they feel that they are working within a supportive professional environment, where both trust and high professional standards are maintained.
- 5.9. Supportive environments include having the time and resource to undertake relevant professional development and collaborate with peers, and the provision of feedback to enable teachers to improve. They also include receiving support from school leadership, both in addressing concerns and in maintaining standards for pupil behaviour.
- 5.10. Professional development is likely to be more effective when design and delivery involves specialist expertise from a range of sources. This may include internal or external expertise.
- 5.11. Teacher developers should choose activities that suit the aims and context of their professional development programme. Successful models have included regular, expert-led conversations about classroom practice, teacher development groups, and structured interventions. However, these activities do not work in all circumstances and the model should fit the educational aims, content and context of the programme.
- 5.12. All schools with early career teachers undertaking statutory induction must adhere to the regulations and relevant statutory guidance.
- 5.13. School staff with disabilities may require reasonable adjustments; working closely with these staff to

Plan, conduct, and support colleagues to conduct, regular, expert-led conversations (which could be referred to as mentoring or coaching) about teaching by:

- 5.h. Building a relationship of trust and mutual respect between the individuals involved.
- 5.i. Tailoring the conversation to the expertise and needs of the individual (e.g. adapting conversations to be more or less facilitative, dialogic or directive).
- 5.j. Using approaches including observation of teaching or a related artefact (e.g. videos, assessment materials, research, lesson plans), listening, facilitating reflection and discussion through the asking of clear and intentional questions, and receiving actionable feedback with opportunities to test ideas and practise implementation of new approaches.
- 5.k. Where appropriate, creating opportunities to co-observe a lesson segment, exploring and modelling what a teacher with a particular area of expertise sees and thinks.

Avoid common teacher assessment pitfalls by designing approaches that:

- 5.l. Ensure formative assessment tasks are linked to intended outcomes.
- 5.m. Draw conclusions about what teachers have learned by reviewing patterns of performance over a number of assessments.
- 5.n. Use multiple methods of data collection in order to make inferences about teacher quality.

understand barriers and identify effective approaches is	
essential.	

Six – Implementation

One of the characteristics of effective schools, in addition to *what* they implement, is *how* they put those approaches into practice. This section sets out some important principles of implementation: the process of making, and acting on, effective evidence-informed decisions. The principles and activities can be applied to a range of different school improvement decisions - programmes or practices; whole-school or targeted approaches; internally or externally generated ideas. The statements should be treated as guiding principles and activities, rather than as a rigid set of steps.

Learn	that	Learr	how to	
6.1.	Implementation is an ongoing process that must adapt to context over time, rather than a single event. It involves the application of specific implementation activities and principles over an extended period (e.g. implementation planning, ongoing monitoring).	Plan and execute implementation in stages by:		
		6.a.	Ensuring that implementation is a structured process where school leaders actively plan, prepare, deliver and embed changes.	
6.2.	Successful implementation requires expert knowledge of the approach that is being implemented and the related area of practice (e.g. behaviour), which is shared amongst staff.	6.b.	Making a small number of meaningful strategic changes and pursuing these diligently, prioritising appropriately.	
		6.c.	Reviewing and stopping ineffective practices before implementing new ones.	
6.3.	Implementation should involve repurposing existing processes and resources (e.g. governance, data collection) rather than creating a separate set of procedures.	Make the right choices on what to implement by:		
		6.d.	Identifying a specific area for improvement using a robust diagnostic process, focusing on the problem that needs	
6.4.	Effective implementation begins by accurately diagnosing the problem and making evidence-informed decisions on what to implement.		solving, rather than starting with a solution.	
		6.e.	Providing credible interpretations of reliable data that focus on pupils' knowledge and understanding.	
6.5.	Thorough preparation is important: time and care spent planning, communicating and resourcing the desired changes provides the foundation for successful delivery. Teachers and leaders should keep checking how ready	6.f.	Examining current approaches, how they need to change and the support required to do so.	
		6.g.	Adopting new approaches based on both internal and	

- their colleagues are to make the planned changes.
- 6.6. Implementing an approach with fidelity (i.e. as intended) increases the chance of it impacting positively on school practice and pupil outcomes. Any approach should specify which features of the approach need to be adopted closely and where there is scope for adaptation.
- 6.7. A combination of integrated activities is likely to be needed to support implementation (e.g. training, monitoring, feedback) rather than any single activity. Follow-on support (e.g. through high-quality coaching) is key to embedding new skills and knowledge developed during initial training.
- 6.8. Delivery of a new approach is a learning process expect challenges but aim for continuous improvement. Monitoring implementation is an essential tool in identifying, and acting on, problems and solutions.
- 6.9. The confidence to make good implementation decisions is derived, in part, from confidence in the data on which those decisions are based. Reliable monitoring and evaluation enable schools to make well-informed choices, and to see how their improvement efforts are impacting on teacher knowledge, classroom practices and pupil outcomes.
- 6.10. A school's capacity to implement an approach is rarely static (e.g. staff leave, contexts change). Sustained implementation requires leaders to keep supporting and rewarding the appropriate use of an approach and check it is still aligned with the overall strategy and context.
- 6.11. Implementation benefits from dedicated but distributed school leadership. Senior leaders should provide a clear

- external evidence of what has (and has not) worked before (e.g. pupil outcome data and research-based guidance).
- 6.h. Ensuring it is suitable for the school context, recognising the parameters within which the change will operate (e.g. school policies) and where the school is in its development trajectory (e.g. addressing any significant behaviour problems would be an immediate priority).
- 6.i. Assessing and adapting plans based on the degree to which colleagues are ready to implement the approach (e.g. current staff motivation and expertise).

Prepare appropriately for the changes to come by:

- 6.j. Being explicit about what will be implemented, and the overall desired outcomes.
- 6.k. Specifying the elements of the approach that appear critical to its success (i.e. the 'active ingredients') and communicating expectations around these with clarity.
- 6.I. Developing a clear, logical and well-specified implementation plan, and using this plan to build collective understanding and ownership of the approach.
- 6.m. Using an integrated set of implementation activities that work at different levels in the school (e.g. individual teachers, whole-school changes).

Deliver changes by:

- 6.n. Managing expectations and encouraging 'buy-in' until positive signs of changes emerge.
- 6.o. Monitoring implementation (including by clearly assigning and following up on the completion of critical tasks) and

- vision and direction for the changes to come. At the same time, implementation is a complex process that requires feedback from staff and shared leadership responsibilities.
- 6.12. Implementation processes are influenced by, but also influence, school climate and culture. Implementation is easier when staff feel trusted to try new things and make mistakes, safe in the knowledge that they will be supported with resources, training, and encouragement to keep improving.
- using this information to tailor and improve the approach over time (e.g. identifying a weak area of understanding and providing further training).
- 6.p. Reinforcing initial training with expert follow-on support within the school.
- 6.q. Prioritising the 'active ingredients' of the approach until they are securely understood and implemented, and then, if needed, introducing adaptations.

Sustain changes by:

- 6.r. Using reliable monitoring and evaluation to review how the implementation activities are meeting the intended objectives and continue to align with school improvement priorities.
- 6.s. Continuing to model, acknowledge, support, recognise and reward good approaches.
- 6.t. Treating scale-up of an approach as a new implementation process (e.g. from one department to another).

References

Teaching

Department for Education (2019). Early Career Framework. [Online] Accessible from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/early-career-framework [retrieved 5 October 2021]

Developing Language

Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G. and Kucan, L. (2013). Bringing Words to Life: Robust Vocabulary Instruction, Guildford Press. Accessible from: <u>Bringing-Words-to-Life-Booklet.pdf</u> (speld.org.au) [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Breadmore, H. L., Vardy, E. J., Cunningham, A. J., Kwok, R. K. W. and Carroll, J. M. (2019). Literacy Development: Evidence Review, London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from:

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Literacy Development Evidence Review.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Dockrell, J., Lindsey, G., Roulstone, S. and Law, J. (2014). Supporting children with speech, language and communication needs: an overview of the results of the better communication research programme. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 49(5), 543–47. Accessible from: https://www.bettercommunication.org.uk/jlcd12089.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2017). Dialogic Teaching Evaluation Report and Executive Summary [Online]. Accessible from: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Projects/Evaluation_Reports/Dialogic_Teaching_Evaluation_Report.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2017). Improving Literacy in Key Stage 2. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from: KS2 Literacy Guidance 2017.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Preparing for Literacy: Improving Communication, Language and Literacy in the Early Years. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from:

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Literacy/Preparing_Literacy_Guidance_2018.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2019). Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools: Guidance Report. [Online]. Accessible from: <u>EEF_KS3_KS4_LITERACY_GUIDANCE.pdf</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2020). Improving Literacy in Key Stage 1: Guidance Report. [Online]. Accessible from: Literacy KS1 Guidance Report 2020.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., and Stanne, M. B. (2000). Cooperative Learning Methods: A Meta-Analysis. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota.

Marulis, L. M., and Neuman, S. B. (2010). The effects of vocabulary intervention on young children's word learning: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, *80*(3), 300–335.

Murphy, P. K., Wilkinson, I. A. G., Soter, A. O., Hennessey, M. N., and Alexander, J. F. (2009). Examining the effects of classroom discussion on students' comprehension of text: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3), 740–764

Nation, K. (2019) Children's reading difficulties, language, and reflections on the simple view of reading. *Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties*, 24(1), 47–73. Accessible from: Full article: Children's reading difficulties, language, and reflections on the simple view of reading (tandfonline.com) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Puzio, K., and Colby, G. T. (2013). Cooperative learning and literacy: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of research on Educational Effectiveness*, 6(4), 339–360.

Sibieta, L., Kotecha, M. and Skipp, A. (2016). Nuffield Early Language Intervention Evaluation report, Education Endowment Foundation, London. [Online]. Accessible from:

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Projects/Evaluation Reports/EEF Project Report Nuffield Early Language Intervention.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Strong, G. K., Torgerson, C. J., Torgerson, D., and Hulme, C. (2011). A systematic meta-analytic review of evidence for the effectiveness of the 'Fast ForWord' language intervention program. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *52*(3), 224–235.

Developing Reading

Education Endowment Foundation (2017). Improving Literacy in Key Stage 2. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from: KS2 Literacy Guidance 2017.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Preparing for Literacy: Improving Communication, Language and Literacy in the Early Years. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from:

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Literacy/Preparing_Literacy_Guidance_2018.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Reading Comprehension Strategies [Online]. Accessible from: Reading comprehension strategies | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Toolkit: Phonics. [Online] Accessible from: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics/ [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2019). Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools: Guidance Report. [Online]. Accessible from: EEF_KS3_KS4_LITERACY_GUIDANCE.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2020). Improving Literacy in Key Stage 1: Guidance Report. [Online]. Accessible from: <u>Literacy KS1 Guidance Report 2020.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Ehri, L., Nunes, S., Stahl, S. and Willows, D. (2001). Systematic phonics instruction helps students learn to read: Evidence from the National Reading Panel's meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, *71*(3), 393–447.

Machin, S., McNally, S., and Viarengo, M. (2018). Changing how literacy is taught: Evidence on synthetic phonics. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 10(2), 217–241. Accessible from: https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20160514 [retrieved 24 August 2021].

McNally, S., Ruiz-Valenzuela, J. and Rolfe, H. (2016). ABRA: Online Reading Support: Evaluation report and Executive Summary. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from:

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Projects/Evaluation_Reports/ABRA_with_addendum.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Nation, K. (2019). Children's reading difficulties, language, and reflections on the simple view of reading. *Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties*, 24(1), 47–73. Accessible from: Full article: Children's reading difficulties, language, and reflections on the simple view of reading (tandfonline.com) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

National Reading Panel (2000). Teaching Children to Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature on Reading and Its Implications for Reading Instruction: Reports of the Subgroups. *Washington, DC: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development*. Accessible from: https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/publications/pubs/nrp/Documents/report.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Oakhill, J., Cain, K.and Elbro, C. (2014). Understanding and Teaching Reading Comprehension: A Handbook. London: Routledge.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2002). Reading for Change: Results from PISA 2000. Paris: OECD.

Savage, R., Burgos, G., Wood, E. and Piquette, N. (2015). The simple view of reading as a framework for national literacy initiatives: A hierarchical model of pupil-level and classroom-level factors. *British Educational Research Journal*, *41*(5), 820–44.

Scott, A. Binder, K. Foster, T. and Zawoyski, M. (2016). Repeated versus wide reading: a randomized control design study examining the impact of fluency interventions on underlying reading behaviour. *Journal of School Psychology*, *59*(6), 13–38.

Shanahan, T. (2005). The National Reading Panel Report: Practical Advice for Teachers. Chicago: University of Illinois at Chicago. Accessible from: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED489535.pdf [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Shanahan, T., Callison, K., Carriere, C., Duke, N. K., Pearson, P. D., Schatschneider, C. and Torgesen, J. (2010). Improving Reading Comprehension in Kindergarten Through 3rd Grade: A Practice Guide (NCEE 2010-4038), *Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education*. Accessible from: ERIC - ED512029 - Improving Reading Comprehension in Kindergarten through 3rd Grade: IES Practice Guide. NCEE 2010-4038, What Works Clearinghouse, 2010-Sep [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Swanson, H. L. and O'Connor, R. (2009). The role of working memory and fluency practice on the reading comprehension of students who are dysfluent readers. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *42*(6), 548–575.

Taylor, J. S. H., Duff, F. J., Woollams, A. M., Monaghan, P., and Ricketts, J. (2015). How word meaning influences word reading. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *24*(4), 322–328.

Torgerson, C. J., Brooks, G. and Hall, J. (2006). A systematic review of the research literature on the use of phonics in the teaching of reading and spelling, London: Department for Education and Skills.

Developing Writing

Berninger, V.W., Vaughan, K., Abbott, R.D., Begay, K., Coleman, K. B., Curtin, G., Hawkins, J. M., and Graham, S. (2002). Teaching spelling composition alone and together: Implications for the simple view of writing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *94*(2), 291 – 304.

Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., and Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: Promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, Supplement*, 14(1), 4–58. Accessible from: https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612453266 [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2017). Improving Literacy in Key Stage 2. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from: KS2 Literacy Guidance 2017.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Preparing for Literacy: Improving Communication, Language and Literacy in the Early Years. London: Education Endowment Foundation. Accessible from:

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Literacy/Preparing_Literacy_Guidance_2018.pdf [retrieved 5 October 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2019). Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools: Guidance Report. [Online]. Accessible from: <u>EEF KS3 KS4 LITERACY GUIDANCE.pdf</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2020). Improving Literacy in Key Stage 1: Guidance Report. [Online]. Accessible from: Literacy KS1 Guidance Report 2020.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Graham, S., Bollinger, A., Booth Olson, C., D'Aoust, C., MacArthur, C., McCutchen, D. and Olinghouse, N. (2012). Teaching Elementary School Students to Be Effective Writers: A Practice Guide (NCEE 2012-4058), Washington DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Accessible from: Teaching Elementary School Students to Be Effective Writers (ed.gov) [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Graham, S. McKeown, D., Kiuhara, S. and Harris, K. (2012). A meta-analysis of writing instruction for students in the elementary grades. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *104*(4), 879–96.

Graham, S. and Santangelo, T. (2014) Does spelling instruction make students better spellers, readers, and writers? A Meta-Analytic Review. *Reading and Writing*, *27*(9), 1703–43.

Kalyuga, S. (2007). Expertise reversal effect and its implications for learner-tailored instruction. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(4), 509–539.

McCutchen, D. (2000). Knowledge, processing, and working memory: Implications for a theory of writing. *Educational Psychologist*, 35, 13–23.

McGraham, S. and Harris, K. R. (2005). Improving the writing performance of young struggling writers: Theoretical and programmatic research from the Center on Accelerating Student Learning. *Journal of Special Education*, 39 (1), 19–33. Accessible from: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ693936.pdf [retrieved 23 August 2021].

Myhill, D and Jones, S. M. (2009). How talk becomes text: Investigating the concept of oral rehearsal in early years' classrooms. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, *57*(3), 265–284.

Pan, S. C., and Rickard, T. C. (2018). Transfer of test-enhanced learning: Meta-analytic review and synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 144(7), 710–756. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000151.

Saddler, B. and Graham, S. (2005). The effects of peer assisted sentence-combining instruction on the writing performance of more and less skilled young writers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 97(1), 43–54.

Santangelo, T. and Graham, S. (2016). A comprehensive meta-analysis of handwriting instruction. *Educational Psychology Review*, 28(2), 225–265.

Sweller, J. (2012). Human cognitive architecture: Why some instructional procedures work and others do not. In K. Harris, S. Graham and T. Urdan (Eds.), *APA Educational Psychology Handbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 295-325). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Van de Pol, J., Volman, M., and Beishuizen, J. (2010). Scaffolding in teacher-student interaction: A decade of research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(3), 271–96.

Williams, J. P., Hall, K. M. and Lauer, K. D. (2004). Teaching expository text structure to young at-risk learners: Building the basics of comprehension instruction. *Exceptionality*, *12*(3), 129–44. 40.

Wittwer, J. and Renkl, A. (2010). How effective are instructional explanations in example-based learning? A meta-analytic review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(4), 393–409. Accessible from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9136-5 [retrieved 25 August 2021].

Professional Development

Aaronson, D., Barrow, L. and Sander, W. (2007). Teachers and student achievement in the Chicago public high schools. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 25(1), 95–136.

Cordingley, P., Higgins, S., Greany, T., Buckler, N., Coles-Jordan, D., Crisp, B., Saunders, L. and Coe, R. (2015). Developing Great Teaching: Lessons from the international reviews into effective professional development. London; Teacher Development Trust [Online]. Accessible from: https://tdtrust.org/about/dgt. [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E. and Gardner, M. (2017). Effective Teacher Professional Development. Palo Alto, CA: Learning Policy Institute. [Online] Accessible from: https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/teacher-prof-dev [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Department for Education (2016). Standard for teachers' professional development: Implementation guidance for school leaders, teachers, and organisations that offer professional development for teachers [Online]. Accessible from:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/537031/160712
PD Expert Group Guidance.pdf [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Department for Education (2019). Early Career Framework. Accessible from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/early-career-framework [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Department for Education (2019). Initial Teacher Training (ITT): Core Content Framework. Accessible from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/initial-teacher-training-itt-core-content-framework [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Embedding Formative Assessment: Evaluation Report. [Online] Accessible from: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/EFA evaluation report.pdf [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Education Endowment Foundation (2018). Making best use of teaching assistants [Online]. Accessible from: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/tools/guidance-reports/making-best-use-of-teaching-assistants/ [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Fletcher-Wood, H., and Zuccollo, J. (2020). The effects of high-quality professional development on teachers and students: A rapid review and meta-analysis. *Wellcome Trust* [Online]. Accessible from: https://epi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/EPI-Wellcome CPD-Review 2020.pdf [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Hamre, B. K., and Pianta, R. C. (2005). Can instructional and emotional support in the first-grade classroom make a difference for children at risk of school failure? *Child Development*, 76(5), 949–967.

Kennedy, M. (2016). How does professional development improve teaching? Review of Educational Research 86(4), 945–980.

Kini, T., and Podolsky, A. (2016). Does Teaching Experience Increase Teacher Effectiveness? A Review of the Research. Palo Alto, CA: Learning Policy Institute.

Kraft, M.A., Blazar, D., and Hogan, D. (2018). The effect of teaching coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 88(4), 547–588.

Kraft, M. A., and Papay, J. P. (2014). Can professional environments in schools promote teacher development? Explaining heterogeneity in returns to teaching experience. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 36(4), 476–500.

Pianta, R. C., & Hamre, B. K. (2009). Conceptualization, measurement, and improvement of classroom processes: Standardized observation can leverage capacity. *Educational researcher*, 38(2), 109–119.

Slater, H., Davies, N. M., and Burgess, S. (2012). Do teachers matter? Measuring the variation in teacher effectiveness in England. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 74(5), 629–645.

Wiliam, D. (2018). Creating the Schools Our Children Need: Why What We're Doing Now Won't Help Much (And What We Can Do Instead). West Palm Beach, FL: Learning Sciences International.

Implementation

Aarons, G. A. (2006). Transformational and transactional leadership: Association with attitudes toward evidence-based practice. *Psychiatric Services*, *57*(8), 1162–1169. Accessible from: https://doi.org/10.1176/ps.2006.57.8.1162 [retrieved 24 August 2021].

Albers, B. and Pattuwage, L. (2017). Implementation in education: Findings from a scoping review. Melbourne: Evidence for Learning.

Anders, J., Godfrey, D., and Nelson, R. (forthcoming). *EEF Projects Review*. London: UCL Institute of Education

Dyssegaard, C. B., Egelund, N., and Sommersel, H. B. (2017). What enables or hinders the use of research-based knowledge in primary and lower secondary - a systematic review and state of the field analysis. Dansk Clearinghouse for Educational Research, DPU, Aarhus University.

Kraft, M. A., Blazar, D., and Hogan, D. (2018). The effect of teacher coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 88(4), 547–588.

Powell, B. J., Proctor, E. K., and Glass, J.E. (2014). A systematic review of strategies for implementing empirically supported mental health interventions. *Research on Social Work Practice*, *24*(2), 192–212.

Proctor, E., Silmere, H., Raghavan, R., Hovmand, P., Aarons, G., Bunger, A., Griffey, R, and Hensley, M. (2011). Outcomes for implementation research: Conceptual distinctions, measurement challenges, and research agenda. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 38(2), 65–76.

Sharples, J. M., Albers, B., Fraser, S, and Kime, S. (2019). Putting Evidence to Work – A School's Guide to Implementation, London: EEF. Accessible from: <u>EEF_Implementation_Guidance_Report_2019.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> [retrieved 24 August 2021]



© Crown copyright 2021

This publication (not including logos) is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

To view this licence:

visit <u>www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-</u>

government-licence/version/3

email <u>psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk</u>

write to Information Policy Team, The National Archives,

Kew, London, TW9 4DU

About this publication:

enquiries <u>www.education.gov.uk/contactus</u> download www.gov.uk/government/publications



Follow us on Twitter:
oeducationgovuk



Like us on Facebook: facebook.com/educationgovuk