

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, August 7, 2024 3:46 PM

To: Section 62A Applications Non Major <section62anonmajor@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: S62a/2024/0046

Dear Sir/ Madam

I would like to point out that the letter provided by The Harpenden Society is incorrect. They state the following...

“

The Society acknowledges that other older houses in Rosebery Avenue, which had been converted into separate flats, have been permitted to revert back to a single dwelling, but in this case the proposal is to demolish 2 modern dwellings and replace with one. This contrasts with a number of developments in Rosebery Ave and nearby where a single unit has been replaced by a pair of semi-detached houses.”

I can confirm that other than 9a and 9b Rosebery Avenue there are no other semi-detached houses on Rosebery Avenue.

Also, just this year St Albans District Council gave permission for an enormous 14 Bedroom Carehome; number 1 Rosebery Avenue to be converted back into just 1 house. If they are so very concerned about housing units wouldn't it have been more beneficial to have converted it into 3 units for example?

It was very good to see that the Harpenden Town Council have no objections to the plans. I'm sure as local people themselves they can see the benefits of having a beautiful new build in this stunning Conservation Area.

Kind regards

Claire Bowman
Harpenden