

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL

PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL

PROPERTY)

Case Reference : LON/00AQ/LDC/2023/0289

Property : 289 – 293 Christchurch Avenue,

Wealdstone, HA<sub>3</sub> 5BB

**Applicant** : **Southern Land Securities Ltd** 

**Representative** : Together Property Management

Ms Keti Zeka (No. 289)

Respondents : Mr Nicholas Onslow and Mrs Alison

Onslow (No.291)

Mrs Sally Nathan (No.293)

**Representative : None** 

Type of An application under section 20ZA of

Application : the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 for

dispensation from consultation prior to

carrying out works.

Tribunal Members : Mr I B Holdsworth FRICS MCIArb

Date and venue of

Hearing

6 August 2024

# **DECISION**

#### **Decisions of the Tribunal**

The Tribunal determines that dispensation should be given from all the consultation requirements in respect of the works to repair the water leak and renew the damaged water supply main and stop cock, (defined as the "Water Mains works") at 289 – 293 Christchurch Avenue, Wealdstone, HA3 5BB as required under s.20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 (the "Act") for the reasons set out below. The agreed cost of the Water Mains Works is £1,260 inclusive of VAT.

# The application

- 1. The Applicant seeks a determination pursuant to s.20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 ("the 1985 Act") to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements associated with undertaking essential maintenance and/or renewal to the water supply main and stop cock at 289 293 Christchurch Avenue, Wealdstone, HA3 5BB "the property".
- 2. An application was received by the First-tier Tribunal dated 16 November 2023 seeking dispensation from the consultation requirements. Directions were issued on the 18 June 2024 to the Applicant. These Directions required the Applicant to advise all Respondents to the application and provide them with details of the proposed works.
- 3. The relevant legal provisions are set out in the Appendix to this decision.

#### The hearing

- 4. This matter was determined by written submissions as no request was made for either a video or face to face hearing. The Applicant submits a bundle of relevant materials to the Tribunal.
- 5. The Tribunal is advised by the Applicants that none of the leaseholders responded to advice that they intended to make an application seeking dispensation from the statutory consultation procedure in respect of the Water Mains Works.

## The background

6. The property which is the subject of this application is a purpose built two-storey building that comprises 3 self-contained flats and dates from the 1930's. It forms part of an estate of similar styled properties.

- 7. The water main and stop cock that serves the three flats in the block is in the driveway and car parking to the front of the property. A leak to the water main was reported to the managing agents on 2 June 2023. The managing agent instructed Diamond Drains to inspect the main and stop cock. This inspection was completed on 9<sup>th</sup> June. It revealed a damaged stop cock and fractured water main as the cause of the water leak.
- 8. On receipt of the findings following the inspection, Diamond Drains were instructed by the managing agents to carry out all necessary repair and renewal works at a total cost of £1260 including VAT. These costs included the reinstatement of the driveway following the excavations.
- 9. No Notice of Intention to carry out the proposed Water Mains works was sent to leaseholders.
- 10. It is not the intention of the Applicants to carry out any further consultation about this matter.
- 11. The Applicant contends that the Water Mains works were needed urgently to ensure the integrity of the car parking and driveway were not affected by the water leak. They also emphasise that a continued water leak may have impaired the stability of the foundations to the property.
- 12. Prior to my determination the Tribunal had available a 40 page bundle of papers which included the application, the directions and a copy of written representations prepared by the Applicant that provided information on the background to the Water Mains works.
- 13. A copy of a specimen lease for flat No 291 is supplied. The Tribunal note that this specimen lease at clause 3(g) refers to the obligation of the Lessee to contribute to the costs incurred by the Lessor Landlord in maintaining "... all ways, sewers drains pipes...". The lease also refers at clause 4(5) to the covenant by the Landlord to maintain and repair 'all ways sewers, drains pipes... capable of being used by the Lessee in common with the Lessor".
- 14. The only issue for me to consider is whether it is reasonable to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements in respect of the Works. This application does not concern the issue of whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.

### The determination

- 14. The Tribunal has considered the papers lodged. There is no objection raised by the Respondents, either together or singularly.
- 15. There is a demonstrated need to carry out the Water Mains Works urgently to prevent harm and inconvenience to residents at the property.

The Tribunal cannot identify any prejudice caused to the Respondents by the grant of dispensation from the statutory consultation procedure.

- 16. It is for these reasons the Tribunal is satisfied it is appropriate to dispense with the consultation requirements for the Mains Water Works. It is noted no competitive quotes were submitted with the Application.
- 17. My decision does not affect the right of the Respondents to challenge the costs, payability or the standard of work should they so wish.
- 18. In accordance with paragraph 9 of the Directions, it is the Applicant's responsibility to serve a copy of the Tribunal's decision on all Respondent leaseholders listed on the Application.

Tribunal Judge: Ian B Holdsworth

Date: 6 August 2024

# **Appendix of relevant legislation**

### Section 20 of the Act

- (1) Where this section applies to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the relevant contributions of tenants are limited in accordance with subsection (6) or (7) (or both) unless the consultation requirements have been either—
  - (a) complied with in relation to the works or agreement, or
  - (b) dispensed with in relation to the works or agreement by (or on appeal from) a leasehold valuation tribunal.
- (2) In this section "relevant contribution", in relation to a tenant and any works or agreement, is the amount which he may be required under the terms of his lease to contribute (by the payment of service charges) to relevant costs incurred on carrying out the works or under the agreement.
- (3) This section applies to qualifying works if relevant costs incurred on carrying out the works exceed an appropriate amount.
- (4) The Secretary of State may by regulations provide that this section applies to a qualifying long-term agreement—
  - (a) if relevant costs incurred under the agreement exceed an appropriate amount, or
  - (b) if relevant costs incurred under the agreement during a period prescribed by the regulations exceed an appropriate amount.
- (5) An appropriate amount is an amount set by regulations made by the Secretary of State; and the regulations may make provision for either or both of the following to be an appropriate amount—
  - (a) an amount prescribed by, or determined in accordance with, the regulations, and
  - (b) an amount which results in the relevant contribution of any one or more tenants being an amount prescribed by, or determined in accordance with, the regulations.
- (6) Where an appropriate amount is set by virtue of paragraph (a) of subsection (5), the amount of the relevant costs incurred on carrying out the works or under the agreement which may be taken into account in determining the relevant contributions of tenants is limited to the appropriate amount.
- (7) Where an appropriate amount is set by virtue of paragraph (b) of that subsection, the amount of the relevant contribution of the tenant, or each of the tenants, whose relevant contribution would otherwise exceed the amount prescribed by, or determined in

accordance with, the regulations is limited to the amount so prescribed or determined.

## Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28-day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).