

Risk of airborne introduction of BTV and EHDV to Great Britain from the near Continent.

Time period: 12 to 18 June 2024.

This report describes the retrospective risk of entry of bluetongue virus (BTV)- or epizootic haemorrhagic disease virus (EHDV)-infected midges into Great Britain (GB) from the near Continent over the previous week. It does not attempt to predict the future risk of virus entry or consider the historical risk earlier than the time period stated above.

We estimate the overall risk of airborne introduction of infectious BTV-infected midges to GB from the near Continent over the last week to have been “Low”, meaning that it is unlikely but possible.

The source countries for which a non-negligible risk of incursions was identified (meaning that the risk cannot be discounted) were France and Belgium. Southern coastal counties – predominantly in the South East – were at potential risk of vector incursions from these countries.

However, we consider there to be considerable uncertainty in the incursion estimates due to limited understanding of the infection status of midges in the source countries.

We estimate the overall risk of airborne introduction of infectious EHDV-infected midges to GB from the near Continent over the last week to have been “Negligible”, meaning that the risk was low enough to not merit consideration.

We also consider the risk of onwards spread of BTV within coastal and near-coastal areas in the south and east of England (the areas of GB most at risk of airborne virus incursions) over the previous two weeks.

We estimate the risk of BTV spread over the last two weeks to have been “Very Low” in the South East and East Anglia (meaning that it is very unlikely but cannot be excluded), and “Negligible” in the South West and North East (meaning that the risk was low enough to not merit consideration).

Preliminary outbreak assessments of the BTV and EHDV situation in Europe are available, which also consider other potential routes of virus entry.

Read about [Bluetongue virus in Europe \(GOV.UK\)](#)

Read about [Epizootic haemorrhagic disease in Europe \(GOV.UK\)](#)

More details on our risk estimates and the evidence underlying these are provided in the seven tables in the report below.

For each of BTV and EHDV, we provide three tables which describe the risk of airborne incursions. These represent:

- Our estimate of the risk of incursion of midge vectors (regardless of infection status) from each high-risk source country (with this risk shown in the top row of the table).
- Our estimate of the risk of incursion of infectious midge vectors from each high-risk source country (with this risk shown in the top row of the table).
- Our estimate of the uncertainty surrounding the country-specific risk of incursion of infectious vectors, accounting for our knowledge of relevant processes and the available data (with this uncertainty shown as the top row of the table).

We also provide a table showing our estimates of the risk of virus spread within high-risk areas of GB (which considers both vector feeding behaviour and temperature suitability for virus replication and spread).

Airborne Orbivirus Assessment
No. 13
19 June 2024



1. Risk of airborne BTV incursion into GB from high-risk countries on the Continent.

	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Germany	Denmark
Risk of vector incursion into GB from source country	High: It is very likely that midges have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Very Low: It is very unlikely but not impossible that midges have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.
Incursion risk distribution	Potential risk of vector incursions across South West and South East.	Potential risk of vector incursions into South East.	N/A	N/A	N/A

	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Germany	Denmark
Risk of incursion of BTV-infected vectors into GB from source country	Low: It is unlikely that infectious BTV-infected midges have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Very Low: It is very unlikely but not impossible that BTV-infected midges have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious BTV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious BTV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious BTV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.
Vector activity in source country	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.
Temperatures in source country	Potentially suitable for BTV spread.	Potentially suitable for BTV spread.	Potentially suitable for BTV spread.	Potentially suitable for BTV spread.	Not considered suitable for BTV spread.
Current BTV status of source country	Country endemic for BTV-4 and BTV-8. Reports of new strain of BTV-8 outside high-risk area last year.	Reports of BTV-3 detections in high-risk area last year.	Reports of BTV-3 detections in high-risk area last year. Report of new case in last week outside high-risk area.	Recent reports of BTV-3 detections in high-risk area, but unclear whether new or historical.	Country considered free of BTV.
Livestock immunity in the source country	Some immunity to BTV-8 expected due to natural infection and vaccination. No BTV-3 vaccination.	Some immunity to BTV-3 expected due to natural infection and vaccination.	Some immunity to BTV-3 expected due to natural infection and vaccination.	Some immunity to BTV-3 expected due to natural infection and vaccination.	No immunity to BTV expected as no natural spread or vaccination.

Airborne Orbivirus Assessment
No. 13
19 June 2024



	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Germany	Denmark
Uncertainty in BTV incursion risk estimate	High: There is a lack of knowledge of the relevant processes and/ or most information or data are lacking or incomplete. Subjective/ expert judgement may be introduced without supporting evidence.	Moderate: There is a lack of knowledge of the relevant processes and/ or some information or data are lacking or incomplete. Subjective/ expert judgement is introduced with supporting evidence.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.
Knowledge of current livestock infection in high-risk area of source country	High uncertainty. No reports of infection in high-risk area last season and no recent reports of infection (but reporting of BTV-4 and BTV-8 not required). No BTV-3 reports in country to date. Overwintering unknown. Level of surveillance activities unknown. Level of immunity unknown.	Moderate uncertainty. BTV-3 detections were reported last season (although small number despite proximity to the Netherlands). Recent detections in high-risk area not considered recent infections. Overwintering unknown. Level of surveillance activities unknown. Level of immunity unknown.	Moderate uncertainty. Large number of previous reports of BTV-3 in country, including in high-risk area. Recent detections not considered recent infections (but BTV detection map last updated previous week). Overwintering unknown. Level of surveillance activities unknown. Level of immunity likely high in parts of country.	Moderate uncertainty. Regular reports of BTV-3 in country last season, including in high-risk area. Many recent detections not considered recent infections, but some uncertainty around recent reports (outside high-risk area). Overwintering unknown. Level of surveillance activities unknown. Level of immunity unknown.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.
Knowledge of current vector infection in high-risk area of source country	High uncertainty. Livestock infection status unknown. Overwintering unknown.	Moderate uncertainty. Limited knowledge of livestock infection status. Overwintering unknown.	Moderate uncertainty. Limited knowledge of livestock infection status. Overwintering unknown.	Moderate uncertainty. Livestock infection status unknown. Overwintering unknown.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.
Other comments on uncertainty	N/A	N/A	Estimate constrained by lack of potential vector incursions.	Estimate constrained by lack of potential vector incursions.	N/A

Airborne Orbivirus Assessment
No. 13
19 June 2024



2. Risk of airborne EHDV incursion into GB from high-risk countries on the Continent.

	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Germany	Denmark
Risk of vector incursion into GB from source country	High: It is very likely that midges have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Very Low: It is very unlikely but not impossible that midges have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.
Incursion risk distribution	Potential risk of vector incursions across South West and South East.	Potential risk of vector incursions into South East.	N/A	N/A	N/A

	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Germany	Denmark
Risk of incursion of EHDV-infected vectors into GB from source country	Negligible: No infectious EHDV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious EHDV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious EHDV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious EHDV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.	Negligible: No infectious EHDV-infected midges are expected to have been blown into GB over the previous week.
Vector activity in source country	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.	Thought to be active and feeding in source country.
Temperatures in source country	Suitability for EHDV spread not assessed.	Suitability for EHDV spread not assessed.	Suitability for EHDV spread not assessed.	Suitability for EHDV spread not assessed.	Suitability for EHDV spread not assessed.
Current EHDV status of source country	Reports of historical EHDV detections outside high-risk area (although control zone reaches the high-risk area). No vaccination.	Country considered free of EHDV.	Country considered free of EHDV.	Country considered free of EHDV.	Country considered free of EHDV.
Livestock immunity in the source country	No known immunity in the high-risk area.	No immunity to BTV expected as no natural spread or vaccination.	No immunity to BTV expected as no natural spread or vaccination.	No immunity to BTV expected as no natural spread or vaccination.	No immunity to BTV expected as no natural spread or vaccination.

Airborne Orbivirus Assessment
No. 13
19 June 2024



	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Germany	Denmark
Uncertainty in EHDV incursion risk estimate	Moderate: There is a lack of knowledge of the relevant processes and/ or some information or data are lacking or incomplete. Subjective/ expert judgement is introduced with supporting evidence.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.	Low: Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.
Knowledge of current livestock infection in high-risk area of source country	Moderate uncertainty. Detections were reported last season (although not in high-risk area). Recent detections have been reported (although not in high-risk area). Overwintering unknown. Level of surveillance activities unknown. Level of immunity unknown.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.
Knowledge of current vector infection in high-risk area of source country	Moderate uncertainty. Uncertainty in livestock infection status. Overwintering in vectors unknown.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.	Low uncertainty. No reports and no suspicion of virus presence in country.
Other comments on uncertainty	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

3. BTV spread risk within GB.

High risk region	Counties in region	Estimated number of cattle in region	Estimated number of sheep in region	Vector feeding levels	Temperature suitability for virus spread
South West	Cornwall Devon Dorset Somerset Wiltshire	1,533,622	1,540,170	Vectors are known to have been feeding on livestock over the last two weeks.	Negligible: Temperatures in the high risk region of GB were consistently unsuitable for BTV transmission by vectors over the previous two weeks.
South East	Hampshire Isle of Wight East Sussex West Sussex Kent	204,573	434,680	Vectors are known to have been feeding on livestock over the last two weeks.	Very Low: Temperatures in the high risk region of GB were very unlikely to have been suitable for BTV transmission by vectors over the previous week.
East Anglia	Essex Suffolk Norfolk	133,490	145,925	Vectors are known to have been feeding on livestock over the last two weeks.	Very Low: Temperatures in the high risk region of GB were very unlikely to have been suitable for BTV transmission by vectors over the previous week.
North East	Lincolnshire East Riding of Yorkshire North Yorkshire Durham Tyne & Wear Northumberland	764,208	2,044,607	Vectors are known to have been feeding on livestock over the last two weeks.	Negligible: Temperatures in the high risk region of GB were consistently unsuitable for BTV transmission by vectors over the previous two weeks.

Estimates of numbers of cattle and sheep in the different regions as shown in the table above are taken from recent LDDG reports:

- [Livestock Demographic Data Group: Cattle population report 2023](#)
- [Livestock Demographic Data Group: Sheep population report 2023](#)

**Airborne Orbivirus Assessment
No. 13
19 June 2024**



Appendix A: Risk and uncertainty levels used in the assessment.

Risk level	Probability range	Likelihood statement
Negligible	Less than 1 in 1 million	So rare that it does not merit to be considered
Very Low	Between 1 in one million and 1 in one thousand	Very unlikely but cannot be excluded
Low	Between 1 in one thousand and 0.05	Unlikely but could occur
Medium	Between 0.05 and 0.50	Likely
High	Between 0.50 and 0.90	Very likely but not certain
Very High	Over 0.90	Almost certain

Uncertainty level	Explanation
Low	Knowledge of the relevant processes is considered good and most/ all information or data are complete. No subjective judgement is introduced.
Moderate	There is a lack of knowledge of the relevant processes and/ or some information or data are lacking or incomplete. Subjective/ expert judgement is introduced with supporting evidence.
High	There is a lack of knowledge of the relevant processes and/ or most information or data are lacking or incomplete. Subjective/ expert judgement may be introduced without supporting evidence.

Appendix B: Modelling overview.

The Met Office Numerical Atmospheric-dispersion Modelling Environment (NAME) is run twice a day to estimate the likely transport of any infected midges.


NAME is run using meteorological data from the Met Office's numerical weather prediction (NWP) model output with 1.5 km horizontal spatial resolution and hourly time resolution. Modelled particles are released over a 2-hour period at sunrise and over a 3-hour period at sunset to represent the diel periodicity of midge activity. The model particles are released from 10 m above ground level. This height is assumed to be above the normal flight boundary layer of midges, where wind speed is greater than midge flight speed, and therefore excludes midges undertaking active local-scale flight. Particles are then dispersed for 12 hours, reflecting wind-tunnel experiments on the flight duration of midges.

Particles are released from nine hypothetical source locations on the near Continent, located from north west France to western Denmark. These nine sites do not represent current observed locations of high midge activity or disease presence, but are used to give broad coverage of the coastline of the near Continent. The particles released are the NAME "midge" species, for which the particle release rate is a function of the day of the year and the local temperature, wind speed and precipitation at the source location. These midge species particles are also removed from the atmosphere either if they encounter rain rates in excess of 1 mm per hour, to represent the washout of midges, or if they pass over land any time after their first 2 hours of flight, to represent the small distances midges travel over land. The NAME midge species represents the most likely scenario for midges active on the Continent, as it takes into account the effects of seasonality and the meteorology on both take-off and survivability along the flight trajectory.

The resulting midge plumes do not represent the spread of disease, rather the spread of midges (which may or may not be infected) had they been present at the source location. The risk of airborne incursion from a particular source into mainland GB coastal counties and the Channel Islands is based upon the total number of incursions by midge plumes from that source over the previous week.

Appendix C: High risk areas.

We consider the 50km wide area of continental Europe shown in red below to represent the area of main interest with regards to livestock infection and temperature suitability for onwards virus spread. The coloured areas in GB represent ceremonial counties at risk of airborne virus entry and/or potential subsequent onwards spread within GB, grouped into regions as described in the report. Note that although Somerset and Wiltshire are not coastal (and are therefore not considered counties of potential incursion), they are included as counties of interest for onwards spread within GB.



This image shows a map of GB and the adjacent portion of continental Europe. Within GB, four coastal and near-coastal regions in the south and east of England are indicated. These are named as follows (and composed of the listed ceremonial counties): the South West (Cornwall, Devon, Somerset, Dorset, Wiltshire), South East (Hampshire, Isle of Wight, West Sussex, East Sussex, Kent), East Anglia (Essex, Suffolk, Norfolk), and the North East (Lincolnshire, East Riding of Yorkshire, North Yorkshire, Durham, Tyne & Wear, Northumberland). Within continental Europe, a 50km band along the coast adjacent to GB is indicated in red. This runs from western France (Brittany), through north and northwestern Belgium, Netherlands, and Germany, through western Denmark to the north of the Jutland peninsula.