

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

LON/00AQ/OLR/2024/0197 **Case reference** :

188 Headstone Drive, Harrow, HA1

**Property** 4UP

**Applicant Mr Patrick Anthony Prendergast** 

Representative **Brady Solicitors** 

Respondent : **Mr Mohammed Mahroof** 

Representative None

Section 39 of the Leasehold

Reform, Housing and Urban Type of application : **Development Act 1993 (Missing** 

Landlord)

**Mr Anthony Harris LLM FRICS Tribunal members** :

FCIArb (Valuer Chair)

10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR Venue :

11 June 2024 Date of decision

Corrected 13 June 2024

#### **DECISION**

# Summary of the tribunal's decision

(1) The appropriate premium payable for the collective enfranchisement is £32,392.00. (thirty two thousand three hundred and ninety two)

### **Background**

- 1. This is an application made pursuant to section 39 of the Leasehold Reform, Housing and Urban Development Act 1993 ("the Act") for a determination of the premium to be paid for a statutory lease extension due to a missing landlord of 188 Headstone Drive, Harrow HA1 4UP.
- 2. By an application to the court dated 2 November 2023 the applicant sought an order vesting order under \$50(1) as the freeholders could not be traced.
- 3. By an order dated 10 November 2023 the Watford County Court made a vesting order transferring the matter to the First Tier Tribunal.
- 4. The tribunal issued directions on 19 March 2024. A bundle has been submitted to the tribunal including a valuation report and draft lease.

### The issues

- 5. The tribunal has been provided with a valuation report prepared by Mr Adam Mongose BA MRICS:
  - (a) The subject property is a a two-storey end-terrace property split into 2 self-contained flats with the subject property being on the ground floor. There is a single front door and both flats have access to the rear garden. The flat is conventionally laid out with accommodation consisting of entrance hall, bedroom, bathroom, living room with separate kitchen area. The flat has the benefit of main services has gas-fired central heating. The flat is described as being in poor condition.
  - (b) The valuation date: 9 November 2023
  - (c) Details of the tenants' leasehold interest:
    - (i) Date of lease: 28 March 1986
    - (ii) Terms: 99 years from 28 February 1986
    - (iii) Ground rent: £50 per annum for each flat rising by £50 at 33 yearly intervals
    - (iv) Unexpired term at valuation dates: 61.3 years;
  - (d) Mr Mongose has used a Capitalisation rate of 7%; and a Deferment rate of 5%.

### Matters to be determined

6. The following matters are to be determined by the tribunal:

- (a) Capitalisation rate;
- (b) Relativity; and
- (c) The premium payable.

### The hearing

- 7. The case was decided on the papers on 11 June 2024. The applicants were represented by Brady solicitors. The respondents were untraced and no representations were received on their behalf.
- 8. Neither party asked the tribunal to inspect the property and the tribunal did not consider it necessary to carry out a physical inspection to make its determination.
- 9. The applicant relied upon the expert report and valuation of Mr Mongose dated 10 April 2024. No valuation was submitted on behalf of the respondent.

# **Deferment and capitalisation rates**

10. The tribunal agrees that a capitalisation rate of 7% and a deferment rate of 5% are appropriate.

### **Relativity**

- 11. Mr Sharma has used a relativity of 79.08% although the source is not stated.
- 12. In this case the tribunal prefers to use the Zucconi rate of 79.15% based on a blending of the Savills and Gerald Eve unenfranchisable graphs.
- 13. Marriage value is to be divided evenly as the leases have less than 80 years unexpired.

### Freehold value

- 14. Mr Mongose has provided details of a number of comparable flats.
- 15. 72 Victor Road Harrow is a one-bedroom ground floor garden flat sold for £220,000 in October 2023. The flat has a 148 year lease and is in better condition.
- 16. 62A Toorack Road Harrow is a one-bedroom first floor flat sold for £253,000 in November 2023. The flat has a private garden and is larger. It is in similar condition and has a share of the freehold.

- Flat 3 Hindes Road Harrow is a one-bedroom 1st floor flat sold for 17. £260,000 in July 2023. The flat is slightly smaller, has a share of the freehold and shared use of the garden. It has a refurbished kitchen and is in better condition.
- 18. 49a Beresford Road Harrow is a one-bedroom first floor which is larger than the subject and has shared use of the garden and a share of the freehold and sold £250,000 in March 2023.
- 11 Wolseley Road Harrow is a one bedroom first floor flat which is 19. larger and with its own garden. It is recently refurbished and has a long lease. It sold "recently" for £253,000.
- Based on this evidence Mr Mongose places a value on the ground floor 20. flat of £250,000 assuming a long lease and a current value of £197,700.

### The tribunal's determination

The tribunal has considered the evidence submitted and also used its 21. own expert knowledge. The tribunal agrees that the value placed on the flat by Mr Mongose is appropriate. The long leasehold figure of £250,000 represents 99% of the freehold value which comes to £252,525 based on the market convention.

# **Hope value**

22. The tribunal considers that the property is fully developed and there is no hope value to be added in this case.

### Valuation

The tribunal assesses the premium to be paid at £32,392 as set out in 23. the attached valuation.

#### **Draft Lease**

The terms of the draft lease are approved. 24.

11 June 2024 and 13 June Name: Mr A Harris Date:

2024

Address	188 Headstone Rd			Tribunal Valuation			
Basic Infoma							
Valuation Date	09 November 2023						
Existing lease Expiry Date	27 February 2085						
Years unexpired	61.30						
Length of new lease	151.30						
Existing Ground Rent	£100.00						
Basis of review							
Date of 1st review	28 February 2052						
Years to 1st review	28.30						
Length of period	33						
Rent at 1st review	£150.00						
Capitalisation Rate	7.00%						
Deferment Rate	5.00%						
Long Lease Figure	£250,000						
F/H to Long lease change	99%						
Freehold figure	£252,525						
Real World Short Lease Value							
No Act Discount							
Relativity Rate	79.15%						
Current Lease Value	£199,874						
EXISTING FREEHOLD VALUE							
TERM VALUE				Cap Rate	P/V	Multiplier	Term Valu
				-			
Term 1	£100.00	28.30	7.00%	12.1808	1	12.1808	£1,218
1st Review	£150	33.00	7.00%	12.7538	0.15	1.8792	£282
					Total Term Value		£1,500
							_
REVERSION VALUE			Capital Value	Years to	Deferment	P/V	Reversion
			COEO FOE	Reversion	Rate	0.0500	Value
			£252,525	61.30	0.05	0.0502	£12,686
							£14,186
NEW FREEHOLD VALUE			Capital Value	Years to	Deferment	P/V	Reversion
			£252,525	Reversion 151.30	0.05	0.0006	Value <b>£157</b>
			2202,020	131.30	0.03	0.0000	2101
MARRIAGE VALUE CALCULAT	ION						
Value of Espain (11) No. 11						£157	
Value of Freeholders New Interest Value of Leaseholders New Interest						£157 £250,000	£250,157
less							
Value of Freeholders Current I	nterest					£14,186	
Value of Leaseholders Current						£199,874	£214,060
						,	,,,,,
					Difference		£36,097
					50% of Diffe	oronco	£18,049
					JU /001 DITE	21 CI ICE	410,049
CALCULATION OF PAYMENTE							
	Freehol	ders Curre	ent Value		£14,186		
Freeholders New Value				£157			
			e Value		£18,049		£32,392

### Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).