

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case reference MAM/LON/00AE/F77/2023/0257

19a Townsend Lane, London, NW9 7JG **Property**

Applicant Mr Ross Dowling

Representative None

Respondent **Northumberland & Durham Property**

Representative None

Date of application 12 August 2023

Determination of the registered rent Type of application

under Section 70 Rent Act 1977

Mr I B Holdsworth MSc FRICS MCIArb **Tribunal members**

RICS Registered Valuer 0079475

Venue 10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR

Date of decision 17 April 2024

DECISION

The sum of £640.50 per month will be registered as the fair rent with effect from 17 April 2024, being the date, the Tribunal made the Decision.

Background

- An application was made to the Rent Office for the registration of a fair rent by the Landlord. The registered rent was challenged by the Tenant to this application and the Rent Officer has requested the matter be referred to the Tribunal for determination.
- 2 Directions were issued to both parties following receipt of the objection.
- 3 The Tribunal informed the parties of their rights to request an oral hearing.
- 4 A hearing was not held to discuss the application and written submissions on this matter. No hearing was requested by the parties.
- 5 An inspection of the property was not requested or carried out.
- Prior to the hearing, the parties were invited to submit relevant information on market rents in the area for similar properties. They were also invited to offer any details of property dilapidation, repairs or improvements made to the property by either the Landlord or Tenant.
- 7 The parties were invited to provide a full description of the property on a reply form provided to them by the Tribunal. The Tenant provided a detailed description of the property.

Property Description

8 The property is a flat. The accommodation comprises:

First floor: One bedroom, lounge, Kitchen and bathroom/WC

Reported defects and obsolescence

- 9 The Tenant reported a number of specific defects to the property in their submissions.
- 10 The condition of the property is a material consideration when carrying out a fair rent determination. The Tribunal has also had regard for any dilapidation and obsolescence at the property.

Market rental evidence

Both parties provided no detail of comparable market rents of similar property in the locality. The Tribunal has had to rely upon local knowledge and experience of the members.

The law

- When determining a fair rent the Tribunal, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, ('the Act'), it had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of: (a) any relevant Tenant's improvements; and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the Tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.
- In **Spath Holme Ltd -v- Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc.**Committee [1995] and Curtis -v- London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] the Court of Appeal emphasised that ordinarily a fair rent is the Market Rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity'. This is that element, if any, of the Market Rent that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms.
- 14 The Market Rents charged for assured tenancy lettings often form appropriate comparable transactions from which a scarcity deduction is made.
- 15 These Market Rents are also adjusted where appropriate to reflect any relevant differences between those of the subject and comparable rental properties.
- 16 The Upper Tribunal in **Trustees of the Israel Moss Children's Trust -v-Bandy [2015]** explained the duty of the First-tier Tribunal to present comprehensive and cogent fair rent findings. These directions are applied in this Decision.
- 17 **The Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999** applies to all dwelling houses where an application for the registration of a new rent is made after the date of the Order and there is an existing registered rent under part IV of the Act. This article restricts any rental increase to 5% above the previously registered rent. The article is not applied should the Tribunal assess that as a consequence of repairs or improvements carried out by the Landlord the rent that is determined in response to an application for a new rent registration exceeds by at least 15% the previous rent registered.
- 18 The Upper Tribunal in **Peabody Trust v Welstead 2024** addressed the reliance upon the experience and knowledge of a tribunal following an application to the Tribunal. Judge Martin Rodger KC, Deputy Chamber President said:

residential property matters. Whilst sitting on the FTT its members will acquire further relevant experience and familiarity with general levels of value or costs in a particular area. This is one of the key strengths of the Tribunal system and it particularly important in dealing with the numerous cases of modest value in which a decision has to be made on very limited information. Rent assessments are typical of those types of cases.

Although this decision was concerned with management charges it also specifically addressed the role of the Expert Tribunal when little or no evidence is provided by the parties. The Deputy Chamber President said:

'It was entitled to rely on its general experience of management charges; that is what it was appointed to do and, in the absence of assistance from the parties, there was no other source on which it could rely.'

In this matter comparable rental information or scarcity data was not proffered by either party and the Tribunal had to rely upon their general knowledge and expertise. They did not rely upon specific rental transactions or property scarcity data sets. This approach accords with the Upper Tribunal guidance on the appropriate role of the Tribunal in such situations.

Valuation

- 19 In the first instance, the Tribunal determined what rent the Landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market, if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to their general knowledge of market levels in this area of Greater London.
- 20 This hypothetical rent is adjusted as necessary to allow for the differences between the terms and conditions considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property at the date of the inspection. Any rental benefit derived from Tenant's improvements is disregarded. It is also necessary to disregard the effect of any disrepair or other defects attributable to the Tenant or any predecessor in title.
- The provisions of section 70(2) of the Rent Act 1977 in effect require the elimination of what is called 'scarcity'. The required assumption is of a neutral market. Where a Tribunal considers that there is, in fact, substantial scarcity, it must make an adjustment to the rent to reflect that circumstance. In the present case neither party provided evidence with regard to scarcity.
- The Tribunal then considered the decision of the High Court in **Yeomans Row Management Ltd –v– London Rent Assessment Committee [2002] EWHC 835 (Admin)**, which required it to consider scarcity over a wide area rather than limit it to a particular locality. North London is now considered to be an appropriate area to use as a yardstick for measuring scarcity and it is clear that there is a substantial measure of scarcity in North London.

Assessing a scarcity percentage cannot be a precise arithmetical calculation. It can only be a judgement based on the years' of experience of Members of the Tribunal. The Tribunal therefore relied on its own combined knowledge and experience of supply and demand for similar properties on the terms of the regulated tenancy (other than as to rent) and, in particular, to unfulfilled demand for such accommodation. In doing so, the Tribunal found there was substantial scarcity in the locality of North London and, therefore, made a further deduction of 20%.

The valuation of a fair rent is an exercise that relies upon relevant Market Rent comparable transactions and property specific adjustments. The fair rents charged for other similar properties in the locality do not form relevant transaction evidence.

- The Tribunal has had regard for any comparable transaction rental evidence presented by the parties. They have relied upon their knowledge and experience of Market Rents in the locality to determine the appropriate Market Rent for this property to be used in the fair rent calculation.
- 25 Table 1 below provides details of the fair rent calculation:

Property:	19a Townsend Lane, London, NW9 7JG					
Fair rent cal	culation in accordance with	n s(70) Rent Act	1977			
Market rent			£1,650.00	per month		
Disregards		1000		Deduction per month	as % of rent per month.	
Carpets, curtains white, goods, soft fu		mishing		£82.50	5.00%	
Internal decoration liability				£82.50	5.00%	
Dilapidation	ns and obsolesence			Deduction per month	as % of rent per month	
Aged kitchen fittings				£82.50	5.00%	
No central heating				£165.00	10.00%	
Dated bathromm fittings				£165.00	10.00%	
Improvements undertaken by tenant				Deduction per month	as % of rent per month	
None advise	ed	17752				
		Total deductions		£577.50	35.00%	
		Adjusted Rent	balance	£1,072.50		
Less Scarcit	y 20.00%			£214.50		
Adjusted Market Rent				£858.00	per month	Uncapped rent
Capped ren	t in accordance with			£ 640.50	per month	Capped rent
Rent Acts (I	Maximum Fair Rent) Order	1999				

Decision

The Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order will apply to this determination. The uncapped fair rent initially determined by the Tribunal for the purposes of Section 70 is £858 per month by virtue of the Rents Act (Maximum Fair Order) 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered for this property is £640.50 per month.

- 27 Details of the maximum fair rent calculations were provided with the original Notice of Decision. The statutory formula applied to the previously registered rent is at Appendix A.
- April 2024 is **£650.50** per month.

Name: Ian Holdsworth Date: 14 June 2024

Valuer Chairman

Appendix A

The Rents Act (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999

- 2 (1) Where this article applies, the amount to be registered as the rent of the dwelling-house under Part IV shall not, subject to paragraph (5), exceed the maximum fair rent calculated in accordance with the formula set out in paragraph (2).
 - (2) The formula is:

$$MFR = LR \left[1 + \frac{(x-y)}{y} + P\right]$$

where:

- 'MFR' is the maximum fair rent;
- 'LR' is the amount of the existing registered rent to the dwelling-house;
- 'x' is the index published in the month immediately preceding the month in which the determination of a fair rent is made under Part IV;
- 'y' is the published index for the month in which the rent was last registered under Part IV before the date of the application for registration of a new rent; and
- 'P' is 0.075 for the first application for rent registration of the dwelling-house after this Order comes into force and 0.05 for every subsequent application.
- (3) Where the maximum fair rent calculated in accordance with paragraph (2) is not an integral multiple of 50 pence the maximum fair rent shall be that amount rounded up to the nearest integral multiple of 50 pence.
- (4) If $(\underline{x-y})$ + P is less than zero the maximum fair rent shall be the y existing registered rent.

Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the Tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

You can only appeal this determination if the First-tier Tribunal decision was wrong on one or more points of law, and you must say why the First-tier Tribunal was wrong in law.

Any subsequent application for permission to appeal should be made on Form RP PTA.

If a party wishes to appeal this Decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within **28-days** after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the Decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28-day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the Decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (ie, give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the Tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).