

Case No: 1805493/2022,
1805494/2022, 1805496/2022 &
1805498/2022



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Miss MI Lasakowska
Respondents: Nicholas Associates Group Limited (sued as Staffordce-Ishaaq Kara) & 19 others, see schedule attached

JUDGMENT

The Claimant's request dated **23rd June 2023** for a review, which is treated as an application for reconsideration of the judgment sent to the parties on **30th May 2023** is refused.

REASONS

1. The application (which has also not been copied to the Respondents as it should have been) is made more than 14 days after the decision was sent to the parties and the Claimant has given no explanation for the delay.
2. In any event there is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked, because:

The circumstances in which the public preliminary hearing on 26th May 2023 to consider strike out properly went ahead, under rule 47 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure 2013, in the absence of the Claimant are fully set out in the original judgment.

This judgment was sent to the Claimant on 30th May 2023 at the email address notified on her claim forms (mlask2010@outlook.com).

The Claimant was also expressly referred to this judgment in a response to her email of 27th May 2013, asking for the hearing to be "re-scheduled". This was sent to the same alternative email address now apparently being used by the

Case No: 1805493/2022,
1805494/2022, 1805496/2022 &
1805498/2022

Claimant, and which was the same as that to which the CVP link had been sent at her request on the morning of the hearing, and to which she had not replied in any way (monikainlondon@aol.com).

All the available information that had been provided in writing by the Claimant about her claims was fully considered at the hearing.

Submissions on behalf of the Respondents have also already been duly taken into account.

In none of her subsequent correspondence to the tribunal, including this application, does the Claimant actually address the relevant preliminary issue, which is whether the claims as pleaded have no reasonable prospect of success.

In these circumstances there is no reasonable prospect of the decision being altered or revoked if the preliminary hearing were to be re-listed even with the Claimant now in attendance.

Employment Judge **Lancaster**

Date 12th July 2023

Schedule

18054693 – Claimant Miss M I Laskowska

Respondents

R1 Stafforce - Ishaq Kara

R2 The Best Connection Group Ltd

R3 Search Liverpool - Monica Sharma

R4 Unity Personnel Wakefield

R5 Reed Recruitment

1805494/2022 - Claimant Miss M I Laskowska

Respondents

R1 Mach Recruitment

R2 Parkside Recruitment - Grace Cameron

R3 Pertemps Leeds

R4 Major Recruitment Bradford

R5 HR Go Recruitment - Claire Jones

1805496/2022 - Claimant Miss M I Laskowska

Respondents

R1 Manpower Leeds

R2 Olympic Staff Kaludia

R3 PMP Recruitment Ewa Nicinska

R4 Search Leeds

R5 GI Group - Millie Ramsden

1805498/2022 - Claimant Miss M I Laskowska

Respondents

R1 Admiral Recruitment

R2 First Call Contract Services Ltd Ann Alderton First Call Contract Services Ltd

R3 Caprice Holdings (Arlene Macauley)

R4 Adecco Leeds

R5 CBRE Leeds