Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended)

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is:EPR/SP3938DEThe Operator is:Dunhills (Pontefract) PLCThe Installation is:Castleford Production FacilityThis Variation Notice number is:EPR/SP3938DE/V003

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication by the European Commission of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries published on 4th December 2019 in the Official Journal of the European Union. In this decision document, we set out the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we have issued.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issue. Where this has not already been done, it also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and with other permits issued to Installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been deleted because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document, we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions and any changes to the operation of the installation.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. Achieving all three objectives is not always easy, and we would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future.

How this document is structured

- 1. Our decision
- 2. How we reached our decision
- 3. The legal framework

- 4. Annex 1 Review of operating techniques within the Installation against BAT Conclusions.
- 5. Annex 2 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review
- 6. Annex 3 Improvement Conditions

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the Variation Notice to the Operator. This will allow the Operator to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the Consolidated Variation Notice that updates the whole permit.

We consider that, in reaching our decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The Consolidated Variation Notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusion techniques

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 03/10/2022 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate where the operation of their installation currently meets, or how it will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the relevant BAT Conclusions document.

The Notice required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- describes the techniques that will be implemented before 4 December 2023, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standards, or
- justifies why standards will not be met by 4 December 2023, and confirmation of the date when the
 operation of those processes will cease within the Installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT
 standards are not applicable to those processes, or
- justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised BAT standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT-AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice required that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that BAT-AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 30/01/2023.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review [but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that determination].

The Operator made no claim for commercial confidentiality. We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 61 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

2.2 <u>Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the Installation to meet revised</u> standards included in the BAT Conclusions document Based on our records and previous experience in the regulation of the installation we have no reason to consider that the Operator will not be able to comply with the techniques and standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

2.3 <u>Requests for further information during determination</u>

Although we were able to consider the Regulation 61 Notice response generally satisfactory at receipt, we did in fact need more information in order to complete our permit review assessment, and issued a further information request on 22/01/2024 requesting the operator provide further information on BATc 6 ISO 50001 certification and BATc 9 refrigeration systems in use and a timescale for their replacement. A copy of the further information request was placed on our public register.

3 The legal framework

The Consolidated Variation Notice will be issued under Regulations 18 and 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that, in issuing the Consolidated Variation Notice, it will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

Annex 1: decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries, were published by the European Commission on 4 December 2019.

There are 37 BAT Conclusions.

BAT 1 – 15 are General BAT Conclusions (Narrative BAT) applicable to all relevant Food, Drink and Milk Installations in scope.

BAT 16 – 37 are sector-specific BAT Conclusions, including Best Available Techniques Associated Emissions Levels (BAT-AELs) and Associated Environmental Performance Levels (BAT-AELs):

 BAT 18 – 20 BAT Conclusions for Brewing BAT 21 – 23 BAT Conclusions for Dairies BAT 24 BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production BAT 25 & 26 BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing BAT 27 BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing BAT 28 BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 	BAT 16 & 17	BAT Conclusions for Animal Feed
 BAT 21 – 23 BAT Conclusions for Dairies BAT 24 BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production BAT 25 & 26 BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing BAT 27 BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing BAT 28 BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 	BAT 18 – 20	BAT Conclusions for Brewing
 BAT 24 BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production BAT 25 & 26 BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing BAT 27 BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing BAT 28 BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 21 – 23	BAT Conclusions for Dairies
 BAT 25 & 26 BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing BAT 27 BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing BAT 28 BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing BAT 30 - 32 BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 33 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 - 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 24	BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production
 BAT 27 BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing BAT 28 BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 33 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 25 & 26	BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing
 BAT 28 BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 33 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 27	BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing
 BAT 29 BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 33 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 28	BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling
 BAT 30 – 32 BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining BAT 33 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 29	BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing
 BAT 33 BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing 	BAT 30 – 32	BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining
Fruit and Vegetables BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing	BAT 33	BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from
BAT 34 BAT Conclusions for Starch Production BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing		Fruit and Vegetables
BAT 35 – 37 BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing	BAT 34	BAT Conclusions for Starch Production
	BAT 35 – 37	BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA – Not Applicable

- **CC** Currently Compliant
- FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT Conclusions)
- NC Not Compliant

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
GEN	IERAL BAT CONCLUSIONS (BAT 1-15)		
1	Environmental Management System - Improve overall environmental performance. Implement an EMS that incorporates all the features as described within BATc 1.	CC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 1. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 1. The operator confirms their EMS is based on the requirements of ISO 14001:2015 standard but is not certified. The document incorporates the features set out in BATc 1
2	EMS Inventory of inputs & outputs. Increase resource efficiency and reduce emissions. Establish, maintain and regularly review (including when a significant change occurs) an inventory of water, energy and raw materials consumption as well as of waste water and waste gas streams, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that incorporates all of the features as detailed within the BATCs.	CC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 2. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 2. Water, waste water and energy consumption is recorded and monitored on a monthly basis using a combination of meter readings, invoices, weighbridge tickets and half hourly data. These are tracked and reported by the HSE Manager to the wider operational management team. An inventory of raw materials is managed by the supply chain team who track consumption of each raw material on a monthly basis.
3	Monitoring key process parameters at key locations for emissions to water. For relevant emissions to water as identified by the inventory of waste water streams (see BAT 2), BAT is to monitor key process parameters (e.g. continuous monitoring of waste water flow, pH and temperature) at key locations (e.g. at the inlet and/or outlet of the pre-treatment, at the inlet to the final treatment, at the point where the emission leaves the installation).	N/A	The site collects all process effluent within a storage tank which is then collected by road tanker for third party treatment and disposal. Boiler blowdown and feed water is discharged to sewer at S1. This however is considered "non-process effluent" and is therefore not considered here.

BAIC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			We are therefore satisfied BATc 3 is not applicable to the installation.
4	Monitoring emissions to water to the required frequencies and standards. BAT is to monitor emissions to water with at least the frequency given [refer to BAT 4 table in BATc] and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.	N/A	As above, the operator collects and transfers all process effluent offsite via road tanker for third party treatment. There are no process water emissions to sewer or surface water from the installation. We are therefore satisfied BATc 4 is not applicable to the installation.
5	Monitoring channelled emissions to air to the required frequencies and standards. BAT is to monitor channelled emissions to air with at least the frequency given and in accordance with EN standards.	N/A	BATc 5 sets out air emissions monitoring requirements applicable to specific FDM sub- sectors. None of these monitoring requirements are applicable to this site as the activities undertaken (confectionery manufacture) are not specified in the sector and specific processes set out in BATc 5. We are therefore satisfied that BATc 5 is not applicable to this site.
6	Energy Efficiency In order to increase energy efficiency, BAT is to use an energy efficiency plan (BAT 6a) and an appropriate combination of the common techniques listed in technique 6b within the table in the BATc.	CC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 6. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 6. The site is accredited to ISO 50001 and the certification has been provided.
7	 Water and wastewater minimisation In order to reduce water consumption and the volume of waste water discharged, BAT is to use BAT 7a and one or a combination of the techniques b to k given below. (a) water recycling and/or reuse (b) Optimisation of water flow 	cc	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 7. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 7.

No.	BATC	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		(c) Optimisation of water nozzles and hoses(d) Segregation of water streams		The operator has confirmed the following techniques are used on site:
		Techniques related to cleaning operations: (e) Dry cleaning (f) Pigging system for pipes (g) High-pressure cleaning (h) Optimisation of chemical dosing and water use in cleaning-in-place (CIP) (i) Low-pressure foam and/or gel cleaning (j) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas (k) Cleaning of equipment as soon as possible		 Sequential reuse of water is in place on the jelly confectionery machines. The cleaning water for these machines is first used to clean the process vessels in the kitchens prior to being used to clean supply pipes, holding tanks, and heated vessels prior to being used to clean the production plant. Water used for cooling the vacuum chambers is either recirculated or is used as feed water to the heat exchangers and ultimately used in the product, or as general hot water. Steam condensate is collected in a condensate recovery system which is used to pre-heat boiler feed-water. Cleaning-in-place is used on the pressure dissolver. The dissolver is drained prior to the commencement of the cleaning cycle with the drained solution going to the product. Rainwater is collected from storm water drainage at the Installation and is stored in an underground sump. The water is filtered and passes through a UV filter prior to being used for low-grade uses (sanitary supply). Base solutions are formulated in the kitchens in batches within process vessels which are situated on load cells. The preparation of the batch is controlled by process software and automatic interlocks which analyse weight data from the load cells to determine the fill level in the process

NO.	BATC	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				vessel, thus ensuring consistency in the base solutions and efficient use of water. The base solution plant supplies ring mains in the kitchens, this process avoids the over production of base solutions, and therefore minimises water consumption.
	8	Prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances In order to prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances, e.g. in cleaning and disinfection, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. (a) Proper selection of cleaning chemicals and/or disinfectants (b) Reuse of cleaning chemicals in cleaning-in-place (CIP) (c) Dry cleaning (d) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas	CC	 The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 8. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 8. The operator has confirmed the following: Daily cleaning of the production plant is undertaken using water only, and then at planned intervals using a caustic solution (typically weekly). The use of cleaning chemicals for day to day mopping is also stipulated within the cleaning procedure. All cleaning processes are documented and all staff are provided with training prior to being permitted to carry out the cleaning processes without supervision. Records of training are maintained by supervisors. Additionally weekly staff meetings are held, clean-as-you-go processes are reviewed at these meeting to ensure staff awareness of the set procedures for cleaning are maintained.
				At the time of permit application (2016) the operator provided a Baseline Environmental

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			Report which provided details of the substances stored on site which includes ingredients, fuel, water treatment chemicals and cleaning agents (Sodium Hydroxide) and their storage arrangements. The operator referenced this document within the Reg 61 response as still being relevant. The document is saved to the file.
			to sewer or surface water.
9	Refrigerants In order to prevent emissions of ozone-depleting substances and of substances with a high global warming potential from cooling and freezing, BAT is to use refrigerants without ozone depletion potential and with a low global warming potential.	FC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 9. We have assessed the information provided and we are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 9. The operator has confirmed R134A and R404A refrigerant systems are associated with the manufacturing process. The operator stated the equipment will be replaced when the systems fail. We have included IC3 into the permit to ensure a formal phase out and
10	Resource efficiency In order to increase resource efficiency, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below: (a) Anaerobic digestion (b) Use of residues (c) Separation of residues (d) Recovery and reuse of residues from the pasteuriser (e) Phosphorus recovery as struvite (f) Use of waste water for land spreading	CC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 10. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 10. Waste water is disposed of by anaerobic digestion. If this is not possible the water is used for land spreading. Throughout the production process starch is
			used to create a form into which the jelly is

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			poured to set. After setting the jellies are separated from the starch. The starch is then de-hydrated using steam, filtered and then used to create new forms for the next batch of jellies. Contaminated starch from the filters on the production machines is sent to the sieving room where it is sieved to remove any remaining jelly. The resultant starch is then returned to the production machines. The remaining waste starch and jelly is then disposed of as an animal feed. The production machines are designed to maximize the recovery of the starch by having fillets around all openings into the filling chamber. This avoids the build up of starch at openings which may then be lost on opening the machine for cleaning and servicing. Where waste product cannot be prevented or reused internally, it is utilised to make animal feed.
11	Waste water buffer storage In order to prevent uncontrolled emissions to water, BAT is to provide an appropriate buffer storage capacity for waste water.	CC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 11. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 11. Effluent associated with the production process is routed to an underground sump which then pumps the effluent to an above ground tank. The tank is contained within a fixed bund. Boiler blowdown and feedwater are separately routed to sewer. The surface water and effluent systems are
			separate. There is a penstock valve positioned

No.	BATC	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				within the Installation boundary before the final discharge point to the municipal surface water drainage system. This valve can be manually activated to seal the Installation surface water system and contain any significant spills within the drainage system.
	12	Emissions to water – treatment In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given below. Preliminary, primary and general treatment (a) Equalisation (b) Neutralisation (c) Physical separate (eg screens, sieves, primary settlement tanks etc) Aerobic and/or anaerobic treatment (secondary treatment) (d) Aerobic and/or anaerobic treatment (eg activated sludge, aerobic lagoon etc) (e) Nitification and/or denitrification (f) Partial nitration - anaerobic ammonium oxidation Phosphorus recovery and/or removal (g) Phosphorus recovery as struvite (h) Precipitation (i) Enhanced biological phosphorus removal Final solids removal (j) Coagulation and flocculation (k) Sedimentation (l) Filtration (eg sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration) (m) Flotation	N/A	The operator collects effluent for transfer and treatment by third party operator. No treatment is undertaken on site and there are no emissions from manufacturing processes to surface water. The BAT treatment requirements will be met by the 3 rd party operator. The site has not developed an effluent treatment plant on site or connection to sewer as historically there has not been enough capacity at the local waste water treatment works. This is outside both the operator and Environment Agencies control although will be further investigated as part of our routine compliance inspections.
	12	Emissions to water – treatment BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for direct emissions to a receiving water body	N/A	The site stores process effluent on site, there are no direct discharges to the water course, as such BAT-AELs do not apply.
				We are therefore satisfied that BAT AELs associated with BATc 12 are not applicable for this site.

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirem Industries	ent for Food, Drink and Milk	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Parameter	BAT-AEL (1) (2) (daily average)		
	Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (3) (4)	25-100 mg/l (^s)		
	Total suspended solids (TSS)	4-50 mg/l (⁶)		
	Total nitrogen (TN)	2-20 mg/l (⁷) (⁸)		
	Total phosphorus (TP)	0,2-2 mg/l (°)		
- 10			N/A	
13	 Noise management plan In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review a noise management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements: a protocol containing actions and timelines; a protocol for conducting noise emissions monitoring; a protocol for response to identified noise events, eg complaints; a noise reduction programme designed to identify the source(s), to measure/estimate noise and vibration exposure, to characterise the contributions 		N/A	 A hoise management plan is only required where noise nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected or has been substantiated. There have been no substantiated noise nuisance from the site therefore an NMP is not a requirement for this site. We are satisfied that BATc 13 is not applicable to this site.
14	 14 Noise management In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. (a) Appropriate location of equipment and buildings (b) Operational measures (c) Low-noise equipment (d) Noise control equipment (e) Noise abatement 		CC	 The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 14. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 14. The operator has confirmed the following noise mitigation techniques are used on site: 15 miles per hour is in operation across the Installation to minimise engine noise. The loading bays are located to the south of the Installation on the opposite side of the production building to the nearest residential receptors.

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			 The car park for operatives and visitors is located next to the site entrance minimising the movements of traffic on the Installation. Deliveries are received at the Installation in bulk, delivery slots are allocated and timings provided to drivers to restrict the number of vehicles on the Installation or waiting to access the Installation at any one time. Only full loads of good leave the site to minimise the amount of traffic on the Installation. The boiler, air compressors, chiller, fire water pumps, silo compressors and pumps are all located within the production building. The building is constructed of lightweight cladding which the operator states provides a predicted sound reduction of RW 24 dB. The AHUs are fitted with attenuators on the supply and exhaust air terminals. The fire water pumps and the generator are not routinely used; however, they are tested on a frequent basis to ensure the correct operation. All production processes are undertaken within buildings which are of 150mm reinforced concrete construction which provides a predicted sound reduction of RW 54 dB. All doors and openings into buildings are self-closing doors. All plant is maintained periodically in accordance with manufacturers'

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			 specifications to minimise excessive noise from poor performance. Weekly external inspections are conducted of the site which includes noise monitoring at several monitoring points.
15	 Odour Management In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce odour emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review an odour management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements: a protocol containing actions and timelines; a protocol for conducting odour monitoring. a protocol for response to identified odour incidents eg complaints; an odour prevention and reduction programme designed to identify the source(s); to measure/estimate odour exposure: to characterise the contributions of the sources; and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures. 	N/A	An odour management plan is only required where odour nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected or has been substantiated. There have been no substantiated odour nuisance from the site therefore an OMP is not a requirement for this site. The operator confirmed within their Reg 61 response that an OMP is in place. This however is an aged document, not having been updated since 2015. Going forward we consider the site does not require an OMP.

Annex 2: Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review

Updating permit during permit review consolidation

- Activity name
- Introductory note
- Site plan
- Table S1.1 overhaul
 - Activity Reference (AR) renumbering
 - Updated listed activities
 - Addition of production capacity
 - Directly associated activities (DAAs) standardisation

We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as a part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide the same level of protection as those in the previous permit.

Production/Capacity Threshold

The Environment Agency is looking to draw a "line in the sand" for permitted production capacity; a common understanding between the Operator and regulator for the emissions associated with a (maximum) level of production, whereby the maximum emissions have been demonstrated as causing no significant environmental impact.

We have included a permitted production level (capacity) within table S1.1 of the permit for the section 6.8 listed activity and we need to be confident that the level of emissions associated with this production level have been demonstrated to be acceptable.

The Operator has completed a H1 assessment of emissions for typical figures of production at the time of permitting.

Emissions to Air

We asked the operator to list all emission points to air from the installation in the Regulation 61 notice. And to provide a site plan indicating the locations of all air emission points.

The operator has provided an up to date air emission plan.

Implementing the requirements of the Medium Combustion Plant Directive

We asked the Operator to provide information on all combustion plant on site in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows:

- Number of combustion plant (CHP engines, back-up generators, boilers);
- Size of combustion plant rated thermal input (MWth)
- Date each combustion plant came into operation

The Operator provided the information in the table below:

Boilers

	Byworth Boiler	Bosch Boiler
1. Rated thermal input (MW) of the medium combustion plant.	8.4MWth	8.7MWth
2. Type of the medium combustion plant (diesel engine, gas turbine, dual fuel engine, other engine or other medium combustion plant).	Boiler	Boiler
3. Type and share of fuels used according to the fuel categories laid down in Annex II.	Natural Gas	Natural Gas
4. Date of the start of the operation of the medium combustion plant or, where the exact date of the start of the operation is unknown, proof of the fact that the operation started before 20 December 2018.	March 2015	July 2021

We have reviewed the information provided and we consider that the Byworth Boiler qualifies as "existing" medium combustion plant. The Bosch boiler was permitted as "new" under permit variation V002.

For existing medium combustion plant with a rated thermal input greater than 5 MW, the emission limit values set out in tables 2 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex II MCPD shall apply from 1 January 2025.

We have included the appropriate emission limit values for existing medium combustion plant as part of this permit review. See Table S3.1 in the permit. We have also included a new condition 3.1.4 within the permit which specifies the monitoring requirements for the combustion plant in accordance with the MCPD.

Emissions to Water and implementing the requirements of the Water Framework Directive

We asked the Operator to provide information on all emissions to water at the installation in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows;

- Identify any effluents which discharge directly to surface or groundwater;
- Provide an assessment of volume and quality, including results of any monitoring data available;
- and for any discharges to water / soakaway whether a recent assessment of the feasibility of connection to sewer has been carried out.

The only emission to surface water from the installation is clean surface water run off from roofs and yard areas to Wain Dike Beck at W1.

Boiler blowdown and feedwater is discharged to sewer.

Soil & groundwater risk assessment (baseline report)

The IED requires that the operator of any IED installation using, producing or releasing "relevant hazardous substances" (RHS) shall, having regarded the possibility that they might cause pollution of soil and groundwater, submit a "baseline report" with its permit application. The baseline report is an important reference document in the assessment of contamination that might arise during the operational lifetime of the regulated facility and at cessation of activities. It must enable a quantified comparison to be made between the baseline and the state of the site at surrender.

At the definitive cessation of activities, the Operator has to satisfy us that the necessary measures have been taken so that the site ceases to pose a risk to soil or groundwater, taking into account both the baseline conditions and the site's current or approved future use. To do this, the Operator has to submit a surrender application to us, which we will not grant unless and until we are satisfied that these requirements have been met.

The Operator submitted a site condition report Castleford Production Facility (15/11/2016) during the original application received on 06/12/2016. The site condition report included a report on the baseline conditions as required by Article 22. We reviewed that report and considered that it adequately described the condition of the soil and groundwater at that time.

Hazardous Substances

Hazardous substances are those defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures

The operator has confirmed there has been no change in the hazardous substances used, their capability of causing pollution and/or the pollution prevention measures at the installation since the risk assessment was submitted on 06/12/2016. Consequently, we are satisfied there has been no change to the assessment of risk for hazardous substances.

Climate Change Adaptation

The operator has considered if the site is at risk of impacts from adverse weather (flooding, unavailability of land for land spreading, prolonged dry weather / drought).

The operator has identified the installation as likely to be or has been affected by unavailability of land for land spreading of waste/ prolonged dry weather/ drought which we consider to be a severe weather event.

The operator has provided contingency measures should their usual land spreading routes be unavailable however this is not transcribed into a formal CCA. We have therefore included an improvement condition into the permit (IC4) to request a climate change adaptation plan is submitted by the operator for approval from the Environment Agency.

Containment

We asked the Operator vis the Regulation 61 Notice to provide details of the each above ground tanks which contain potentially polluting liquids at the site, including tanks associated with the effluent treatment process where appliable.

The Operator provided details of all tanks;

- Tank reference/name
- Contents
- Capacity (litres)
- Location
- Construction material(s) of each tank
- The bunding specification including
 - Whether the tank is bunded
 - If the bund is shared with other tanks
 - The capacity of the bund
 - The bund capacity as % of tank capacity
 - Construction material of the bund
 - Whether the bund has a drain point
 - Whether any pipes penetrate the bund wall
- Details of overfill prevention
- Drainage arrangements outside of bunded areas
- Tank filling/emptying mitigation measures (drips/splashes)
- Leak detection measures
- Details of when last bund integrity test was carried out
- Maintenance measures in place for tank and bund (inspections)
- How the bund is emptied
- Details of tertiary containment

and whether the onsite tanks currently meet the relevant standard in the Ciria "Containment systems for the prevention of pollution (C736)" report.

We reviewed the information provided by the operator. We are satisfied that the existing tanks and containment measures on site meet the standards set out in CIRIA C736.

Annex 3: Improvement Conditions

Based on the information in the Operator's Regulation 61 Notice response and our own records of the capability and performance of the installation at this site, we consider that we need to set improvement conditions so that the outcome of the techniques detailed in the BAT Conclusions are achieved by the installation. These improvement conditions are set out below - justifications for them is provided at the relevant section of the decision document (Annex 1 or Annex 2).

Previous improvement conditions marked as complete in the previous permit.

Superseded Improvement Conditions – Removed from permit as marked as "complete"				
Reference	Improvement Condition			
IC1	The site shall ensure that the site effluent tank and sump meet the standards set out in both CIRIA C736 and Food and Drink Sector Guidance EPR 6.10 and the Food, Drink and Milk Industries BREF that sets out Best Available Techniques. All proposed improvements should be approved, in writing, by an officer of the Environment Agency, prior to commencing construction			
IC2	Post commissioning, the operator shall provide a reviewed and revised odour management plan with respect to their activities and operations undertaken on site providing detail of any remedial actions required or changes made to the plan to ensure odour remains fully managed in accord with Best Available Techniques and the latest version of the Sector Guidance.			

The following improvement conditions have added to the permit as a result of the variation.

Improvement programme requirements				
Reference	Reason for inclusion	Justification of deadline		
IC3	The operator shall use refrigerants without ozone depletion potential and with a low global warming potential (GWP) in accordance with BAT 9 from the Food, Drink and Milk Industries BATCs. To demonstrate compliance against BAT 9, the operator shall produce a plan for the onsite refrigerant system(s) at the installation. The plan is to be assessed	3 months from date of issue or as agreed in writing by the Environment Agency		
	by the Environment Agency and shall be incorporated within the existing environmental management system.			
	 The plan should include, but not be limited to, the following: Where practicable, retro filling systems containing high GWP refrigerants e.g. R-404A with lower GWP alternatives as soon as possible. An action log with timescales, for replacement of end-of-life equipment using refrigerants with the lowest practicable GWP. 			

IC4	The operator shall produce a climate change	3 months from
	adaptation plan, which will form part of the EMS.	date of issue
	The plan shall include, but not be limited to:	or as agreed
	 Details of how the installation has or could be affected 	in writing by
	by severe weather;	the
	 The scale of the impact of severe weather on the 	Environment
	operations within the installation;	Agency
	 An action plan and timetable for any improvements to 	
	be made to minimise the impact of severe weather at	
	the installation.	
	The Operator shall implement any necessary	
	improvements to a timetable agreed in writing with the	
	Environment Agency.	