

FIRST - TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference	:	TR/LON/00AB/MNR/2024/0038
Property	:	111 Galleons Drive, Barking, Essex, IG11 oFA
Tenant	:	Nadeem Ahmed
Landlord	:	Mingfei Liu, represented by Your Move Estate Agents, Romford
Date of Objection	:	6 January 2024
Type of Application	:	Determination of a Market Rent sections 13 & 14 of the Housing Act 1988
Tribunal	:	Judge Bernadette MacQueen Alison Flynn, MA, MRICS
Date of Decision	:	3 June 2024
Date of Summary Reasons	:	10 June 2024

DECISION

The Tribunal determines a rent of £2,000 per calendar month with effect from 10 January 2024

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2024

SUMMARY REASONS

Background

- 1. On 13 November 2023 the Landlord served a notice under Section 13(2) of the Housing Act 1988 which proposed a new rent of £2,500 per calendar month in place of the existing rent of £2,000 per calendar month, to take effect from 10 January 2024.
- 2. By application, dated 6 January 2024 and made under Section 13(4)(a) of the Housing Act 1988, the Tenant referred the Landlord's notice proposing a new rent to the Tribunal for determination of a market rent. The Tenant's referral was received by the Tribunal on 6 January 2024.

Hearing and Inspection

3. The Tribunal held a short hearing on 3 June 2024 at 10 Alfred Place, London, WC1E 7LR, where it heard representations from Tanvir Ahmed, Zakir Ahmed, and Hassan Ahmed who live at the Property. Nadeem Ahmed was not able to attend the hearing because of work commitments. The Landlord and the Landlord's agent did not attend. The Tribunal also carried out an inspection of the Property on the same day.

Evidence

4. The Tribunal considered the written submissions provided by the Tenant and the Landlord. Both the Tenant and the Landlord completed the Tribunal's reply form.

Tenants' Representations

5. At the hearing Tanvir Ahmed, Zakir Ahmed, and Hassan Ahmed told the Tribunal that they believed that the rent was too expensive. They felt that the rent should had been £1,700 when their tenancy began, but they had agreed to £2,000 per month.

Determination and Valuation

6. The Tenant and Landlord did not provide any comparable evidence. The Landlord's agent stated in the reply form that the market rent was $\pounds 2,700$ but no evidence was provided to the Tribunal to justify this. The Tribunal used its own expert knowledge of rental values in the area and considered that the open market rent for the property in good tenantable condition would be in the region of $\pounds 2,300$ per calendar month. From this level of rent the Tribunal made adjustments in relation to:

- The lighting at the property the Tenant was unable to replace the light bulbs as the lights were not of a standard fitting. The lighting in the Property in some rooms was therefore unsuitable.
- The bathrooms did not have windows and so relied on extractor fans, however these were not working effectively, resulting in mould.
- The hob in the kitchen only had two rings working.
- There were water marks from water leaks.
- 6. The full valuation is shown below:

Market Rent	£2,300	per	calendar	month
Less				
Lighting Hob Extractor Fan/water marks	5% 3% 5%			

£2,300 less 13% - TOTAL: £2,000

7. The Tribunal determines a rent of £2,000 per calendar month.

Decision

- 8. The Tribunal therefore determined that the rent at which the Property might reasonably be expected to be let in the open market by a willing Landlord under an assured tenancy was £2,000 per calendar month.
- 9. The Tribunal directed the rent of £2,000 from 10 January 2024.

Judge Bernadette MacQueen

Date: 10 June 2024

APPEAL PROVISIONS

These summary reasons are provided to give the parties an indication as to how the Tribunal made its decision. If either party wishes to appeal this decision, they should first make a request for full reasons and the details of how to appeal will be set out in the full reasons. Any request for full reasons should be made within a month. Any subsequent application for permission to appeal should be made on Form RP PTA.