



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr A Haynes

Respondent: T33 Transport Ltd trading as T33 Logistics

Introduction: this file came before me today for a Rule 21 Judgment, there being no response from the named respondent, "T33 Logistics". A Judge had identified a potential company, "T33 Couriers Limited" to the claimant as the potential correct respondent, but on checking the register I see that company is dissolved. In those circumstances I consider it is in the interests of justice to identify the respondent as the active trading company above, with its registered office at the same address as that given by the claimant. I am also content pursuant to Rule 91 that the claim has in fact come to the attention of the respondent company because it has been sent to its registered office.

ORDER

1. The name of the respondent be amended in these proceedings as it appears above.

Employment Judge JM Wade

Date: 28 May 2024