



# EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

**Claimant:**

Mr W Maseke

v

**Respondents:**

Telefonica Uk Limited (1)  
Telefonica (O2) (2)  
The Outer Temple (3)  
Shoosmiths LLP (4)  
Ministry of Justice/Secretary of  
State for Justice/Lord or Lady  
Chief Justice (5)

## JUDGMENT

The claimant's application of 25 March 2024 for reconsideration of my reconsideration judgment of 28 February 2024 is refused.

## REASONS

1. On 25 March 2024 the claimant made an "*application to set aside the judgment and order of 28.02.2024 EJ Anstis on the grounds that they were procured by fraud and extensive abuse of process, including forgery, disobey of the order by REJ Foxwell and many others thus making a fair trial no longer possible*".
2. So far as setting aside the judgment of 28 February 2024 is concerned, that judgment was itself a judgment on reconsideration, and I have described it as being the third reconsideration judgment. Although the claimant has not framed his current application as an application for reconsideration, that is the basis on which I have considered it, and it would be his fifth application for reconsideration, resulting in this fourth reconsideration judgment. The judgment of 28 February 2024 was promulgated on 12 March 2024 so the application is made within time.
3. I have no hesitation in dismissing this on the basis that there is no reasonable prospect of the judgment of 28 February 2024 being varied or revoked. The claimant's application simply repeats arguments that have previously been considered and dismissed. For the avoidance of doubt, a party applying for a rule 21 judgment has no automatic entitlement to that judgment.

**Employment Judge Anstis**

Date: 21 May 2024

**Case Numbers: 3313184/2020 and others as set out on the attached schedule**

Judgment and reasons  
Sent to the parties on: 21 May 2024

For the Tribunal Office

**SCHEDULE OF CLAIMS**

3313184/2020  
3306767/2021  
3300036/2022  
3305682/2022  
3302475/2023  
3305951/2023  
3307733/2023