

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Bunten, James](#); [ETC](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: URGENT request to request dismissal of permitting appeals: Permit Reference: EPR/NP3538MF; Variation Application Reference: EPR/NP3538MF/V010 - Daneshill Landfill, Daneshill Road DN22 8RB
Date: 23 April 2024 14:02:16
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[Appendix A - 201130 EIA scr dir Daneshill Landfill Site.pdf](#)
[Appendix B - Committee Report - 13th October 2020.pdf](#)
[Letter to Inspector - Mr Griffiths - Daneshill - 23.4.24 MG FV \(1\).pdf](#)

Some people who received this message don't often get email from magnus.gallie@foe.co.uk. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Mr Bunten,

Please find attached a letter to the Inspector regarding the ongoing permitting appeal inquiry for Daneshill, Lound, Notts and our request to dismiss both relating to this site.

We have previously had substantial involvement in a planning context, principally in helping get the last asbestos processing application at the site successfully “screened-in” for EIA (after writing to the Planning Casework Unit; in order to cancel Notts County Council’s opinion who had also recommended a grant of permission, but not issued the Decision Notice). This then led to refusal of the application (as no ES was then submitted), in addition to the separate original partial permit refusal for asbestos processing as originally issued by the EA.

In this context, we believe this appeal process represents an underhand attempt by the appellants to both reverse key protections for the community originally bestowed by the EA, and also undermine the robustness of the future planning process and protections it might otherwise require (i.e. submission without an Environmental Statement) – neither of which is desirable or fair for the community.

We feel our ability to contribute to this inquiry has also been stifled by the EA’s decision **not to hold formal consultation** on its contentious decision to reverse the first permit variation part refusal (i.e we were **not** notified). We were also **not** advised of the appeal against this, either (as we weren’t able to respond to the former). Despite this lack of robust consultation stifling our ability to respond, we still apologise for the late nature of this objection. That said, we still think you need to be made more aware of the recent historic planning aspects of the site, as permitting and planning are meant to be “complimentary” according to NPPG.

We would also welcome any response to our position with regards to the EA’s decision not to engage the community, despite the vulnerable nature of the communities potentially at risk to asbestos, and with regards to international conventions the UK is signed up to i.e. Aarhus. While this decision was made under discretionary powers, it is still unacceptable under the circumstances and represents poor agency decision making in our eyes.

I hope you can spare **five minutes** to read our concerns and to please note them for the record, as we do believe our comments are valid (despite the late timeframe).

Kind Regards,

Magnus Gallie MRTPI

Senior Planner (Secondment)



I do not work Mondays

Home-worker



This email and any attachments are confidential. It is intended solely for the addressee. Any unauthorised use is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete the email and any attachments.

While Friends of the Earth takes care to protect its systems from virus attacks and other harmful events, the organisation gives no warranty that this message (including attachments) is free of any virus or other harmful matter and accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage resulting from the recipient receiving, opening or using it.

Friends of the Earth Limited, registered in England and Wales, company number 01012357. Registered office: The Printworks, 139 Clapham Road, London, SW9 0HP