

# Interpretation Specialist Group (SG)

# Note of the meeting held on 18 September 2023 in Birmingham and online via videoconference

# 1. Welcome, and introductions

- 1.1. The chair welcomed all the members to the first meeting of the interpretation specialist group (SG). A list of attendees by organisation is available at Annex A.
- 1.2. The Chair noted it was expected that the membership of the SG would increase as work progressed.
- 1.3. Members introduced themselves, outlining their background and experience.

# 2. Introduction to Interpretation SG work

- 2.1. The Chair outlined the expected workplan for the Interpretation SG acknowledging several phases of work were expected. In the first instance, the group would work to review the update of the non-statutory guidance, "Development of Evaluative Opinions" (FSR-C-188 Issue 1), to support the Forensic Science Regulator in the publication of statutory guidance (to be issued under section 9 of the Forensic Science Regulator Act 2021).
- 2.2. The workplan and approach were agreed.
- 2.3. The group reviewed the draft terms of reference, no objections were raised, and the terms of reference were agreed.

# 3. Review of current guidance and Forensic Science Activities (FSAs)

3.1. Led by the Chair, the group reviewed each of the FSAs described in the Forensic Science Code of Practice (issue 1) and discussed the types of interpretation which would be expected for each activity. The conclusions are summarised in the table below.

Number		Name	Interpretation Activities
Incie	dent e	examination	
INC	100	Incident scene examination	Investigative, Evaluative
INC	101	Collision investigation	Evaluative
INC	102	Examination of fire scenes	Investigative, Evaluative
		Examination to establish the origin and	Investigative, Evaluative
INC	103	cause of an explosion	
INC	200	Forensic examination of detainees	Investigative
		Forensic examination of deceased	Investigative, Evaluative
INC	201	individuals	
Biol	ogy		
		Forensic examination of sexual offence	Investigative
BIO	100	complainants	
		Human biological material examination	Investigative, Evaluative
BIO	200	and analysis	
			ACTION 1: Chair to speak to
			colleagues at Kings College London
		Non-human biological examination and	regarding interpretation activities for
BIO	201	analysis: vertebrates	BIO 201
			ACTION 2: Chair to speak with
		Non-human biological examination and	colleagues at the National History
		analysis: plants, microbes and	Museum regarding interpretation
BIO	202	invertebrates	activities for BIO 202
BIO	300	Human body fluid distribution analysis	Investigative, Evaluative
BIO	400	Human DNA analysis	Investigative, Evaluative

Number		Name	Interpretation Activities
			Limited evaluation required for kinship
BIO	401	Human kinship analysis	analysis
			ACTION 3: Chair to speak to
			colleagues at Kings College London
			regarding interpretation activities for
BIO	500	Taggant analysis	BIO 500
Drug	js, to	xicology and noxious materials	
		Toxicology: analysis for drug(s), alcohol	Analytical
DTN	100	and/or noxious substances	
		Toxicology: analysis for drugs and	Analytical
		alcohol under the Road Traffic Act	
		1988, Transport and Works Act 1992,	
		and Railways and Transport Safety Act	
DTN	101	2003	
		Toxicology: analysis for drugs in relation	Analytical (specifically analysis against
DTN	102	to s5A of the Road Traffic Act 1988	a legal limit)
		Examination and analysis to identify and	Analytical
		quantify controlled drugs and/or	
DTN	103	associated materials	
			ACTION 4: Chair to speak to
		Toxicology: alcohol technical	colleagues regarding interpretation
DTN	104	calculations	activities for DTN 104
		Examination and analysis relating to the	ACTION 5: AFSP representative to
		preparation and production of drugs	speak to colleagues regarding
DTN	105	and/or psychoactive substances	interpretation activities for DTN 105
		Examination and analysis of corrosives	and 200
DTN	200	and/or noxious substances	
<u> </u>		Examination and analysis of residues of	ACTION 6: AFSP representative to
		lubricants used in sexual offences,	speak to colleagues regarding
DTN	300	including oils, greases and lubricants	interpretation activities for DTN 300

Number		Name	Interpretation Activities	
			Analytical. Occasionally evaluative	
		Examination and analysis of ignitable	interpretation but this is usually at	
DTN	400	liquids and their residues	scene.	
		Examination and analysis of chemical	ACTION 7: Chair to speak to	
		and/or biological agents and associated	colleagues at the Defence Science	
DTN	500	materials	and Technology Laboratory (DSTL)	
		Examination and analysis of explosives,	regarding interpretation activities for	
		explosives precursors and explosive	DTN 500, 501, 502 and 503.	
DTN	501	residues		
		Examination and analysis of radioactive		
DTN	502	material		
		Examination and analysis of suspected		
		explosive devices and associated		
DTN	503	material		
Marks	s, trac	ces and pattern	-	
		Friction ridge detail: visualisation and	Not applicable	
MTP	100	enhancement		
			Currently a categorical opinion is	
MTP	101	Friction ridge detail: comparison	given.	
MTP	200	Footwear: coding	Analytical	
MTP	201	Footwear: screening	Investigative	
			Evaluative (can be categorical	
			however, there is movement away	
MTP	202	Footwear mark comparisons	from this approach).	
MTP	300	Marks visualisation and enhancement	Not applicable	
MTP	301	Marks comparison	Evaluative	
MTP	400	Damage and physical fit	Investigative (can be evaluative)	
		Examination and analysis of particulate	Evaluative	
MTP	500	trace materials		
		Examination and analysis of gunshot	Evaluative	
MTP	600	residue (GSR)		

Number		Name	Interpretation Activities	
		Examination, analysis and classification	Analytical	
		of firearms, ammunition and associated		
MTP	601	materials		
			Typically, categorical approach is	
			taken (this can be evaluative and	
MTP	602	Firearms: ballistics	investigative).	
MTP	700	Document handwriting	Evaluative (can be categorical)	
MTP	701	Document authenticity and origin	Evaluative, Investigative	
Digit	al			
			ACTION 8: OFSR representative to	
		Data capture, processing and analysis	speak to colleagues regarding	
DIG	100	from digital storage devices	interpretation activities for DIG 100	
		Analysis of communications network	Not applicable	
DIG	101	data		
			ACTION 9: OFSR representative to	
			speak to colleagues regarding	
DIG	102	Digital network capture and analysis	interpretation activities for DIG 101	
			Investigative. The approach is	
			inconsistent and is heading towards	
DIG	200	Cell site analysis for geolocation	use of evaluative.	
		Recovery and processing of footage	Analytical	
		from closed-circuit television (CCTV)		
DIG	300	/video surveillance systems (VSS)		
		Specialist video multimedia, recovery,	alytical/Investigative	
DIG	301	processing and analysis		
DIG	400	Technical audio operations	Not applicable	
			There is a move towards the	
DIG	401	Speech and audio analysis	evaluative approach	
Case	and	data management		
			Case review involves many different	
CDM	100	Case review	areas.	

Number		Name	Interpretation Activities
			Control and management of a forensic
		Control and management of a forensic	database service involves many
CDM	200	database service	different areas.

- 3.2. During review of the FSAs the following additional issues were raised:
  - The output of collision investigations often differs between coronial and criminal courts.
  - Regarding the examination of the deceased, it was raised that it often occurs where firearms experts attend post-mortems, and it is typical that a fire investigator will attend fatal fires and provide insight using the evaluative approach.
  - Terminology used will need to be carefully considered as will the extent to which an explanation is insisted upon.
  - Development of guidance should consider likely future changes to interpretation, particularly the increasing use of machine learning.

**ACTION 10**: OFSR representative to identify a representative from the DTN specialist group to join to the interpretation SG.

- 3.3. The group discussed existing challenges which could be addressed and resolved in the publication of guidance. The issues raised are summarised below:
  - Activity level DNA propositions being addressed with the same statistics as source level propositions. It was noted this often resulted in misleading situations within courts and also that while this was addressed in C-118 guidance document issued by the previous Regulator, the general practice was not followed as per existing documentation. It was agreed that the guidance should provide a recommendation what a scientist could do when there is no comment.
  - Inclusion of DNA mixtures which cannot be statistically evaluated being included within evidential statements. The evidence may eventually be

regarded by the defence as neutral, but, prior to that, inclusion within the evidential statements may cause guilty pleas.

- Reproducibility was discussed as a current challenge, with varied opinions often reached using the same set of results. It was noted that there were methods to establish a reproducible range.
- The use of interpretation/evaluation within collision investigations was not consistent across the various forces. It was also noted that collision investigators were often required to produce final outputs combining a range of information.
- Fire services were disparate and so it was challenging for the area to be seen as a single discipline. Overreach by investigators was often observed. The representative for fire investigation commented it would be beneficial for working practices to be contained within a single framework.
- Within firearms investigations the number of cases was too limited for confidence to be established in the use of the likelihood ratio.
- Handling bias. Role related bias may occur when moving from investigative to evaluative method.

#### 3.4. The approach to development of the statutory guidance was briefly discussed.

- Drafting the guidance as if it were a legislative instrument would support identifying where detailed explanation would be required.
- It would be necessary to consider what was already described within the criminal procedure rules.
- The approach, as per the Forensic Science Regulator's intentions, would be to develop guidance that was as detailed as possible without setting any requirements. It was anticipated the guidance developed by this group would be overarching with discipline specific guidance developed at a later date. Examples would be applicable to discipline specific guidance.

- The guidance would need to strike a balance between being a series of high-level principles and being usable by practitioners.
- The group agreed that the guidance should be carefully drafted, acknowledging possible implications of a document describing best practice being used in court. The representative from the Bar Council noted that it would be anticipated a scientist would be questioned on why they have not worked within the parameters of the guidance and potentially asked to declare this.
- It was discussed that there could be a risk of guidance being too prescriptive, it should be considered how end-user perception could be referenced in the guidance.
- The group agreed that auditable processes would be expected.
- The most robust/appropriate method for determining the likelihood ratio should be considered for each discipline.
- It was discussed who would be responsible for setting the parameters for proficiency and/or competency testing.
- 3.5. The representative from the Bar Council provided an example to the group to outline the importance of reporting and the need for sufficient lead time for comprehensive reporting. It was noted resourcing is often a problem.

#### 4. Next Steps

4.1. Chair summarised the possible next steps. These are identified in the actions below.

**ACTION 11**: Chair and OFSR representative to develop and share high level guiding principles to share with the group for comment.

**ACTION 12**: Members of the Interpretation SG to provide comment on which FSAs could be grouped together to develop discipline specific guidance.

# 5. Any Other Business

5.1. A representative from the Association of Forensic Service Providers questioned whether within FSA CDM 100, when an old case requires a new opinion and

new assessment by defence examiner, would the activity fall into FSA BIO 300, for example. The OFSR representative agreed to pick this up with the Forensic Science Regulator.

**ACTION 13**: OFSR representative to speak with the regulator regarding the approach that will be taken when an old case requires a new opinion.

5.2. The date for the next meeting was agreed. This was to be in person.

# Annex A – Meeting Attendees

#### **Representatives present:**

#### In person

Chair

Two representatives from Association of Forensic Service Providers (ASFP)

Bar Council

Two representatives from Chartered Society of Forensic Science (CSFS)

Legal academic

Royal Statistical Society (RSS)

**Digital Forensics Specialist Group** 

Firearms Specialist Group

Office of the Forensic Science Regulator

Home Office Science (secretariat)

#### Online

United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS)

Digital Forensics (policing)

Chartered Society of Forensic Science (CSFS)

Royal Statistical Society (RSS)

Biology Specialist Group

Medical Forensics Specialist Group

Incident Examination Specialist Group (scenes)

Incident Examination Specialist Group (collision investigation)

Incident Examination Specialist Group (fire investigation)

#### **Apologies received**

Fingerprint Specialist Group

# Annex B – Summary of Actions

**ACTION 1**: Chair to speak to colleagues at Kings College London regarding interpretation activities for BIO 201

**ACTION 2**: Chair to speak with colleagues at the National History Museum regarding interpretation activities for BIO 202

**ACTION 3**: Chair to speak to colleagues at Kings College London regarding interpretation activities for BIO 500

ACTION 4: Chair to speak to colleagues regarding interpretation activities for DTN 104

**ACTION 5**: AFSP representative to speak to colleagues regarding interpretation activities for DTN 105 and 200

**ACTION 6**: AFSP representative to speak to colleagues regarding interpretation activities for DTN 300

**ACTION 7**: Chair to speak to colleagues at the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory (DSTL) regarding interpretation activities for DTN 500, 501, 502 and 503

**ACTION 8**: OFSR representative to speak to colleagues regarding interpretation activities for DIG 100

**ACTION 9**: OFSR representative to speak to colleagues regarding interpretation activities for DIG 101

**ACTION 10**: OFSR representative to identify a representative from the DTN specialist group to join to the interpretation SG.

**ACTION 11**: Chair and OFSR representative to develop and share high level guiding principles to share with the group for comment.

**ACTION 12**: Member of the Interpretation SG to provide comment on which FSAs could be grouped together to develop discipline specific guidance.

**ACTION 13**: OFSR representative to speak with the regulator regarding the approach that will be taken when an old case requires a new opinion.