

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr M O'Brien

Respondent: AAO UK Services Limited

JUDGMENT

The claim for a redundancy payment is struck out.

REASONS

- 1. The claimant claims the right to a redundancy payment.
- 2. Section 155 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 says that employees do not have the right to a redundancy payment unless they have been employed for two years or more.
- 3. The claimant was employed by the respondent for less than two years.
- 4. Therefore, the claimant does not have the right to a redundancy payment.
- 5. The claimant was given the opportunity to explain why the claim for a redundancy payment should not be struck out but has not given an acceptable reason.
- 6. Accordingly, the claim for a redundancy payment is struck out. The claimant's other complaints are not affected by this judgment.

Employment Judge KM Ross Date: 13 March 2024

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

14 March 2024

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr M O'Brien

Respondent: AAO UK Services Limited

JUDGMENT

The claim for a redundancy payment is struck out.

REASONS

- 1. The claimant claims the right to a redundancy payment.
- 2. Section 155 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 says that employees do not have the right to a redundancy payment unless they have been employed for two years or more.
- 3. The claimant was employed by the respondent for less than two years.
- 4. Therefore, the claimant does not have the right to a redundancy payment.
- 5. The claimant was given the opportunity to explain why the claim for a redundancy payment should not be struck out but has not given an acceptable reason.
- 6. Accordingly, the claim for a redundancy payment is struck out. The claimant's other complaints are not affected by this judgment.

Employment Judge KM Ross Date: 13 March 2024

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

14 March 2024

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE