Case No: 3301725/23



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr J Herbison

Respondent: Foley Haulage Limited

Heard at: Watford Employment Tribunal (In Public; In Person)

On: 14, 15, 16 February 2024

Before: Employment Judge Quill; Ms J Hancock; Mr P Miller

Appearances

For the Claimant: In Person

For the respondent: Mr M Akram, counsel

LIABILITY JUDGMENT

- 1. The principal reason for the Claimant's dismissal was that he had made protected disclosures. Therefore, his dismissal fell within the definition in section 103A of the Employment Rights Act 1996 and was an unfair dismissal.
- 2. The Claimant's employment contract was breached in that there was an underpayment to his entitlement to pay in lieu of notice.

REMEDY JUDGMENT

- 3. The Respondent is ordered to pay the Claimant the sum of £5,050.24.
- 4. This is a different amount to the figure which was announced orally, and the breakdown is as shown below.
- 5. The agreed amount for breach of contract is £58.75.
- 6. The basic award for unfair dismissal is £571.
- 7. The financial loss flowing from the unfair dismissal is £3,524.64.
- 8. There is an uplift in of 25% for unreasonable failure to comply with ACAS Code (section 207A Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 and section 124A of the Employment Rights Act 1996).
- 9. The uplift is $[(£58.75 + £3524.64) \times 0.25 =] £895.85$.

Case No: 3301725/23

10. Therefore, the total payable is £5,050.24, being

- 10.1. £58.75
- 10.2. £571.00
- 10.3. £3,524.64.
- 10.4. £895.85
- 11. The incorrect figure of £5192.99 was announced at the hearing. This is because that figure wrongly applied a 25% uplift to the basic award.
- 12. The Claimant did not receive relevant benefits and the Recoupment Regulations do not apply.

Employment Judge Quill

Date: 16 February 2024

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

5 March 2024

T Cadman FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case. If there are written reasons for the judgment, they are also published. Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Recording and Transcription

Please note that if a Tribunal hearing has been recorded you may request a transcript of the recording. You will be required to pay the charges authorised by any scheme in force unless provision of a transcript at public expense has been approved.

If a transcript is produced it will not include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will not be checked, approved or verified by a judge.

There is more information in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the Recording and Transcription of Hearings, and accompanying Guidance, which can be found here:

https://www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions/