

## SOCG Meeting – 19 February 2024

### Automated Transcript

### Air Quality Discussions

0:49:46.75 --> 0:50:0.715

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Mate, just to put it into a bit of context, my involvement really originally as much as anything was to do with the Rule 6 is the third parties the the offside reset off site receptors that are submitted.

0:50:2.755 --> 0:50:6.195

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Comments themselves, rather than EA because.

0:50:6.395 --> 0:50:13.675

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

My understanding was that the EAS position was more about releases from source and that sort of stuff.

0:50:13.795 --> 0:50:18.155

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

So so I thought I was fairly clear on the position, although having read the.

0:50:18.835 --> 0:50:26.195

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Read the proof of Chris Lowe yesterday. Less clear, but in order to sort of narrow it down for the inspector.

0:50:27.715 --> 0:50:29.435

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

It would be useful if we could potentially.

0:50:31.395 --> 0:50:36.75

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Clarify some bits. SO1 going to 4.1 for example.

0:50:38.515 --> 0:50:41.795

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Can we agree that you are not?

0:50:43.795 --> 0:50:47.515

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Particularly concerned or that that's not the focus.

0:50:49.75 --> 0:50:49.635

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

Which is discipline.

0:50:49.975 --> 0:50:54.175

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

Community dusts. You're not bothered about dust nuisance is that? Can we agree that?

0:50:54.835 --> 0:51:12.995

Lowe, Chris

I think the problem is 'cause. We've only had the actual air quality outputs from the model. We haven't had the raw files and we normally as you know, we always ask the raw files. Otherwise you're asking us to sort of, you're just giving us the answer and not giving us the question. So we do need the files for that air quality.

0:51:12.165 --> 0:51:17.205

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

That's that's a later point. If we can come to that in a bit that that if it.

0:51:16.585 --> 0:51:33.305

Lowe, Chris

Yeah, so so we need that and we we really need, we can't make any assessments of of dust at the moment or disembency just as you call it, we would like to have some time to look at it in order to be able to to go back and there is a damp for the site.

0:51:34.665 --> 0:51:38.305

Lowe, Chris

It's it's been through the approval process as part of the permitting.

0:51:39.785 --> 0:51:40.825

Lowe, Chris

Assessment, shall we say.

0:51:42.385 --> 0:51:51.745

Lowe, Chris

It doesn't mean that the site is going to operate without any problems, and I spend a lot of my time even though a damp has been approved when it actually comes to how it's delivered on the ground.

0:51:52.315 --> 0:52:7.355

Lowe, Chris

The Dent needs to be reviewed and improved so you know realistically it's very hard to say that it will be perfect as it stands on paper because we're not having the benefit of the operation of the site and whether it's, you know, the experience about whether these things work or not.

0:52:13.425 --> 0:52:13.825

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

OK.

0:52:8.875 --> 0:52:27.235

Lowe, Chris

So I don't think we can agree that it's it's not a problem we would the site would have to you know we've all alluded to you know we're talking about trials and things like that we the site would have to be and that's why it had the permit condition says if you are notified you you will do a new dust and emissions management plan by the Environment Agency.

0:52:28.515 --> 0:52:36.475

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

OK, so cutting through that you're saying that it remains the agency's position that this amenity does could be an issue?

0:52:38.855 --> 0:52:41.455

Lowe, Chris

It's that I get involved with. Yes, that remains the case.

0:52:41.565 --> 0:52:43.365

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

So Dishman achieved dust.

0:52:41.855 --> 0:52:46.415

Leslie Heasman

But it's not. It's not a reason for refusal of any of these things, though, is it?

0:52:47.725 --> 0:53:16.85

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

No, that's fine. I mean that can all go to cross examination, can't it? But this is just for purposes of of what we understand. I mean it's not in the statement of case and it's not reason for refusal and the other the permits been the draught permits been issued which doesn't relate to it either but but so that's why I'm trying to focus on I thought that would be an easy one to be honest I thought we were just focused on sort of asbestos and the smaller particles. But if that's fine then it just goes to the inquiry doesn't it?

0:53:16.715 --> 0:53:17.115

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

So.

0:53:22.605 --> 0:53:29.885

Matt Stoaling (Isopleth Ltd)

So I'm just writing it down so all all dusts plus of all sizes plus asbestos.

0:53:31.365 --> 0:53:32.85

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

Not agreed.

0:53:39.545 --> 0:53:46.905

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

I think you've answered the second one because you've referred to it anyway. Things like M17, whether that remains.

0:53:49.255 --> 0:53:51.255

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

Guidance that you're happy to?

0:53:52.655 --> 0:53:59.415

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

Agree that that's relevant to the site. I think that's in a couple of your preferred. So that has to be a yes, presumably.

0:54:0.755 --> 0:54:22.115

Lowe, Chris

So M17 as it stands is the the current version that's available on the.gov.uk website is the current guidance for what's monitoring particulates around waste management facilities, I think is that the summation, sorry, I've forgotten it off top of my head, but yeah, so there is a new one in the pipeline, but how Long's that pipeline? I'm afraid, Matt, I don't know.

0:54:23.225 --> 0:54:25.905

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

That's fine. We agreed that. That's OK, I think.

0:54:28.585 --> 0:54:39.825

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

I don't know if you've seen my proof appendices. There's a couple of drawings in there. I know there were a couple of references in the E as proofs.

0:54:41.625 --> 0:54:53.865

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

To locations and distances and everything. Effectively, the distances that we got in the table are just using trigonometry from the from the locations of the.

0:54:54.335 --> 0:55:8.15

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

Sources or potential sources and source boundaries and receptors and things like that. So I was hoping that we could agree that if we can't agree it now and you need to have a look at it, then we can leave that open until you've looked at it in.

0:55:8.795 --> 0:55:10.795

Lowe, Chris

I've not had chance to have a look at it. I'm sorry.

0:55:10.765 --> 0:55:13.165

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Yeah, that's fine. OK, we'll leave it open. I mean.

0:55:14.465 --> 0:55:14.705

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

It is.

0:55:13.625 --> 0:55:23.585

Kirk, Daniel

All the relevance that receptors need to be assessed, so once the files are provided and it's audited then we can look at all the relevant receptors and agree them at that time.

0:55:23.935 --> 0:55:29.735

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

So so to be clear on the, I mean, we might as well jump back to the modelling files then. So so I think.

0:55:31.185 --> 0:56:1.145

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

We're not in a position now where this is as far as I understand it legislatively, this is for the inspector to decide on the appeal and whether permits should be issued and things like that. So whereas if it was permit application, I would be sending you across all the modelling files, the the inspector does not have air mod as far as I'm as I understand. So the modelling report is being written in a way that you should be able to see the.

0:56:2.985 --> 0:56:4.145

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Inputs and outputs.

0:56:5.125 --> 0:56:15.45

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Of course, you're entirely within your rights to to build a model and check whether the whether the dispersion factors and things are the same.

0:56:35.585 --> 0:56:36.545

Matt Stoealing (Isopleth Ltd)

Yeah. So you.

0:56:15.485 --> 0:56:37.45

Kirk, Daniel

So you're not willing to provide us with the modelling files because what we will do will have the we will have some audit the report to determine whether they agree with your inputs and outputs

and everything like that. So The thing is that's why it's not just because it's part of the application process. We're saying that when we provided someone to review it, they will, they will ask for those modem files. And so that's why they are required.

0:56:37.595 --> 0:56:41.955

Matt Stoling (Isopleth Ltd)

Yeah. So you've got the modelling report, so they can build a model and check and see whether they agree.

0:56:42.935 --> 0:56:43.695

Kirk, Daniel

OK, fair enough.