



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

Case Number: 100769/2010

Miss S Houston

Claimant

Inverclyde Council

Respondent

JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out under rule 37 of the Rules contained in Schedule 1 of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 on the grounds that the claim has not been actively pursued in terms of rule 37(1)(d).

REASONS

1. The claimant's solicitors withdrew from acting. The Tribunal wrote to the claimant requesting information about whether she wished to pursue the claim. The claimant did not provide the above information to the Tribunal.
2. On 7 November 2023, the Tribunal wrote to the claimant informing her that an Employment Judge was considering striking out her claim on the grounds that the claim had not been actively pursued in terms of Rule 37(1)(d) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013. The claimant was informed that if she disagreed, she should set out her reasons in writing by 21 November 2023 or ask the Tribunal to fix a hearing.
3. The claimant informed the Tribunal that she would contact the union to ascertain the status of her claim. The Tribunal has had no further contact from the claimant. The claimant has not provided the Tribunal with information about whether she wishes to pursue her claim or any reasons why her claim should not be struck out.
4. In all the circumstances, the Tribunal has concluded that the claimant no longer wishes to pursue her claim, which is struck out under Rule 37(1)(d) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.

Employment Judge: F Eccles
Date of Judgment: 26 January 2023
Entered in register: 30 January 2023
and copied to parties