

**From:** eileen ashworth [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 13, 2024 10:50 PM  
**To:** Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** proposed development to west of Mill Lane, Hatfield Heath, CM22

Consultation Ref.S62A/2024/0032

Dear sirs,

Thank you for sending this information to which I strongly object again.

This and similar applications have been refused numerous times including a previous application to yourselves. This proposal is exactly the same that UDC turned down a short while ago. I feel that the developers are wasting everyone's time and money and should not be allowed to carry this forward

My concerns have not changed from previously proposed developments at this site west of Mill Lane. The main safety concern is lack of suitable access for any development there. The very narrow country lane is not suitable for increased traffic volume which the proposed build will incur. Adding a footpath/cycle lane will only increase the danger. There needs to be 2 or preferably 3 access points for safety.

The other points I've made are still relevant, namely :- lack of village infrastructure (school, Doctors etc.), loss of natural habitat, flooding, metropolitan green belt, village envelope and destruction of a site of historical interest.

The proposal to build 'holiday homes' is absolutely ridiculous and just a rouse to get around planning rules. This village is not an area where folk will want to go to for holidays and when this does not work, it's a much shorter step to convert 'holiday homes' into residential properties. The developers must think we are all stupid!

Unless changes are made to their plans, particularly with reference to access and the preservation of the prisoner of war camp, I will continue to strongly oppose the proposed development.

I would ask the inspectorate to consider this case via a hearing

My name is Eileen Ashworth and my address is [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

yours sincerely,  
E. Ashworth