From: Mary Stewart

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 12:45 PM To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk> Subject: S62A/2024/0032 Land to the West of Mill Lane

Dear Sir/Madam,

Rejection of plans for the development of Land to the West of Mill Lane.

Previous letters challenging this application have been made by me including those of 19th June 2023 and 30.09.2023 and contained the following. Nothing has changed to warrant this planning going ahead.

"To whom it may concern:- As with all my previous letters of objection on the development west of Mill lane Hatfield Heath, I wish to state that any development on this site would cause detrimental problems due to the following issues:-

. restricted access to and from Mill Lane [which is single tracked] onto the main road from Bishop's Stortford.

. vehicles cannot pass each other at most parts of Mill Lane and especially if they are large cars/vans or lorries . The entrance/exit of Mill Lane onto the main road is curved and visibility restricted . Hatfield Haven Care Home for Dementia residents is on the entrance/exit to Mill lane and consequently ambulances/ medical vehicles use the entrance to pull up as close to the home as possible

. Greenways egg distribution centre at the top of Mill lane has large lorries coming in and out throughout the day

. A car sales depot at the top of Mill Lane holds vehicles being brought in and out and test driven by potential purchasers

. There would be the loss of a natural area with the removal of many trees, air and noise pollution and pressure on the drainage system which is already struggling.

In addition:-

. The holiday homes, so called, are likely to become permanent rentals when the landowner is not getting enough income from holiday lets. The representative for Pelhams said at a presentation that this would not happen but there isn't a law (as far as I know) that would compel the owner of said properties to abide by holiday lets. Pelhams are using this idea as a way of showing that there would be no pressure for places at the local Primary School and local Surgery, both of which are over subscribed

THE LATEST ADDITIONAL APPLICATION TO USE THE FOOTPATH FROM HOME PASTURES TO BROOMFIELDS AS A CYCLE PATH IS UNACCEPTABLE. THE LAND IS A DESIGNATED FOOTPATH ON LAND WHICH IS OWNED BY ESSEX HIGHWAYS. CYCLES ARE NOT PERMITTED ON DESIGNATED FOOTPATHS FOR OBVIOUS REASONS OF SAFETY. THE PATHWAY IS REGULARLY USED BY WALKERS AND DOG OWNERS.

Numerous applications of all kinds of development have been made for this land on Mill Lane and all have been turned down. This latest application with additions should also be rejected for all the same reasons.

Mary Stewart

