

**From:** donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk <donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk>

**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2022 3:26 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application UTT/22/1261/FUL

## Comments for Planning Application UTT/22/1261/FUL

Dear Sir/Madam,

Mrs Heather Lidgley,

You have been sent this email because you or somebody else has submitted a comment on a Planning Application to your local authority using your email address. A summary of your comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 18/11/2022 3:25 PM from Mrs Heather Lidgley.

### Application Summary

**Address:** Land To The West Of Mill Lane Hatfield Heath

**Proposal:** The demolition of 10 no. existing structures, the conversion and restoration of 8 no. existing buildings to form 8 no. holiday cottages and 1 no. dwelling, the construction of 3 no. single storey dwellings. The creation of a pedestrian and cycle link path.

**Case Officer:** [REDACTED]

### Customer Details

**Name:** Mrs Heather Lidgley

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Address:** [REDACTED]

### Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Neighbour

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** We object to this proposed development on the following grounds:

Traffic.

The increase to the volume of traffic would be extremely dangerous. There are not many places on Mill Lane where two vehicles can pass. Visibility at the junction and egress from the junction with the A1060 is difficult at the best of times as vehicles entering the village often do so well in excess of 40mph and entry into the junction is 'blind' when entering from Bishop's

Stortford direction and restricted from Chelmsford direction. When exiting Mill Lane visibility is poor in both directions.

Width of road.

As previously mentioned, Mill Lane is a very narrow single-track lane only allowing vehicles to pass at the top end by the A1060 junction. When you meet delivery vans, refuse lorries and especially the full size continental articulated lorries that deliver to the egg packing unit at Camp farm etc. passing them on this lane is impossible without one of the vehicles pulling off Mill Lane into the entrance of "Chapmans".

Footpath/Cyclepath.

The planned footpath onto Home Pastures would be very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists, as they would have to exit directly onto Home Pastures (there is no footpath on that side of the road) and cannot be seen until they step out onto the road, so they would be exiting directly into the path of any oncoming cars/vans/lorries that are driving along or reversing. There are turning bays for use by Home Pastures, (especially 4 and 5 as we have no room to turn round in our shared drive,) visitors, refuse trucks, delivery vans, and post vans. These are situated after the proposed exit of the Foot/Cyclepath. Drivers of cars and delivery vans (who are not usually local people) will not be able to see any users. This is extremely dangerous and holiday makers would not be aware of this. The plan, as submitted, has omitted the current entrance into the field to the east of Mill Lane, which is used to graze horses, and shows an access into Home Pastures along with the footpath, although there is a gate into the field at this position this was installed when the field was fenced in by the current owner and is not a lawful access to the highway, this would be totally unsuitable as a means to gain access to the field for vehicles with trailers, horseboxes or any type of farm machinery. Home Pastures is not a very wide road and with vehicles parked on one side there is only enough room for one car at a time, and anyone reversing wouldn't be able to see any pedestrians or cyclists until they appeared on the road.

Doctors.

The surgery is struggling to cope with the number of patients that it currently has and may not be able to accommodate additional patients.

School.

As with the surgery, the school is overstretched as it is, and whilst this may not be affected with the holiday homes, it would be affected by the dwellings proposed.

Drainage.

The A1060 is liable to flooding due to the drainage being insufficient as is - to place the additional burden of the holiday homes and dwellings is again not acceptable.

Summary.

We strongly object to this further development due to the above-mentioned arguments.

---

Kind regards

**From:** donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk <donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk>

**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 3:46 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application UTT/22/1261/FUL

## Comments for Planning Application UTT/22/1261/FUL

Dear Sir/Madam,

Mrs Heather Lidgley,

You have been sent this email because you or somebody else has submitted a comment on a Planning Application to your local authority using your email address. A summary of your comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 04/09/2023 3:46 PM from Mrs Heather Lidgley.

### Application Summary

**Address:** Land To The West Of Mill Lane Hatfield Heath

**Proposal:** The demolition of 10 no. existing structures, the conversion and restoration of 8 no. existing buildings to form 8 no. holiday cottages and 1 no. dwelling, the construction of 3 no. single storey dwellings. The creation of a pedestrian and cycle link path.

**Case Officer:** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

### Customer Details

**Name:** Mrs Heather Lidgley

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Address:** [REDACTED]

### Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Neighbour

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** I totally agree with the comments made objecting to this proposal especially those made by the HHPC and 3 Mill Lane.

The removal of the bollards of the 'proposed' cycle path is quite frankly ridiculous, and should absolutely not be allowed as this is an invitation for motorised vehicles to use this as a cut through directly to / from Mill Lane and Home Pastures. This is for all the previous reasons given in my objection back in November 2022. There used to be another bollard (this was a wooden one) that was at the Mill Lane end of this pathway - this has somehow disappeared...

The plan still does not show the correct access into the field directly opposite Home Pastures,

the gate to the north side of the corner of Mill Lane - it shows access directly from Home Pastures

As Hatfield Heath is not a traditionally a 'holiday' destination village, this planning permission if granted seems to be a potential 'back door' into a change of use to full residential housing once the holiday homes seem to be 'economically unviable'.

---

Kind regards

**From:** donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk <donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk>

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 4, 2023 11:18 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application UTT/22/1261/FUL

## Comments for Planning Application UTT/22/1261/FUL

Dear Sir/Madam,

Mrs Heather Lidgley,

You have been sent this email because you or somebody else has submitted a comment on a Planning Application to your local authority using your email address. A summary of your comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 04/10/2023 11:17 AM from Mrs Heather Lidgley.

### Application Summary

**Address:** Land To The West Of Mill Lane Hatfield Heath

**Proposal:** The demolition of 10 no. existing structures, the conversion and restoration of 8 no. existing buildings to form 8 no. holiday cottages and 1 no. dwelling, the construction of 3 no. single storey dwellings. The creation of a pedestrian and cycle link path.

**Case Officer:** [REDACTED]

### Customer Details

**Name:** Mrs Heather Lidgley

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Address:** [REDACTED]

### Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Neighbour

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** I object for all of the previous reasons given.

As the plan has not changed significantly from the last incarnation, the objections previously raised by all are still valid.

Comments on the 'amendments' for the access arrangements:

\*Focused widening of Mill Lane - where will this be as the lane is as wide as it possible - maybe a couple of inches either side can be gained, but how are they proposing to widen when privately owned properties either side are there? The entrance to Mill Lane from the

A1060 cannot be widened as there is no space between the properties at the entrance and the carriageway.

\*Widening of footway at the end of Mill Lane where it joins the A1060 - there is no separate footway in Mill Lane. It will not be possible to introduce a separate footway in Mill Lane without further restricting the width of the carriageway in Mill Lane.

---

Kind regards