

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference	:	CHI/21UC/LDC/2024/0034
Property	:	The Thorpe, 22 Grassington Road, Eastbourne BN20 7BJ
Applicant	:	The Thorpe (Eastbourne) Ltd
Representative	:	Prestige Property Management (South) Ltd
Respondent	:	Ms S Mashkes (Flat 1) Mr J Z Fura (Flat 2) Mr Christopher Taylor (Flat 3) Nr Nick & Mrs Mandy Cooper (Flat 4) Mr Steven Rae (Flat 5) Mr R A & Mrs J L Williams (Flat 6) Miss Keely Rainer Jones (Flat 7) Mr Owen Robert Moorage (Flat 8) Ms Helen Mitchell & Mr Leslie Williams (Flat 9)
Representative	:	
Type of Application	:	To dispense with the requirement to consult lessees about major works section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985
Tribunal member	:	D Banfield FRICS, Regional Surveyor
Date of Decision	:	5 March 2024

DECISION

The Tribunal grants dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in respect of repairs to chimney number seven and the surrounding roof area,.

In granting dispensation, the Tribunal makes no determination as to whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.

The Applicant must send copies of this determination to the lessees.

Background

- 1. The Applicant seeks dispensation under Section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 from the consultation requirements imposed on the landlord by Section 20 of the 1985 Act. The application was received on 9 February 2024. The Applicant has provided a file together with photographs and other supporting documentation.
- 2. The property is described as,

The Thorpe is a period property converted into nine self-contained flats constructed circa 1900's. The common parts of the property span ground to second floor and are served by a single internal staircase and a passenger lift.

3. The Applicant explains that,

Following several storms and a prolonged spell of heavy driving rain damage has been discovered to chimney number seven and the surrounding roof area, the rain had found its way down and through the chimney brickwork.

Due to the persistent terrible weather, and time of year, the damage is escalating and will cause further damage to the flat below, also the chimney is considered unstable.

We would like to go ahead with the necessary works, to stop the water causing further damage and to stabilise the chimney.

We seek dispensation for all consultation requirements as the required work is extremely urgent, the chimney and surrounding area have failed, water is causing damage to the flat below, please see pictures, the chimney is unstable and requires repointing and repair work. The damage is escalating due to the persistent heavy driving rain, the work should be carried out at the earliest possible date. We have requested quotes from three specialist roofing contractors, we will engage the chosen contractor as soon as possible, it is likely the work will start this month.

- 4. The Tribunal made Directions on 14 February 2024 which were sent to the Lessees together with a form for them to indicate to the Tribunal whether they agreed with or opposed the application and whether they requested an oral hearing. If the Leaseholders agreed with the application or failed to return the form they would be removed as a Respondent although they would remain bound by the Tribunal's Decision.
- 5. The Tribunal received three responses from lessees all of whom were in favour and no requests for an oral hearing were made. The matter is therefore determined on the papers in accordance with Rule 31 of the Tribunal's Procedural Rules.

6. Before making this determination, the papers received were examined to determine whether the issues remained capable of determination without an oral hearing and it was decided that they were, given that the application remained unchallenged.

The Law

7. The relevant section of the Act reads as follows:

S.20 ZA Consultation requirements:

Where an application is made to a Leasehold Valuation Tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long-term agreement, the Tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements.

- 8. The matter was examined in some detail by the Supreme Court in the case of Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson. In summary the Supreme Court noted the following.
 - a. The main question for the Tribunal when considering how to exercise its jurisdiction in accordance with section 20ZA is the real prejudice to the tenants flowing from the landlord's breach of the consultation requirements.
 - b. The financial consequence to the landlord of not granting a dispensation is not a relevant factor. The nature of the landlord is not a relevant factor.
 - c. Dispensation should not be refused solely because the landlord seriously breached, or departed from, the consultation requirements.
 - d. The Tribunal has power to grant a dispensation as it thinks fit, provided that any terms are appropriate.
 - e. The Tribunal has power to impose a condition that the landlord pays the tenants' reasonable costs (including surveyor and/or legal fees) incurred in connection with the landlord's application under section 20ZA (1).
 - f. The legal burden of proof in relation to dispensation applications is on the landlord. The factual burden of identifying some "relevant" prejudice that they would or might have suffered is on the tenants.
 - g. The court considered that "relevant" prejudice should be given a narrow definition; it means whether non-compliance with the consultation requirements has led the landlord to incur costs in an unreasonable amount or to incur them in the provision of services, or in the carrying out of works, which fell below a reasonable standard, in other words whether the non-compliance has in that sense caused prejudice to the tenant.

- h. The more serious and/or deliberate the landlord's failure, the more readily a Tribunal would be likely to accept that the tenants had suffered prejudice.
- i. Once the tenants had shown a credible case for prejudice, the Tribunal should look to the landlord to rebut it.

Evidence

9. The Applicant's case is set out in paragraph 2 and 3 above.

Determination

- 10. Dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 of the Act may be given where the Tribunal is satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with those requirements. Guidance on how such power may be exercised is provided by the leading case of Daejan v Benson referred to above.
- 11. No objections have been received from the Respondents identifying the type of prejudice referred to in the Daejan case and in these circumstances I am prepared to grant dispensation.
- 12. The Tribunal therefore grants dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in respect of repairs to chimney number seven and the surrounding roof area,.
- 13. In granting dispensation, the Tribunal makes no determination as to whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.
- 14. The Applicant must send copies of this determination to the lessees.

D Banfield FRICS 5 March 2024

RIGHTS OF APPEAL

- 1. A person wishing to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) must seek permission to do so by making written application by email to <u>rpsouthern@justice.gov.uk</u> to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
- 2. The application must arrive at the Tribunal within 28 days after the Tribunal sends to the person making the application written reasons for the decision.
- 3. If the person wishing to appeal does not comply with the 28 day time limit, the person shall include with the application for permission to appeal a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the Tribunal will then decide

whether to extend time or not to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed.

4. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates, state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.