

From: steven kilmartin <steven_kilmartin@yahoo.co.uk>

Sent: 03 October 2022 16:07

To: Redfern, Adrian <Adrian.Redfern@environment-agency.gov.uk>; Dan Stone <dans@jmwastemanagement.co.uk>; Info | Carley Construction <info@carleyconstructionltd.com>; vince cardy <vincetx443@hotmail.co.uk>

Subject: From Steven Kilmartin,Laci Land Restoration Ltd.

To

Adrian,

As I continue to work through your email to Wayne Carley, Carley Construction dated 27 September 2022, and the fact-checking process, it becomes apparent that I need to clarify aspects pertaining to your email. Firstly I would like to draw your attention to the quote in bullet point eleven states 4-10/08/22 – *Steven Kilmartin correspondence with permitting support centre. Pre-app team initially contacted, passed on to PSC Land. Initially unable to locate application on details provided. Possible reasons due to submission via Watermans, some incorrect details provided by SK – company name Laciland and ref EA EPR HB3900TY*

As stated prior, Laci Land Restoration Ltd was not informed of the new application reference EPR/LB3108LW/A001 until mid-August 2022. Yet the EA continued to use the old defunked reference EA EPR HB3900TY when I communicated (numerous times) with the EA. In all communications, I clearly gave the site location as well as the company name and date of submission for the bespoke application. Even with these additional details, the EA failed to locate the presence of the permit within their own system. At this point, I have to suggest that the EA has a greater knowledge of its own workings than Laci, and suggesting Laci was even partly responsible is a cause for some concern.

Secondly, I would like to draw your attention to bullet point twelve in your email dated 27 September 2022 where you stated and I quote "*10/08/22 – Claire Roberts - Principal*

Permitting Team Leader (Waste Deposit) responded and informed SK that your application was 68 in the permitting queue – Note: it is not clear from this email how many RvD applications have been waiting longer than yours". In an email sent by Claire Roberts in which she states, we currently have a working queue of over 140 applications and yours is in position 68. As the EA has a policy of first come first served, it becomes obvious that there are 67 applications in front of us.

It is important that this misinformation if left unchallenged would become evidence of action and undoubtedly be used in the EA's favor. I still have work to do on fact-finding regarding the aforementioned email and will be contacting you again. Please acknowledge and add this email to your records.

At this point, Laci is apprehensive of the EA's intentions and fairness of play. Yet I would like to reiterate our willingness to work with the Environmental Agency on all issues. It will soon become necessary to raise concerns and include Sir James Bevan in all future correspondence an action Laci is reluctant to take but may be forced to do so. This can be avoided by the EA opening a productive dialogue with Laci Land Restoration. As I continue to do in-depth research into the Penfold review of 2010 it becomes apparent that the EA is operating a repressive regime that goes against the review's spirit of intentions.

Kind Regards

Steven Kilmartin.