

From: Adrian Wilson-smith [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 12, 2024 3:33 PM
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to Planning Application S62A/2023/0030 for 31 houses on land to the west of The Cricketers carpark

[REDACTED]
Sir/Madam

As a resident, I would like to register my protest at this proposed development.

There have already been three previous planning proposals on this site, all of which have been rejected by Uttlesford District Council. One of these was for an identical number of houses and this application is almost unchanged. This is entirely the wrong place for any non linear development. Quite apart from it lying outside the village development limits, most of this non linear development is around the Stortford Road area.

The site at the moment brings the rural countryside right into the village and continued development like this will not only eventually change the entire character of Clavering, it will morph into an ever expanding urbanised village, with the design characteristic of typical modern housing completely at odds with the architectural heritage of the original village. The previous appeals on nearby land in the northern part of Clavering have been turned down on the grounds of the distance away from core village facilities like the shop (Clavering has no public bus service), and also harm to the countryside. There appears to be no reason why this development should similarly be rejected.

The site is inconsistent with transport and highways practice due to the position of the proposed access point on a slow bend and nearby junction, and represents a potential for traffic accidents.

In addition, I understand that Uttlesford District Council have announced that Clavering's new target of 111 houses will be reduced when the Local Plan is published in the next couple of months. This alone would appear to weaken the rationale for a large development like this one to be needed.

I would urge you to reject this planning application, as UDC have done to similar ones in the past.

Yours faithfully

Adrian Wilson-Smith

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]