



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL
PROPERTY CHAMBER
(RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)**

Case reference : **LON/00BJ/LDC/2023/0249**

Property : **41/50 Prince of Wales Mansions, SW11
4BG**

Applicant : **Prince of Wales Mansions Limited**

Representative : **Not represented**

Respondent : **All Leaseholders set out in the
application**

Representative : **Not represented**

Type of application : **Application for dispensation from the
consultation requirements of s20 under
section 20ZA of the Landlord and
Tenant Act 1985**

Tribunal member : **Mr A Harris LLM FRICS FCI Arb**

Venue : **10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR**

Date of decision : **5 February 2024**

DECISION

Decision of the tribunal

1. The tribunal exercises its discretion to grant dispensation from the consultation requirements of s20ZA in respect of the works required to repair a leaking roof.

The application

2. The Applicant seeks dispensation from the consultation requirements under section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 (“the 1985 Act”) in respect of works required to repair defects in the roof causing water damage.
3. Directions were made on 1 November 2023 for a paper determination in the week commencing 5 February 2024. The only issue for the tribunal is whether it is reasonable to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements.
4. **This decision does not concern the issue of whether any service charge costs will be reasonable or payable.**

The hearing

5. A written application was made by the freeholder of the property and landlord under the leases of the flats in the block. The current application is in respect of additional work to the roof which was identified while works were being carried out for which a previous dispensation had been granted under reference LON/00BJ/LDC/2023/0016. The amount of the original works was in the region of £40,000 and the cost of the additional works is £28,510 plus VAT. The applicant seeks a further dispensation up to a total of £40,000 in case further additional work is identified.
6. An undated draft specimen lease of flat 50A has been provided and the other leases are said to be in similar form. The case was decided on paper and no appearances were made. The tribunal considered the written application form, copy letters to the leaseholders, estimates and the specimen lease included in the bundle.

The background

7. The property is a 19th-century mansion block opposite Battersea Park. The application lists 18 flats which have been sold on long lease. Each lease requires the landlord to provide services and the tenant to contribute towards their costs by way of a variable service charge.

8. Neither party requested an inspection and the tribunal did not consider that one was necessary, nor would it have been proportionate to the issues.
9. A specimen lease has been provided showing the scope of the works is within the service charge provisions of the lease. A list of leaseholders has been provided. The tribunal wrote to the parties on 1 November 2023 with the directions applicable to this application.. The applicant was directed to serve the bundle on each leaseholder. No representations have been received objecting to the application as to the scope of the works or appropriateness of the application.

The Law

s20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985

Service charges

20ZA Consultation requirements: supplementary

(1)Where an application is made to the appropriate tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements.

(2)In section 20 and this section—

“qualifying works” means works on a building or any other premises, and

“qualifying long term agreement” means (subject to subsection (3)) an agreement entered into, by or on behalf of the landlord or a superior landlord, for a term of more than twelve months.

(3)The Secretary of State may by regulations provide that an agreement is not a qualifying long term agreement—

(a)if it is an agreement of a description prescribed by the regulations, or

(b)in any circumstances so prescribed.

(4)In section 20 and this section “the consultation requirements” means requirements prescribed by regulations made by the Secretary of State.

(5) Regulations under subsection (4) may in particular include provision requiring the landlord—

(a) to provide details of proposed works or agreements to tenants or the recognised tenants' association representing them,

(b) to obtain estimates for proposed works or agreements,

(c) to invite tenants or the recognised tenants' association to propose the names of persons from whom the landlord should try to obtain other estimates,

(d) to have regard to observations made by tenants or the recognised tenants' association in relation to proposed works or agreements and estimates, and

(e) to give reasons in prescribed circumstances for carrying out works or entering into agreements.

(5A) And in the case of works to which section 20D applies, regulations under subsection (4) may also include provision requiring the landlord—

(a) to give details of the steps taken or to be taken under section 20D(2),

(b) to give reasons about prescribed matters, and any other prescribed information, relating to the taking of such steps, and

(c) to have regard to observations made by tenants or the recognised tenants' association in relation to the taking of such steps.

(6) Regulations under section 20 or this section—

(a) may make provision generally or only in relation to specific cases, and

(b) may make different provision for different purposes.

(7) Regulations under section 20 or this section shall be made by statutory instrument which shall be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

10. The applicable case law is *Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson* [2013] UKSC 14, 1 WLR 854 where the Supreme Court held that the relevant test is whether the leaseholders have suffered prejudice by the failure to consult. Where the extent, quality and cost of the works were unaffected by the landlord's failure to comply with the consultation requirements, an unconditional dispensation should normally be granted.

The tribunal's decision

11. The tribunal exercises its discretion to grant dispensation from the consultation requirements of under s20 ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 and the Service Charges (Consultation Requirements) (England) Regulations 2003

Reasons for the tribunal's decision

12. The works were required to repair the roof as leaks are causing internal damage . The leaseholders were notified that this further application for dispensation from the consultation requirements of section 20 would be made.
13. The tribunal is satisfied that the leaseholders were aware of the works required and none have objected.
14. The Tribunal is being asked to exercise its discretion under s.20ZA of the Act. The wording of s.20ZA is significant. Subs. (1) provides:

“Where an application is made to a [leasehold valuation] tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the tribunal may make the determination *if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements*” (emphasis added).
15. The Tribunal understands that the purposes of the consultation requirements is to ensure that leaseholders are given the fullest possible opportunity to make observations about expenditure of money for which they will in part be liable. The test laid down by the Supreme Court in *Daejan v Benson* is whether the leaseholders would suffer prejudice if the application were to be granted and a full consultation not carried out.
16. The tribunal considers that there is no prejudice to the leaseholders in granting dispensation as the works were urgently needed to avoid further damage and leaseholders have been consulted and have not objected to the works. The tribunal is satisfied that the risk of delay outweighs any possible prejudice arising from a failure to carry out the full consultation process.
17. The tribunal is satisfied the works were urgent and that dispensation should be granted.
18. The granting of dispensation is not concerned with the cost and recoverability of service charges for the works which are dealt with under section 27A of the Act. To avoid the need for a further application for dispensation if further works are identified in the current programme, the application seeks dispensation up to a limit of £40,000. As the tribunal has identified no prejudice to the leaseholders

the tribunal grants dispensation subject to a condition that the cost of the additional works is not to exceed £40,000 plus VAT without a further application being made.

Name: A Harris

Date: 5 February 2024

Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28-day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).