

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent on:** Wednesday, May 26, 2021 2:33:02 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**CC:** [REDACTED]; Preston, Chris <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: DRS - alternative options

Hi [REDACTED],

Please find attached the short paper setting out key benefits, analysis, and some further considerations for the 3 options for DRS scope. We tried to keep it on 2 pages but it's slipped onto 3!

Do let me know if you require anything further at this stage – happy to discuss.

Many thanks  
[REDACTED]



DRS  
options.docx

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 20 May 2021 18:33  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Preston, Chris <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: DRS - alternative options

[REDACTED] – this sounds ace.

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 20 May 2021 18:15  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Preston, Chris <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: DRS - alternative options

Hi all,

Thanks for the update. We can pull together a one/two pager on the three options and will aim to get this to you middle of next week if that sounds reasonable? Let me know if you would like it sooner and we will see what we can do, but Wednesday should be feasible.

Thanks  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 20 May 2021 17:58  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Preston, Chris <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: DRS - alternative options

Looping in [REDACTED] too

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 20 May 2021 17:56  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Preston, Chris <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: DRS - alternative options

Just looping in [REDACTED] too!

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 20 May 2021 17:49  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Preston, Chris <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DRS - alternative options

Dear all,

I had a useful conversation with colleagues at no.10 on the subject of DRS. They were keen to also consider at a third option, all in without glass, on the basis that this could mitigate the impact of all-in DRS in terms of weight, faff returned supermarkets and mitigate LA collection concerns, whilst not being as onerous on manufacturers etc as a solely “on-the go” system.

Could we, in pretty short time, get a one/two pager, comparing the benefits of the three potential system (i.e., on the go, all in -glass, all in inc glass) in order to inform debate on this? Would next week be deliverable?

Thanks!

[REDACTED]