Case No: 1302898/2022



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Lucy Arrowsmith

1st Respondent:Avacardy Ltd2nd Respondent:Holly Johnson3rd Respondent:Lee Johnson

JUDGMENT

The Respondents' application dated 16 July 2023 for reconsideration of the judgment sent to the parties on 11 July 2023 is refused.

REASONS

There is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked, because the grounds raised by the Respondent are without foundation and vexatious. The Respondent challenges the correspondence address used by the tribunal and the lack of communication. Tribunal records show that the email and postal address used were those provided by the Respondent in the ET3 response form.

The Respondent also states that the business has been closed down. It can be seen from Companies House that the 1st Respondent was dissolved on 23 May 2023, which was after liability judgment was entered against all 3 Respondents on 18 February 2023.

Although the Respondent did not indicate whether the application had been copied to the other party/parties I consider that it is in the interests of justice for this requirement to be dispensed with.

Case No: 1302898/2022

Employment Judge Bennett

Date 4 October 2023