

MMO Stage 2 MPA Fisheries Assessment

August 2023

...ambitious for our seas and coasts

Marine Management Organisation Stage 2 MPA Fisheries Assessment

Contents

Ex	Executive Summary3		
1.	Introduction3		
2.	Overview of MPA Assessment Approach4		
3.	MPA site level assessments25		
	3.1. Cape Bank MPA25		
	3.2. East of Haig Fras MPA29		
	3.3. Farnes East MPA		
	3.4. Foreland MPA37		
	3.5. Goodwin Sands MPA41		
	3.6. Haig Fras MPA 46		
	3.7. Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA 50		
	3.8. Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA55		
	3.9. Land's End and Cape Bank MPA61		
	3.10. North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA66		
	3.11. Offshore Brighton MPA70		
	3.12. South of Celtic Deep MPA74		
	3.13. Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA78		
4.	Conclusion83		
5.	Review of this assessment84		
6.	References		
7.	Glossary94		

Executive Summary

This assessment analyses the impact of bottom towed fishing gear on certain features in 13 marine protected areas (MPAs), specifically rock, reef and related features. The assessment covers the evidence considered and a general analysis of that evidence. Site level assessments for the 13 MPAs are also presented. The assessment finds that the use of bottom towed gear is not compatible with the conservation objectives of the MPAs, due to its impacts on the rock and reef features assessed.

1. Introduction

This document forms part of Stage 2 of MMO's assessment and management of fishing in offshore MPAs around England. MMO is responsible for the assessment and management of fishing activity in MPAs offshore of 6 nautical miles (nm), and Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authorities (IFCAs) are responsible for MPAs inshore of 6 nm.

2. Overview of MPA Assessment Approach

2.1. Why this assessment is required

MMO has duties to further the conservation objectives of MPAs¹. This assessment analyses the impact of bottom towed gear on certain features of 13 MPAs, specifically rock, reef and related features. These MPAs have been selected for Stage 2 as they contain rock, reef or related features, which are amongst the most sensitive features to the impacts of bottom towed gear fishing.

2.2. Assessment methodology

2.2.1. Introduction

The specific features of the MPAs which are considered in this assessment are set out in Table 1.

For the purposes of this assessment, MMO has classified bedrock reef and stony reef within special areas of conservation (SACs) as 'Annex I reef: rocky'. Rocky reef is recognised as areas where animal and plant communities develop on rock (bedrock) or stable boulders and cobbles (stony). Biogenic reef is classified separately and is recognised as areas where the structure is created by the animals themselves. Please see the site level assessment under section 3 'MPA site level assessments' for further information on the type of reef present.

Locations of these MPAs in English waters are displayed in Figure 1, and UK bottom towed fishing effort for vessels \geq 15 m in 2019 is displayed in Figure 2. However, please note that Figure 2 does not include vessel monitoring system (VMS) data for vessels below 15 m in length.

¹ Section 125 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009, regulation 9 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, and regulation 6 of the Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Table 1: MPAs and features considered.

MPA	Features
Cape Bank	High energy circalittoral rock
	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
East of Haig Fras	High energy circalittoral rock
	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
Farnes East	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
Foreland	High energy circalittoral rock
	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
Goodwin Sands	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
	Ross worm (Sabellaria spinulosa) reefs
Haig Fras	Annex I reef: rocky
Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton	Annex I reef: biogenic
Hartland Point to Tintagel	High energy circalittoral rock
	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
	Fragile sponge and anthozoan
	communities on subtidal rocky habitats
	Pink sea-fan (<i>Eunicella verrucosa</i>)
Land's End and Cape Bank	Annex I reef: rocky
North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef	Annex I reef: biogenic
Offshore Brighton	High energy circalittoral rock
South of Celtic Deep	Moderate energy circalittoral rock
Wight-Barfleur Reef	Annex I reef: rocky



Date of Publication: 12/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 1: MPAs included in the Stage 2 assessment.



Figure 2: UK demersal fishing effort kWh in 2019 for vessels \geq 15 m.

UK Fishing Effort kWh (Vessels ≥ 15m 2019) ≤11000 11001 - 34000 34001 - 72000 72001 - 140000 140001 - 325093 UK Exclusive Economic Zone

– 12nm Limit, 1983 baseline (UKHO)

Date: 05/01/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10590 Data notes on UK Fishing Effort: calculated using 2019 VMS data for UK commercial fishing vessels greater than or equal to 15m. These summaries have been categorised into aggregated demersal towed gear groups (DRB, HMD, OT, OTB, OTT, PTB, SDN, SPR, TBB, TBN). Effort is calculated by multiplying the time associated with each VMS report in hours by the engine power of the vessel concerned at the time of the activity.



Not to be used for navigation Contains Collins Bartholomew, MMO and UKHO data © Collins Bartholomew, MMO and UKHO copyright and database right 2021 Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government License v3.0 Literature reviews (section 2.3) have been undertaken to understand the interactions of bottom towed gear with reef and rock features. While bottom towed gear can have a range of pressures on benthic features, it is the pressures of abrasion and penetration which are most relevant. It is these pressures that have been considered in most detail within the literature reviews. This evidence has been used to inform MPA site level assessments (section 3).

Where the assessment concludes that the risk of significant negative impacts on an MPA's conservation objectives cannot be excluded, management options will be considered.

Several of the MPAs considered have already been afforded protection through the implementation of MMO byelaws². However, in some instances, this protection may no longer be considered sufficient as a) more evidence has become available regarding the presence of the feature outside of the spatial extent of the current byelaw and/or b) new powers afforded to MMO under the Fisheries Act 2020³ allow for the protection of marine habitats and species features outside of 12 nm whereas current MMO byelaws only extend to the 12 nm limit.

2.2.2. Assumptions

For the purposes of this assessment, circalittoral rock features within marine conservation zones (MCZs) are considered to be analogous to the 'subtidal bedrock reef' and 'subtidal boulder and cobble reef' features within SACs. Fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats and pink sea-fan do not have a clear SAC equivalent. However, advice from the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and Natural England with regard to fisheries impacts on MCZ habitat features (hereafter 'MCZ fisheries impact advice') suggests, with medium certainty, that unrestricted access to fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats by bottom towed gear will lead to the conservation objectives for MPAs not being met (JNCC and Natural England, 2011). Similarly, biotopes in which pink sea-fan are present are illustrative of the fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats and therefore it is assumed that the impact of bottom towed fishing on pink sea-fan will likely be similar to that of the fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats on subtidal rocky habitats in which they are found.

For the purposes of this assessment, pink sea-fan and fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats are therefore considered alongside rock and reef features.

Evidence describing impacts of bottom towed gear on rocky reef habitats is limited particularly with regard to differences between varying energy and light regimes used to differentiate MCZ features (for example, high energy circalittoral rock vs low energy infralittoral rock).

Where the interactions between bottom towed gear and the assessed features alone are concluded to have an adverse effect on site integrity (for an SAC) or to hinder the conservation objectives of the site (for an MCZ), there will be no requirement to

² www.gov.uk/guidance/marine-conservation-byelaws

³ www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2020/22/contents/enacted

undertake an in-combination assessment as the impacts will be addressed through management measures for these MPAs.

All other gear-feature interactions within the MPAs assessed that have not been included in this Stage 2 assessment will be considered at a later stage.

2.2.3. Data used in assessment

For each MPA assessment a number of data sources have been used to illustrate site characteristics, the level of fishing activity, and will be used to inform the recommended management options.

- VMS bottom towed gear data from 2016 to 2020:
 - VMS records the location, date, time, speed, and course of the vessel.
 - Fishing gear information is linked to the VMS data by matching vessel logbook information, using the fleet register, or through local marine officer knowledge of the vessel.
 - For the purposes of this assessment the VMS data in maps used has been filtered to display bottom towed gear activity only.
 - Bottom towed gear VMS data for each site has been displayed on a grid wherein each grid segment is 500 m x 500 m in size. This is intended to demonstrate the density of bottom towed fishing activity from vessels with VMS within each MPA. These maps include fishing speed (0 to 6 knots) VMS records from both UK and non-UK vessels.
 - Fishing speed VMS records associated with the following gear codes have been included in VMS density maps: TBB – Beam Trawls, OT – Otter Trawls (not specified), OTB – Bottom Otter Trawls, OTT – Otter Twin Trawls, PT – Pair Trawls, PTB – Bottom Pair Trawls, TB – Bottom Trawls, TBN – Nephrops Trawls, TBS – Shrimp Trawls, TX – Other Trawls, DRB – Boat Dredges, HMD – Mechanized Dredge, HMP -Pumps, HMX – Harvesting Machines, SDN – Danish or Anchor Seines, SPR – Pair Seines, SSC – Scottish Seines, SV – Boat or Vessel Seines, SX – Seine Nets (not specified).
 - For the Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA, VMS data did not show bottom towed gear activity but additional fisheries data (including local expert opinion, vessel sightings data, and Fishermap data) has confirmed that fishing with bottom towed gear occurs in the site.
 - A WebApp displaying VMS activity for vessels using bottom towed fishing gear around the 13 MPAs considered in this assessment has been produced. <u>Access the WebApp here</u>.
- Feature data from statutory nature conservation bodies (SNCBs):
 - Natural England are responsible for advice on the conservation of MPA habitats and species in English waters up to 12 nm from the coast.
 - JNCC are responsible for advice on the conservation of UK MPA habitats and species offshore of 12 nm.
 - A WebApp displaying designated feature information for the 13 MPAs considered in this assessment has been produced. <u>Access the WebApp here</u>.

Natural England and JNCC feature data contain a collation of marine habitat and species biotope records created during contracts commissioned by Natural England and JNCC; collected by Defra and associated bodies/agencies; or provided by third parties that have allowed their data to be republished under the Open Government Licence (OGL). MMO has made use of the most appropriate MPA habitat data on a site-by-site basis dependent on its location.

A combined WebApp has been developed to display bottom towed gear VMS records and designated feature information. <u>Access the WebApp here</u>.

Each WebApp described above also includes a spatial representation of the management measures implemented as a result of this assessment. For further information on the management measures please see section 4.

2.2.4. Data gaps and limitations

VMS data has been used to detail the bottom towed gear activity taking place within each site. However, data is currently only widely available for vessels greater than 12 m in length.

While confidence in VMS data is high, there are assumptions and limitations to this data:

- The processing assumes that speeds of 0 to 6 knots are "fishing speed". This may therefore include vessels travelling at these speeds, but which are not fishing, and exclude any fishing taking place above these speeds leading to over or under-estimates of fishing activity.
- VMS records the location, date, time, speed, and course of the vessel. Fishing gear information has to be linked to the VMS data itself by either matching its logbook information where possible, using the fleet register which may not be up to date, or through local marine officer knowledge of the said vessel.
- Null gear codes are present in the data which may underrepresent the fishing activity of a certain gear type.
- VMS positions are transmitted once every two hours, therefore it is difficult to track the precise movements of vessels via VMS. For this reason, VMS density maps have been produced in order to highlight the areas most frequently visited by vessels using bottom towed gear.

2.2.5. Conservation objectives

The conservation objectives for Stage 2 MPAs (Table 1) are that the features:

- 1. are maintained in favourable condition if they are already in favourable condition; or
- 2. are brought into (and remain in) favourable condition if they are not already in favourable condition.

The features include both habitats (for example, circalittoral rock, Annex I reef and fragile sponge and anthozoan communities) and species (for example, pink sea-fan). The conservation objectives for these features can be achieved by maintaining or restoring, subject to natural change:

- the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitats in the site;
- the structure and function (including biological communities) of the qualifying habitats in the site;
- the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitats rely;
- the supporting processes on which qualifying habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely;
- the populations of the qualifying species; and
- the distribution of qualifying species within the site.

Conservation objectives specific to the features in each site are detailed below in the MPA site level assessments (section 3).

2.2.6. Associated benefits

Marine ecosystems are essential for primary production and climate regulation, providing vital functions which support life. They also provide several ecosystem services (associated benefits), which are 'the benefits which humans obtain from ecosystem functions and resources' (Fontana et al., 2013) at a local and global scale (Rees et al., 2018).

To sustainably manage ecosystems which provide many benefits and interdependencies between natural and human systems, several national and international policy targets exist (Ashley et al., 2018). The UK's vision for 'clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse ocean and seas' is reflected in the UK Marine Strategy, helping the UK deliver its international obligations and commitments under the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), the OSPAR North-East Atlantic Environment Strategy, the Convention on Biological Diversity and the UN Sustainable Development Goal 14 to conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development (Defra, 2019). At a national level, the UK Marine Strategy sets out objectives, targets, and indicators for the achievement of Good Environmental Status in our seas (Defra, 2019).

Natural capital (defined below) approaches are central to the UK Government 25 Year Environment Plan (Ashley et al., 2018, HM Government, 2018) which aims to enhance our natural capital, with policy choices being better-informed by natural capital approaches (HM Government 2018).

Natural capital is the sum of our ecosystems, species, freshwater, land, soils, minerals, our air and our seas. These are all elements of nature that either directly or indirectly bring value to people and the country at large.

25 Year Environment Plan HM Government, 2018

Looking at the marine environment through a natural capital lens helps us to understand the assets within ecosystems which have the capacity to provide goods and services (Rees et al., 2018). By understanding the many diverse functions and values a habitat or species provides within an ecosystem, helps to better secure and understand the associated, indirect benefits different management approaches may provide.

For example, prohibiting the use of damaging activities may enhance the level of certain ecosystem services provided by MPA features and sub-features, such as climate regulation (Fletcher et al., 2012), reducing wave energy (McManus, 2011) and recreational opportunities for SCUBA diving and sea angling, can be protected.

Below are some of the ecosystem services that features considered in this assessment may provide:

Moderate and high energy circalittoral rock, and Annex I reef: rocky

- Species diversification and formation of species habitat circalittoral rock provides firm substrate for attachment and supports a diverse array of species such as polychaetes, sponges, cnidarians, and bryozoans (Jones, Hiscock, and Connor 2000).
- Primary biomass production circalittoral communities are largely generated from phytoplankton which supports benthic and pelagic organisms at higher trophic levels (Jones, Hiscock, and Connor 2000). Also, a significant proportion of primary production sinks to the sea floor and is assimilated into the subtidal sediment (Jensen et al., 2003).
- Secondary biomass production circalittoral communities are important secondary producers through growth of epibiotic organisms including sponges and tunicates (Jones, Hiscock, and Connor 2000).
- Tourism/recreation circalittoral rock is a potential location for SCUBA diving and angling due to the high concentration of animal life.

Ross worm (Sabellaria spinulosa) reefs and Annex I reef: biogenic

- Formation of a physical barrier biogenic reefs can reduce incident wave energy (McManus, 2001).
- Species diversification and formation of species habitat biogenic Sabellaria spinulosa reefs have a rich associated infauna and epifauna. The reefs provide firm substrate for attachment and support a diverse array of species such as polychaetes, sponges, cnidarians, and bryozoans (JNCC, 2010). *S. spinulosa* reef habitats are of greatest nature conservation significance as they occur on predominantly sediment or mixed sediment areas (Fletcher et al., 2012). These enable a range of epibenthic species with their associated fauna and a specialised 'crevice' infauna, which would not otherwise be found in the area, to become established (Maddock, 2008).
- Secondary biomass production biogenic reefs are important secondary producers through growth of epibiotic organisms including sponges and tunicates. (Jones, Hiscock, and Connor 2000).
- Climate regulation subtidal biogenic reefs play a major role in the global carbon cycle and act as a major store of carbon (Fletcher et al., 2012).

2.2.7. Marine Plan Assessment

The marine plan assessment is detailed below for each Stage 2 MPA according to the Marine Plan Area.

MMO East Plan Area

Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA and North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA lie within the East Marine Plan Area. The East Marine Plan⁴ was adopted in 2014. The decision to implement management for these sites has been made in accordance with the East Marine Plan. In particular, the following marine plan policies in the East Marine Plan are relevant:

- Biodiversity
 - o <u>E-BIO-1</u>
- Economic productivity
 - o <u>E-EC-1</u>, <u>E-EC-2</u>
- Fishing
 - o <u>E-FISH-1</u>
- Co-existence
 - <u>E-GOV-2</u>, <u>E-GOV-3</u>
- Marine Protected Area Network
 - o <u>E-MPA-1</u>
- Tourism and recreation

 E-TR-1, E-TR-3
- Social and cultural
 - o E-SOC-1

The remaining policies in the East Marine Plan are not applicable.

MMO South West Plan Area

Cape Bank MPA, East of Haig Fras MPA, Haig Fras MPA, Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA, Land's End and Cape Bank MPA and South of Celtic Deep MPA lie within the South West Marine Plan Area. The South West Marine Plan⁵ was adopted in 2021. The decision to implement management for these sites has been made in accordance with the South West Marine Plan. In particular, the following marine plan policies in the South West Marine Plan are relevant:

• Biodiversity

o <u>SW-BIO-1, SW-BIO-2, SW-BIO-3, SW-HAB-1</u>

- Cumulative effects
 - o <u>SW-CE-1</u>
- Co-existence
 - o <u>SW-CO-1</u>

⁴ <u>www.gov.uk/government/publications/east-inshore-and-east-offshore-marine-plans</u>

⁵ <u>www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-south-west-marine-plans-documents</u>

- Employment
 - o <u>SW-EMP-1</u>
- Fishing
 - o <u>SW-FISH-1</u>, <u>SW-FISH-2</u>, <u>SW-FISH-3</u>
- Marine Protected Area Network
 - o <u>SW-MPA-1</u>, <u>SW-MPA-2</u>, <u>SW-MPA-4</u>, <u>SW-HAB-1</u>
- Tourism and Recreation
 - o <u>SW-TR-1</u>

The remaining policies in the South West Marine Plan are not applicable.

MMO North East Plan Area

Farnes East MPA lies within the North East Marine Plan Area. The North East Marine Plan⁶ was adopted in 2021. The decision to implement management for these sites has been made in accordance with the North East Marine Plan. In particular, the following marine plan policies in the North East Marine Plan are relevant:

- Biodiversity
 - <u>NE-BIO-1</u>, <u>NE-BIO-2</u>, <u>NE-BIO-3</u>
- Cumulative Effects
 <u>NE-CE-1</u>
- Co-existence
 - o <u>NE-CO-1</u>
- Employment
 - o <u>NE-EMP-1</u>
- Fishing
 - o <u>NE-FISH-1</u>, <u>NE-FISH-2</u>, <u>NE-FISH-3</u>
- Marine Protected Area Network
 - o <u>NE-MPA-1</u>, <u>NE-MPA-2</u>
- Tourism and Recreation
 - o <u>NE-TR-1</u>

The remaining policies in the North East Marine Plan are not applicable.

MMO South Plan Area

Foreland MPA, Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA and Offshore Brighton MPA lie within the South Marine Plan Area. The South Marine Plan⁷ was adopted in 2018. The decision to implement management for these sites has been made in accordance with the

⁶ <u>www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-north-east-marine-plans-documents</u>

⁷ www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-south-marine-plans-documents

South Marine Plan. In particular, the following marine plan policies in the South Marine Plan are relevant:

- Biodiversity
 - o <u>S-BIO-1</u>, <u>S-BIO-2</u>, <u>S-BIO-3</u>
- Co-existence
 - o <u>S-CO-1</u>
- Employment
 - <u>S-EMP-2</u>
- Fishing
 - o <u>S-FISH-1</u>, <u>S-FISH-2</u>, <u>S-FISH-3</u>, <u>S-FISH-4</u>, <u>S-FISH-4-HER</u>
- Marine Protected Area Network
 - o <u>S-MPA-1</u>, <u>S-MPA-2</u>, <u>S-MPA-4</u>
- Social and Cultural
 - o <u>S-SOC-1</u>
- Tourism and Recreation
 - o <u>S-TR-1</u>, <u>S-TR-2</u>

The remaining policies in the South Marine Plan are not applicable.

MMO South East Plan Area

Foreland MPA and Goodwin Sands MPA also lie within the South East Marine Plan Area. The South East Marine Plan⁸ was adopted in 2021. The decision to implement management for these sites has been made in accordance with the South East Marine Plan. In particular, the following marine plan policies in the South East Marine Plan are relevant:

- Cumulative Effects
 - o <u>SE-CE-1</u>
- Co-existence
 - o <u>SE-CO-1</u>
- Biodiversity
 - o <u>SE-BIO-1</u>, <u>SE-BIO-2</u>, <u>SE-BIO-3</u>
- Employment
 - o <u>SE-EMP-1</u>
- Fishing
 - o SE-FISH-1, SE-FISH-2, SE-FISH-3
- Marine Protected Area Network
 - o <u>SE-MPA-1</u>, <u>SE-MPA-2</u>, <u>SE-MPA-4</u>
- Tourism and Recreation

⁸ www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-south-east-marine-plan-documents

o <u>SE-TR-1</u>

The remaining policies in the South East Marine Plan are not applicable.

2.2.8. Marine Strategy Regulations

MMO considers the UK Marine Strategy when developing management options, as required by Regulation 9 of the Marine Strategy Regulations 2010⁹.

2.3. Evidence

2.3.1. Literature review of bottom towed gear interactions with Ross worm (Sabellaria spinulosa) reefs and Annex I reef: biogenic

Level of literature

Few studies have empirically tested the effects of bottom towed fishing gear on biogenic habitats (Hiddink et al., 2017). Consequently, this review uses both direct peer reviewed evidence and grey literature to review the impacts of bottom towed fishing gear on biogenic reefs (Defra, 2014). Due to a lack of evidence, this review has used literature for both *Sabellaria* species (*S. spinulosa* and *S. alveolata*) as this is the best available evidence, although it is recognised that both species will have different sensitivities.

Introduction to the habitat

Biogenic reefs are structures created by accumulations of organisms that form substantial habitats or discrete communities arising from the seabed (Holt et al., 1998). In contrast to rocky reefs (where animal and plant communities grow on raised or protruding rock), biogenic reefs are created by animals themselves (Brown et al., 1997). Annex I reef: biogenic habitats in Stage 2 MPAs consist of polychaete-reefs made by Ross worms (*S. spinulosa*). This literature review therefore focuses on bottom towed fishing impacts on *S. spinulosa* reef (JNCC, 2019). *S. spinulosa* is a tube-building polychaete that can occur as isolated individuals, small aggregations, thin crusts, or large reefs covering extensive areas (Fariñas-Franco et al., 2014; Gibb et al., 2014). *S. spinulosa* reefs are relatively rare in English waters, with the majority established near the coastline (Gibb et al., 2014; OSPAR Commission., 2013; Van der Reijden et al., 2019).

Impacts of bottom towed gear

The most significant link between human activity and threats to *S. spinulosa* reefs is physical damage caused by towed demersal trawling (Jones et al., 2000; Holt et al., 1998). Physical abrasion from trawling can break off or damage the worm tubes resulting in direct mortality of the worms (Gibb et al., 2014; UK BAP, 2000). Where parts of the reef are broken off or damaged, the resulting holes can be further enlarged by wave action (Cunningham et al., 1984). This abrasion pressure can also break reefs into smaller chunks, leading to reef fragmentation and ultimately to reef

⁹ <u>www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/1627/regulation/9/made</u>

disappearance (Fariñas-Franco et al., 2014; Gibb et al., 2014; Last et al., 2012; van der Reijden et al., 2019).

Trawl scars on *S. spinulosa* reefs provide evidence of direct physical damage by bottom towed fishing gears (Pearce, 2017). Marks from otter boards have been observed via sidescan sonar and drop-down videos during surveys of *S. spinulosa* on the east and south coasts of England (Defra, 2014). Significant evidence of trawl scars from unspecified fisheries on *S. spinulosa* reefs also indicates potential damage from bottom towed gear (Pearce et al., 2007; Pearce et al., 2011).

By disturbing the seabed, bottom towed fishing may also result in higher sediment loads, which could affect reef formation. However, high suspended sediment loads may be unlikely to affect *S. spinulosa* reef as these reefs have evolved to exist in, and are dependent on, such conditions to promote reef growth. Therefore, *S. spinulosa* reef may not be sensitive to increased suspended sediment loads arising from bottom towed fishing (JNCC and Natural England, 2013).

The direct physical impacts of bottom towed gear on *S. spinulosa* reef can have severe biological implications for the polychaetes forming the reef and the flora and fauna associated with the reef. By damaging the biogenic structure, bottom trawling may reduce substrate for epibenthic species (Collie et al., 2000; Kaiser et al., 2006), reducing benthic biomass and production (Hiddink et al., 2006). Damage to, and fragmentation of, the reef also reduces the structure and complexity of the habitat (UK BAP, 2000), so that the reef may no longer be able to support epifauna and infauna communities (Last et al., 2012; UK BAP, 2000). The extent of the reef can also be reduced, which can ultimately result in complete habitat loss for the reef's associated communities (Riesen and Reise, 1982). With the most vulnerable animals to trawling often being those responsible for forming the biogenic reefs, bottom trawling can have substantial effects on the production processes and trophic structures in biogenic habitats (Collie et al., 2000; Hiddink et al., 2006).

Variation in impacts

The impacts of bottom towed fishing on biogenic reefs will likely depend on several factors, such as gear type, fishing intensity, and habitat and environmental variables. For example, Kaiser et al. (2006) observed that scallop dredging had more severe impacts than otter trawling in biogenic habitats, whilst Vorberg (2000) observed that relatively light shrimp beam trawls did not cause significant damage to *S. alveolata* reef. However, the results of Vorberg (2000) relate exclusively to short-term effects following a once-only disturbance and, thus, medium to long-term impacts from repeated shrimp trawling cannot be ruled out. Furthermore, *S. spinulosa* reef may be more fragile than *S. alveolata* and trawling impacts may also vary between different biotopes of *S. spinulosa* (for example, *S. spinulosa* on circalittoral mixed sediment), as well as with environmental variables (Gibb et al., 2014). For example, Van der Reijden et al. (2019) found that, despite high demersal fishing intensities, *S. spinulosa* reefs were present in the Dutch Brown Bank area of the North Sea, potentially because such reefs were located in valleys in-between sand waves, which provided sheltered refuge from fishing abrasion.

Sensitivity and recovery

Biogenic reefs are known to be particularly sensitive to bottom towed fishing gears (Holt et al., 1998; Kaiser et al., 2006) and can potentially take substantial time to recover. Several comparative studies of bottom trawling impacts have found that biogenic reefs are the most severely impacted habitat type (Kaiser et al., 2006; Sciberras et al., 2018), potentially due to the reef's association with long-lived sessile epifauna (Rijnsdorp et al., 2016). Although S. spinulosa is a fast-growing species with annual growth cycles (Holt et al., 1998) and reaches sexual maturity in potentially one or two years or less (Pearce et al., 2007), the species associated with S. spinulosa reefs are generally slow growing (Tillin et al., 2020). Therefore, whereas polychaetes with their shorter lifespans can recover in less than a year, sponges which S. spinulosa reefs often support (Gibb et al., 2014) - can take up to eight years to recover from bottom trawling (Kaiser et al., 2006). Furthermore, although the individual polychaetes may have shorter recovery time, the recovery rate of the biogenic structures themselves and the species they support can be far slower than the recovery rates of the individual worms (Hiddink et al., 2019; Rijnsdorp et al., 2016).

There are substantial knowledge gaps regarding empirical evidence for estimating recovery times for *S. spinulosa* reefs (Gibb et al., 2014). Recovery rates will likely vary with several factors, such as the degree of impact, stochastic events, season of impact, larval supply, recruitment, and local environmental factors (Gibb et al., 2014; Tillin and Gibb, 2015). Where there are limited areas of damage, *Sabellaria spp.* reefs could possibly be repaired rapidly (within weeks) through the tube-building activities of adults (Vorberg 2000; Cunningham et al., 1984). However, predicting the rate of recovery following extensive removal is more difficult, with recovery from significant impacts potentially taking several years (Gibb et al., 2014).

From literature evidence and field observations, a JNCC report from 2014 found that fishing levels (at the time of the report) were likely not sufficiently high enough to completely remove *S. spinulosa* reef habitats from UK waters; however, the activities may have reduced reef extent and prevented reefs from fully recovering (Fariñas-Franco et al., 2014). *S. spinulosa* reefs remain extensive at Hastings Shingle Bank and at Thanet offshore windfarm despite clear damage from bottom trawling (Pearce et al., 2007; Pearce, 2017). In contrast, reefs in Morecambe Bay that are thought to have been trawled have disappeared and not recovered (Holt et al., 1998), although the lack of recovery could be due to several factors (for example, environmental changes). In the Hastings Shingle Bank Area, no detectable differences in community structure were found between a reef developed in six months versus a nearby reef that had been developing for at least five years (Pearce et al., 2007). This could indicate that continued fishing (in the older site) was not allowing reefs to fully recover and was instead keeping the reef at an intermediary stage of development (Fariñas-Franco et al., 2014).

Conclusions

The available evidence indicates that the impacts of bottom towed gear are a significant threat to *Sabellaria spp.* reef through direct physical interactions. Abrasion can cause reef damage, fragmentation, and reef loss. Although levels of impact may

vary, bottom towed gear can have substantial biological impacts on the reef's associated plant and animal communities. Formed by fast-growing Ross worms, *S. spinulosa* reefs have the potential to recover from bottom towed fishing; however, the recovery rate of the reef will be slower than that of the individual worms. Continued bottom towed fishing may reduce the extent of biogenic reefs and this pressure must be removed to allow sustained recovery. The available literature suggests that biogenic reefs are highly sensitive to bottom towed fishing.

2.3.2. Literature review of bottom towed gear interactions with rock habitats high and moderate energy circalittoral rock, and Annex I reef: rocky

Level of literature

Most studies assessing bottom towed fishing impacts focus on soft sedimentary habitats (Roberts et al., 2010), with few empirical studies quantifying the impact of fisheries to hard bottom habitats (Defra, 2014a). Empirical studies of bottom towed gear on rocky reefs are generally restricted to non-UK habitats and assessing the impacts of experimental trawling (Defra, 2014a). Consequently, this review uses both direct peer-reviewed evidence and grey literature to review the impacts of bottom towed fishing gear on high and medium energy circalittoral rock.

Introduction to the habitat

The sublittoral zone (extending from the lowest limit of the intertidal to the outer edge of the continental slope) can be divided into the infralittoral zone (dominated by algae) and the circalittoral zone (the subzone below the infralittoral dominated by animals) (MarLIN, 2018; Connor et al., 2022). Both rock habitats can be assigned to one of three energy levels (high, moderate, and low - depending on exposure to tidal and wave energy) and are associated with rocky reefs (Natural England, 2015). In contrast to biogenic reefs, rocky reefs consist of plant and animal communities that develop on bedrock, stable boulders, and cobbles; they can be extremely variable, with communities varying with rock type, topography, and hydrodynamic conditions (Connor et al., 2022).

Impacts of bottom towed gear

Bottom towed gear can abrade the substrate of rocky reefs, leading to damage and removal of the attached and associated epifauna. Fishing gear components (for example, bridles and sweeps) can snag on rocks, causing abrasion damage and leading to rocks and boulders being rolled, moved, and displaced (Freese et al., 1999; Grieve et al., 2014; Hall-Spencer et al., 2002). Bottom towed gear can also modify and homogenise the substrate, as soft rocks are broken up (Attrill et al., 2011). Although harder substrate is relatively resistant to physical damage, bottom towed fishing gears can still damage the substrate and its associated communities (Roberts et al., 2010).

Bedrock, boulder, and cobble reef have variable levels of accessibility to bottom towed fishing and thus variable levels of vulnerability to physical damage. Steep rock, uneven ground and boulder reef are generally unsuitable for bottom trawls and dredges due to the risk of gear damage (Howarth and Stewart, 2014). However, rocky reefs can still be damaged if they are located amongst or adjacent to commercially viable fishing grounds (Boulcott and Howell, 2011) or they are fished by towed gears that are designed for rocky habitats, such as rock-hopper trawls (Hartnoll, 1998; Roberts et al., 2010).

Towed gears may indirectly impact rocky reef communities through increased sediment load (Hartnoll, 1998). Suspended material can affect the efficiency of filter feeding species that are frequently found on sublittoral rock habitats (Hartnoll, 1998). Depending on the extent of siltation, moderate and high energy circalittoral rock can have medium-to-high sensitivity to this pressure (Tillin et al., 2010) with increased sediment loading particularly posing a risk to rocky habitats found adjacent to soft sediments subjected to demersal towed fishing (Hartnoll, 1998). However, direct physical impacts are generally considered the highest concern for the impacts of bottom towed fishing on rocky reef habitats (Hall et al., 2008).

Although harder rock substrates are less vulnerable to physical damage, bottom towed gear can substantially impact the fauna and flora associated with sublittoral rock habitats. Towing trawls across rocky substrates can cause damage or death to substantial proportions of large, upright attached species, such as sponges and corals (Løkkeborg, 2005). For example, in the Gulf of Alaska during bottom trawling on pebble, cobble and boulder habitats, 67% of sponges were damaged during a single trawl pass (Freese et al., 1999). Other species (such as hydroids, anemones, bryozoans, tunicates, and echinoderms) are also vulnerable to damage (Freese et al., 1999; McConnaughey et al., 2000, Sewell and Hiscock 2005). Alongside, the removal of erect epifaunal and large sessile species (Sewell and Hiscock 2005), trawling can lead to habitat homogenisation and reduced biodiversity and habitat complexity (Attrill et al., 2011; Engel and Kvitek, 1998, Freese et al., 1999; Goodwin et al., 2011; Sewell and Hiscock, 2005). As shown by Boulcott and Howell (2011), not all epifauna on rocky reefs may be damaged during trawls due to inconsistent contact between the gear and the seabed on uneven ground. However, due to the gear bouncing off the substrate, bottom towed gear can cause incremental damage to benthic communities in rocky habitats, which contrasts to loose sediment habitats where the majority of damage occurs on the first pass (Boulcott and Howell, 2011).

Variation in impacts

As for biogenic reefs, the impacts of bottom towed fishing on sublittoral rock habitats will depend on several factors, such as gear type, gear design and fishing intensity (Engel and Kvitek, 1998; Van Dolah et al., 1987). Impacts are also likely to be variable due to the wide variety of structures and communities present (Connor et al., 2022). For example, communities with higher proportions of larger, long-lived, fragile, and sessile epifauna may be the most vulnerable (Hiddink et al., 2017; Roberts et al., 2010). Resistance to damage at a physical level may also vary with substrate type. Additionally, impacts may vary with environmental conditions and topographical variation (Hinz et al., 2011), for example water temperature and depth may affect the recovery of sponges (Van Dolah et al., 1987) and habitats with higher topographical variation may have patchier impact due to the gears bouncing off the substrate, which protects species in crevices (Boulcott and Howell, 2011).

Sensitivity and recovery

As discussed, the sensitivity of sublittoral rock habitats is likely to be highly variable due to the wide variety of communities that can be present (Roberts et al., 2010). For example, rocks with erect branching species may have high sensitivity to all bottom towed gear types (even at low levels of fishing intensity), whereas rocks with low-lying and fast-growing fauna may have low sensitivity, albeit to a single gear pass (Eno et al., 2013; Hall et al., 2008). However, generally rocky habitats are considered sensitive to bottom towed fishing gears.

A non-quantitative sensitivity assessment developed by Tillin et al. (2010) assessed the sensitivity of MPA features to various pressures. This sensitivity matrix classified moderate and high energy circalittoral rock as having medium or medium-to-high sensitivity to penetration and abrasion pressures, except for moderate energy circalittoral rock, which had low-to-high sensitivity to surface abrasion.

Recovery rates for the habitats associated with sublittoral rock will similarly depend on the species present. Recovery rates may vary with life-history characteristics, larval longevity, dispersal potential, recruitment, and growth rates (Kaiser et al., 2018; Roberts et al., 2010). Some subtidal rock organisms may have strong regenerative abilities, whereas some sessile species rely on spawning events to recolonise, which can prevent reestablishment if fishing occurs frequently in-between spawning events (Roberts et al., 2010). The longevity of species will also be critical to recovery rates, with short-lived fauna (for example, with lifespans of 1 to 3 years) potentially recovering from trawling in 0.5 to 3 years, whereas long-lived fauna (for example, with lifespans > 10 years) may take several years (> 8 years) to recover (Hiddink et al., 2019).

Field evidence from the UK provides an indication that rocky reef habitats can recover from the impacts of bottom towed fishing when this pressure is removed. In 2008, the use of bottom towed fishing gear was prohibited in Lyme Bay for the purpose of maintaining and recovering the benthos in this circalittoral rock, boulder, and cobble reef habitat (Attrill et al., 2012). Three years after this closure, species abundance, diversity and richness improved (Attrill et al., 2012) with changes indicating recovery of some epibenthic fauna (Sheehan et al., 2013). However, not all sites in the MPA exhibited recovery trends (Attrill et al., 2012), potentially due to variation in life-history characteristics (Kaiser et al., 2018), with long-lived species such as pink sea-fan (*Eunicella verrucosa*) and Ross corals (*Pentapora foliacea*), potentially taking 17 to 20 years to recover, whereas shorter-lived species (such as scallops and dead man's fingers, *Alcyonium digitatum*) taking 2.5 to 6 years to recover.

Although several factors can affect habitat recovery (for example, environmental changes and other anthropogenic disturbances), the prohibition of bottom towed fishing in Lyme Bay and the subsequent positive change for most species over the following 10 years indicates that such fishing activities are incompatible with circalittoral rocky reef habitats and other areas of substantial hard substrate that have an affinity for species with poor recoverability (Kaiser et al., 2018). Consequently, it is recommended that bottom towed fishing should be entirely avoided in these habitats within MPAs (Kaiser et al., 2018).

Conclusions

The available evidence indicates that bottom towed gear is a risk to the condition of rocky reef, boulder reef and cobble reef, and the benthic communities associated with moderate and high energy circalittoral rock. Although hard rocky substrates themselves may be resistant to physical damage, bottom towed gear can damage and remove attached epifauna and alter the habitat by breaking down and moving rocks and boulders. Despite limited empirical studies, the available literature suggests that subtidal rocky habitats, including cobble and boulder reef, are at risk of significant impacts from bottom towed gear (Defra, 2014a). Circalittoral rock habitats have significant overlaps with Annex I reef: rocky habitats, which allow for the use of the same approach. However, it should be noted that as rocky habitats are highly variable and some rocky reef communities (for example, those highly exposed to energy) may be more resilient to bottom towed gear impacts (Defra, 2014a).

2.4. Management options

As indicated by the evidence outlined above, all of the Stage 2 sites contain features (for example, circalittoral rock features, or Annex I reef features) that are sensitive to interaction with bottom towed gear, wherein the conservation objectives for these features (or sub-features) will not be furthered irrespective of feature condition, the level of pressure, or background environmental conditions. The management measure for highly sensitive gear-feature interactions within Stage 2 MPAs has been selected from the following four options:

- **Option 0:** Do nothing.
- **Option 1:** No statutory restrictions. Introduce a voluntary agreement.
- **Option 2:** Removal of pressures from specified areas of designated feature via prohibition of bottom towed fishing. This may include a whole site prohibition where sensitive designated features are distributed throughout the whole site.
- **Option 3:** Removal of pressures via a whole site prohibition across all sites. The use of bottom towed gear will be prohibited throughout the MMO section of all sites considered in this assessment.

Due to the highly sensitive nature of the designated features assessed within this document to bottom towed fishing, management has been implemented to remove this interaction from those features based on the impact of fishing alone. Therefore, an in-combination assessment of the cumulative impacts of fishing and other activities is not required.

Where management has been deemed necessary, MMO has followed Natural England and JNCC guidance regarding the application of a minimum management buffer zone to ensure appropriate protection of the relevant features. This follows a gear warp length: water depth ratio as below in Table 2.

Table 2: Gear warp length: water depth ratio and buffer zone.

Water depth	Ratio warp length: depth	Buffer
Shallow waters (≤ 25 m)	4:1	4 x actual depth

Continental shelf (25 to 200 m)	3:1	3 x actual depth
Deep waters (200 to over 1000 m)	2:1	2 x actual depth

The methodology described above has been used to calculate the minimum buffer extent for spatial prohibitions within the Stage 2 MPAs. In some cases the spatial extent of the buffer will extend beyond the minimum calculated for simplicity and in order to facilitate effective enforcement of the management measures.

2.5. Displacement

The addition of management measures (Option 2, 3 or 4) could lead to displacement of fishing activities to sensitive habitats elsewhere in English seas, though the location and environmental cost is unknown (Hiddink et al., 2006, Vaughan, 2017). MPAs were themselves chosen to protect rare and representative habitats, species, and geological features to contribute to an ecologically coherent network. The potential impact of displacement to areas outside of MPAs does not remove the requirement to ensure that fishing is managed to further the conservation objectives of the MPAs. Where displacement occurs from Stage 2 MPAs into other MPAs, MMO will monitor and respond to risks within those MPAs. The addition of management could result in some displacement of the fishing fleet to other fishing grounds, where there may be competition from an existing fishing fleet.

2.6. Monitoring and control

MMO has developed monitoring and control plans for all MPAs. Each MPA is provided with a tier category (from 1 to 3) based on the gear-feature interactions occurring, and the level of risk identified from activity data within the MPA fisheries assessments. All MPAs that require MMO management are assigned to a tier 3 monitoring and control plan.

Tier 3 monitoring and control plans include, but are not limited to, the following monitoring and control measures:

2.6.1. Surveillance monitoring

- Alerts will be set up for the managed areas of MPAs and vessels carrying VMS will be monitored 24 hours a day, seven days a week by MMO Fisheries Monitoring Centre Operations team. Any vessels carrying restricted gear, suspected of fishing will be investigated and appropriate enforcement action taken if infringements have occurred.
- If any additional information of vessels breaching MPA conservation regulations are identified (for example, removal of protected features or eyewitness accounts of infringements), an intelligence report will be completed and submitted to MMO Intelligence team for further investigation.
- MPA activity monitoring inspections (MPAsums) will be carried out by fisheries patrol vessels (FPVs) during routine taskings. They will also be completed on an opportunistic basis during normal fisheries patrols if in the vicinity of the MPA. VMS activity data will be used to support peak patrol tasking periods for the MPA.

- Routine aerial patrols will be used opportunistically (flight passes) to record fishing activity in the MPA.
- FPVs/aircrafts will be specifically tasked to this MPA when infringements occur if in the vicinity, to aid investigations for enforcement action.

2.6.2. Intelligence / information sharing

- Any monitoring and control intelligence received will be reviewed by MMO.
- VMS data, MPA intelligence and inspections will be used to identify any significant changes in fishing activity levels and/or new activities taking place within the MPA. Any significant changes will be reviewed, and data will be reported on annually during the MPA reporting period.
- Bespoke measures (for example, targeted surveillance) can be applied to an MPA if required, to enhance compliance and enforcement of management measures and/or in response to intelligence, for additional monitoring of activity changes.

2.6.3. Reporting and Review

- An annual MPA report will be completed by MMO Marine Conservation Team. As part of the annual report, MPA VMS/landings/logbook data, sightings and inspection data and any intelligence will be reviewed to identify any changes in fishing activity and/or infringements. This may trigger further bespoke monitoring and control measures and/or review of the MPA fisheries assessment.
- The MPA fisheries assessment will be reviewed every five years or sooner if significant new evidence triggers an early review, this may include:
 - new information on feature location (for example, through Natural England and JNCC survey work);
 - new information on gear/feature impacts (for example, through new Natural England and JNCC advice);
 - revised/updated conservation objectives (provided by Natural England and JNCC);
 - revised/updated feature condition (provided by Natural England and JNCC);
 - $\circ~$ changes made to IFCA management areas (cross-boundary MPAs); or
 - a variation (significant increase or change spatial/temporal/gear used/modifications) in fishing activity from that assumed in the previous MPA fisheries assessment and/or MPA annual report.

3. MPA site level assessments

3.1. Cape Bank MPA

3.1.1. Designated site location

Cape Bank MPA is found in the south-west of England and is located within the Western Channel and Celtic Sea. Cape Bank MPA lies to the west of the Land's End peninsula and extends approximately 33 kilometres (km) from the coast. The site protects an area of approximately 474 square kilometres (km²) (Figure 3).

It is a joint inshore and offshore site and fishing in the site is regulated by Cornwall IFCA (0 to 6 nm) and MMO (>6 nm) and relevant SNCBs for the site are Natural England (0 to 12 nm) and JNCC (>12 nm).

Bottom towed gear is already prohibited in the majority of the Cape Bank MPA via the Lands End and Cape Bank European Marine Site (Specified Areas) Bottom Towed Gear Byelaw¹⁰.

There is no direct management of the MPA by Cornwall IFCA, however the following byelaws may impact upon the use of bottom towed gear within the site:

- Methods of Fishing (Dredges) Byelaw defining gear specifications and other conditions for the use of dredges for fishing;
- Scallop Dredge (Limited Fishing Time) Byelaw limiting the fishing time for scallop dredging;
- Shellfish Boats limiting the overall length of vessels used to fish for shellfish; and
- **Trawling** limiting the overall power and length of vessels fishing using a trawl.

More information on these byelaws can be found on the <u>Cornwall IFCA website</u>. MMO will continue to engage directly with IFCAs regarding any future recommendations for management measures nearby/adjacent to their areas of jurisdiction.

3.1.2. Designated features

Cape Bank MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in May 2019.

Cape Bank MPA consists of a rocky reef system and large expanses of subtidal coarse sediment, both of which are fully subtidal. The reef extends in a broad arching crescent, roughly aligned with the coastline. The reef supports a high level of biodiversity, including species such as sponges, soft corals, cup corals and anemones, starfish, and sea urchins, while the rock surface may be covered with

¹⁰ www.gov.uk/government/publications/lands-end-and-cape-bank-european-marine-site-specifiedareas-bottom-towed-gear-byelaw

mixed tufted or encrusting animal colonies (bryozoans). The site also provides habitat for the commercially important spiny lobster.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate energy circalittoral rock feature. The remaining feature (subtidal coarse sediment) and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

The most recent survey of Cape Bank MPA was unable to classify the reef habitat to its specific energy class due to the similarity of the acoustic signatures associated with high and moderate energy circalittoral rock and the close proximity of these features observed in the video/photographic data. As a result, energy classes were not assigned and the classification of rock habitats was left at the parent, Eunis Level 2 feature – circalittoral rock (Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs., 2016). Due to the presence of moderate energy circalittoral rock observed throughout the site via drop down video, for the purposes of this assessment, and in accordance with the precautionary principle, circalittoral rock. As detailed in Figure 3, a large proportion of Cape Bank MPA consists of circalittoral rock.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 3 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 3: conservation objectives for designated features of the Cape Bank MPA with the feature currently being assessed highlighted in green (Defra et al., 2019a).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Moderate energy circalittoral rock	Recover to favourable condition (please see
Subtidal coarse sediment	section 2.2.5 for the attribute information).



Figure 3: Cape Bank MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and Natural England data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO, Natural England copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Not to be used for navigation.

Figure 4: Cape Bank MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.1.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show bottom towed gear activity in the site (Figure 4) mainly consists of demersal trawls particularly bottom otter trawls however some limited dredging activity has been known to occur. The majority of bottom towed gear activity (94%) is conducted by non-UK, particularly French vessels, however UK, Belgian and Irish vessels are also active in the site. The majority of bottom towed gear activity occurs in the western portion of the site, outside of 12 nm.

3.1.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

The VMS data (Figure 4) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected circalittoral rock feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO concludes that this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.2. East of Haig Fras MPA

3.2.1. Designated site location

East of Haig Fras MPA is located approximately 67 km west of Land's End, in the Celtic Sea and protects an area of approximately 400 km² (Figure 5).

3.2.2. Designated features

East of Haig Fras MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in December 2013. In January 2016 an additional feature was designated and in May 2019 an additional three features were designated.

The seabed is heterogeneous, with small patches of habitat blending into each other. Ridges composed of a mosaic of coarse and mixed subtidal sediments run through the site. These sediment ridges are topped with a mosaic of rocky features (high and moderate energy circalittoral rock) which run throughout the site, being separated by mobile sand or mud (Figure 5).

The rocky cobbles and boulders provide habitat for hydroids and bryozoans along with other species, such as sponges, cup corals and squat lobsters.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate and high energy circalittoral rock features. The remaining features, and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites. As this site has a mosaic of habitats, the whole site will be treated as the most sensitive feature.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 4 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 4: Conservation objectives for designated features of the East of Haig Fras MPA with the features currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC, 2021a).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
High energy circalittoral rock Moderate energy circalittoral rock Subtidal coarse sediment Subtidal mixed sediments mosaic Subtidal sand Subtidal mud Sea-pen and burrowing megafauna communities	 Be brought into favourable condition, and remain in such condition, meaning that: extent is stable or increasing; and structures and functions, quality, and the composition of characteristic biological communities (which includes a reference to the diversity and abundance of species forming part of or inhabiting each habitat) are such as to ensure that they remain in a condition which is healthy and not deteriorating. Any temporary deterioration in condition is to be disregarded if the habitats are sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable their recovery. Any alteration to the features brought about entirely by natural processes is to be disregarded.
Fan mussel (<i>Atrina fragilis</i>)	 Be brought into favourable condition, and remain in such condition, meaning that: the quality and quantity of its habitat and the composition of its population in terms of number, age and sex ratio are such as to ensure that the population is maintained in numbers which enable it to thrive; any temporary reduction of numbers is to be disregarded if the population is sufficiently thriving and resilient to enable its recovery; and any alteration to that feature brought about entirely by natural processes is to be disregarded.



Figure 5: East of Haig Fras MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Not to be used for navigation. Contains Collins Bartholomew, MMO and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew,

MMO and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 6: East of Haig Fras MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.2.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show bottom towed gear activity in the site mainly consists of non-UK vessels (82%) conducting demersal trawls. In particular, bottom otter trawls are used by French vessels. Some limited dredging and beam trawling activity by Irish vessels has been known to occur and UK vessels are active in the site, albeit at considerably lower intensities, with beam trawls the gear of choice. Activity occurs relatively consistently throughout the site.

3.2.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 5, East of Haig Fras MPA consists of a mosaic of habitats with circalittoral rock occurring throughout the site albeit in small, isolated patches.

The VMS data (Figure 6) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO concludes that this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.3. Farnes East MPA

3.3.1. Designated site location

Farnes East MPA is a joint inshore and offshore site situated in the north-east of England approximately 11 km from the Northumberland coast (Figure 7). Fishing in the site is regulated by MMO (>6 nm) and its relevant SNCBs are Natural England (0 to 12 nm) and JNCC (>12 nm). The site covers an area of approximately 945 km².

3.3.2. Designated features

Farnes East MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in January 2016.

The seabed is predominantly sedimentary, composed of subtidal coarse sediment, subtidal sand, and subtidal mixed sediments, with a scattering of small patches of moderate energy circalittoral rock. The rock habitat supports species of hydroids, bryozoans, and sponges. A glacial trench, which forms the deepest part of the MPA, contains subtidal mud.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate energy circalittoral rock feature. The remaining features and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 7 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 5 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 5: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Farnes EastMPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC, 2021c).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Moderate energy circalittoral rock	Subject to natural change, remain in favourable condition, such that their:
Subtidal coarse sediment	 extent is stable or increasing; and
Subtidal sand	 structures and functions, quality, and the
	composition of their characteristic biological
	communities are such as to ensure that they are in
	a condition which is healthy and not deteriorating.
Subtidal mud	Subject to natural change, be brought into favourable
Subtidal mixed sediments	condition, and remain in such condition, such that their:
Sea-pen and burrowing	 extent is stable or increasing; and
megafauna communities	 structures and functions, quality, and the
	composition of their characteristic biological
	communities are such as to ensure that they are in
	a condition which is healthy and not deteriorating.
Ocean quahog (Arctica	Subject to natural change, be brought into favourable
islandica)	condition, and remain in such condition, such that:
	 the quality and extent of its habitat is stable or
	increasing; and
	 the population structure allows numbers to be
	maintained or increased.



Figure 7: Farnes East MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Not to be used for navigation. Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO, Natural England and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, MMO, Natural England and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. Figure 8: Farnes East MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.
3.3.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity within the site consists overwhelmingly of UK activity (99%). The minimal non-UK fishing activity that occurs is from Dutch twin otter trawlers. The majority of UK activity within the site is dredging, particularly via boat dredge, with some hand mechanised dredging. This activity occurs primarily in the western section of the site, inside of the 12 nm limit. The remainder of the UK activity within the site consists of demersal otter trawling, largely along the south-eastern edge of the site, outside of 12 nm, though sporadic trawling does occur within 12 nm.

3.3.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 7, moderate energy circalittoral rock occurs in small, isolated patches predominantly in the south of Farnes East MPA.

The VMS data (Figure 8) shows that bottom towed gear activity is taking place over this feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude that an interaction between bottom towed gear and the moderate energy circalittoral rock may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.4. Foreland MPA

3.4.1. Designated site location

Foreland MPA is a site covering an area of 244 km². It is located in the southern North Sea and English Channel, extending along the mid-channel between Kent and France (Figure 9).

It is a joint inshore and offshore site and fishing in the site is regulated by Kent and Essex IFCA (0 to 6 nm) and MMO (>6 nm) and its relevant SNCBs are Natural England (0 to 12 nm) and JNCC (>12 nm). There is no direct management of the MPA by Kent and Essex IFCA or MMO, however the following Kent and Essex IFCA byelaws may impact upon the use of bottom towed gear within the site:

- Vessel size and engine power byelaw prohibiting vessels greater than 17 metres (m) in length from fishing within the District and vessels with and engine power greater than 221 kilowatts (kW) (or 243 kW before derating) from using towed gear.
- **Dredging for mussels** restricting the methods by which fishers can dredge for mussels within a given area of the District.
- **Dredging for scallops** restricting the methods by which fishers can dredge for scallops within a given area of the District.
- Limitation on quantities and minimum size of mussels limiting the maximum fishing effort and minimum size a mussel can be removed from the fishery.
- **Small mesh trawl nets** giving the Authority the power to restrict the use of trawl nets below a certain mesh size.

More information on these byelaws can be found on <u>Kent and Essex IFCA's website</u>. MMO will continue to engage directly with IFCA's regarding recommended management measures nearby/adjacent to their areas of jurisdiction.

3.4.2. Designated features

Foreland MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in May 2019.

Foreland MPA contains a variety of different habitats ranging from subtidal sand to coarse sediments and rocky habitats which support a wide diversity of species. The site also includes deep water rock habitats subject to moderate to high wave energy or tidal currents. These are dominated by animal communities as there is insufficient sunlight for plant growth. The types of animals that thrive here include colourful sponges clinging to the rock and a dense 'carpet' of sea firs and cup corals, alongside anemones, and sea squirts. Commercially valuable crustaceans such as lobsters and crabs shelter within rocky crevices, and a range of fish species such as wrasse and topknots forage in this habitat. The features being assessed within this document are displayed in Table 6.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate and high energy circalittoral rock features. The remaining features, and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites. As this site has a mosaic of habitats in the southern area, this part of the site will be treated as the most sensitive feature.

Figure 9 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 6 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 6: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Foreland MPA
with the features currently assessed highlighted in green (Defra et al., 2019b).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Subtidal sand	Subject to natural change, remain in
	favourable condition (please see section
English Channel outburst flood features	2.2.5 for attribute information).
Subtidal coarse sediment	Subject to natural change, be brought
High energy circalittoral rock	into favourable condition, and remain in
Moderate energy circalittoral rock	such condition (please see section 2.2.5 for attribute information).



Figure 9: Foreland MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO, Natural England and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO, Natural England and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 10: Foreland MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation. Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.4.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity within the site is almost exclusively (99%) conducted by non-UK vessels. The majority of this fishing effort is from bottom otter trawling however demersal seining (particularly anchor seines) and beam trawling also occur. The small amount of UK bottom towed gear activity that does occur is via otter trawling and seining. Seining activity is concentrated primarily within the central and north-eastern section of the site, whilst trawling activity occurs throughout.

3.4.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 9, circalittoral rock in Foreland MPA occurs in relatively small, isolated areas generally within the south portion of the site.

The VMS data (Figure 10) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected rock features and given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.5. Goodwin Sands MPA

3.5.1. Designated site location

Goodwin Sands MPA is located off Sandwich Bay on the coast of Kent and is approximately 277 km² in area (Figure 11).

It is a joint inshore and offshore site and fishing in the site is regulated by Kent and Essex IFCA (0 to 6 nm) and MMO (>6 nm) and its relevant SNCB is Natural England (0 to 12 nm). There is no direct management of the MPA by Kent and Essex IFCA or MMO, however the following Kent and Essex IFCA byelaws may impact upon the use of bottom towed gear within the site:

- Vessel size and engine power byelaw prohibiting vessels greater than 17 m in length from fishing within the District and vessels with and engine power greater than 221 kW (or 243 kW before derating) from using towed gear.
- **Dredging for mussels** restricting the methods by which fishers can dredge for mussels within a given area of the District.
- **Dredging for scallops** restricting the methods by which fishers can dredge for scallops within a given area of the District.
- Limitation on quantities and minimum size of mussels limiting the maximum fishing effort and minimum size a mussel can be removed from the fishery.
- **Small mesh trawl nets** giving the Authority the power to restrict the use of trawl nets below a certain mesh size.

More information on these byelaws can be found on <u>Kent and Essex IFCA's website</u>. MMO will continue to engage directly with IFCA's regarding recommended management measures nearby/adjacent to their areas of jurisdiction.

3.5.2. Designated features

Goodwin Sands MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in May 2019.

The site contains a number of features, including moderate energy circalittoral rock and Ross worm (*S. spinulosa*) reefs.

Animal-dominated moderate energy circalittoral rock is found primarily on shaded vertical rock faces within the eastern and southern sections of the site. This feature supports a range of species including bryozoans, pink sea-fan, cup corals, anemones, soft corals, sponges, sea squirts and red algaes, as well as commercially important shellfish and fish. The distribution of *S. spinulosa* depends upon the underlying habitat and these species are often co-located with coarse sediment.

Subtidal sand and subtidal coarse sediments occur throughout the site, and the distribution of subtidal sand is particularly concentrated in the west of the site where it makes up the Goodwin Sands themselves. These subtidal sediments are home to a range of species including flatfish, polychaetes, and bivalve molluscs. In particular, blue mussels occur in the south of the site and are themselves a designated feature of the MPA. The site also includes designation for English Channel outburst flood features. These are evidence of a megaflood that occurred approximately 200,000 years ago leading to the separation of England from mainland Europe. The features being assessed within this document are displayed in Table 7.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate energy circalittoral rock and the Ross worm (*S. spinulosa*) reef features. For the purposes of this assessment, Ross worm (*S. spinulosa*) reef features will be treated in the same way as Annex I reef: biogenic features detailed throughout the assessment. The remaining features and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 11 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 7 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 7: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Goodwin Sands MPA with the features currently assessed highlighted in green (Defra et al., 2019c).

Designated	Conservation objective
feature	
English Channel outburst flood features	 Subject to natural change, remain in favourable condition, meaning that: its extent is stable or increasing; its structure and functions, its quality, and the
Subtidal coarse sediment	composition of its characteristic biological communities (including the diversity and abundance of species forming part of or inhabiting the habitat) are sufficient to ensure that its condition remains healthy and does not deteriorate;
Subtidal sand	 any temporary deterioration in condition of a habitat feature is to be disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery; and
Blue mussel beds*	 any alteration to that feature brought about entirely by natural processes is to be disregarded.
Moderate	Subject to natural change, be brought into favourable
energy	condition, meaning that:
circalittoral rock	 its extent is stable or increasing;
	 its structure and functions, its quality, and the
	composition of its characteristic biological communities (including the diversity and abundance of species
	forming part of or inhabiting the habitat) are sufficient to
Ross worm (<i>S. spinulosa</i>) reefs	ensure that its condition remains healthy and does not deteriorate;
	 any temporary deterioration in condition of a habitat feature is to be disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery; and
	 any alteration to that feature brought about entirely by natural processes is to be disregarded.

*Blue mussel beds were designated with a maintain general management approach, however the conservation advice package indicates that some attributes have restore/recover targets.



Figure 11: Goodwin Sands MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA MMO Reference: 10562

Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and Natural England Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMC Reference: 10562 Adata. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO, Natural England and Datum: ETRS 1989 MC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 12: Goodwin Sands MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.5.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that known bottom towed fishing gear activity occurs primarily in the eastern section of the site. Fishing activity within the site is attributed approximately equally to UK vessels (50%) and non-UK vessels (50%). The majority of all non-UK fishing activity within the site consists of bottom otter trawls (61%), and, to a lesser extent, demersal seines (11% Danish or anchor seines, 2% Scottish seines) and beam trawls (8%). Bottom towed gear used by UK vessels with VMS within the site include Danish or anchor seines, Scottish seines, and bottom otter trawls.

3.5.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 11, moderate energy circalittoral rock in Goodwin Sands MPA occurs in discrete areas located both within the northern and southern sections of the site. *S. spinulosa* reef occurs throughout the MMO section of the site, often in close proximity to moderate energy circalittoral rock.

The VMS data (Figure 12) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the moderate energy circalittoral rock and *S. spinulosa* reef features. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.6. Haig Fras MPA

3.6.1. Designated site location

Haig Fras MPA is located 95 km north-west of the Isles of Scilly, an isolated underwater granite rock outcrop in the Celtic Sea and protects an area of approximately 476 km² (Figure 13).

3.6.2. Designated features

Haig Fras MPA was formally designated as a special area of conservation in December 2015 due to the presence of Annex I reef: rocky habitat, specifically bedrock reef (consolidated rock which creates a habitat that can be colonised by different marine animals and plants).

It is the only recorded substantial area of rocky reef in the Celtic Sea beyond the coastal margin and inshore waters. It supports a variety of fauna ranging from jewel anemones and solitary corals near the peak of the outcrop, to encrusting sponges, crinoids, and Ross coral colonies towards the base of the rock (where boulders surround its edge). The area of reef feature within the site boundary is approximately 175 km². The rock type is granite, mostly smooth with occasional fissures, approximately 45 km long and in one area rises to a peak which lies just 38 m beneath the sea surface. The surrounding seabed is approximately 118 m deep, with small, dispersed patches of rocky outcropping within the surrounding circalittoral sand and coarse sediment.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the reef feature. Outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 13 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 8 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 8: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Haig Fras MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC., 2018a).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Reefs	 For the feature to be in favourable condition thus ensuring site integrity in the long term and contribution to Favourable Conservation Status of Annex I reefs. This contribution would be achieved by restoring, subject to natural change: the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitat in the site; the structure and function of the qualifying habitat in the site; and the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitat relies.



Figure 13: Haig Fras MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, MMO and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 14: Haig Fras MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Not to be used for navigation.

Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.6.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity in the site is almost exclusively conducted by non-UK vessels (99%) particularly France and Ireland. Bottom otter trawls are most prevalent however some limited seining, including Danish (or anchor) and pair seining, also occur. Bottom towed gear activity appears to occur throughout the site however most occurs in the narrow middle section of the site.

3.6.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 13, the vast majority of the Haig Fras MPA consists of Annex I reef: rocky. The VMS data (Figure 14) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO concludes that this interaction may result in an adverse effect on site integrity. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.7. Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA

3.7.1. Designated site location

The Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA lies off the north-east coast of Norfolk across the 6 nm limit and the 12 nm territorial sea limit. The site covers an area of approximately 1,468 km² (Figure 15).

It is a joint inshore and offshore site and fishing within the site is regulated by Eastern IFCA (0 to 6 nm) and MMO (>6 nm) and its relevant SNCBs are Natural England (0 to 12 nm) and JNCC (>12 nm).

Bottom towed fishing gear is already prohibited in some areas of the site via the Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton European Marine Site (Specified Areas) Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw¹¹.

There is no direct management of the MPA by Eastern IFCA, however the Eastern IFCA **Byelaw 3: molluscan shellfish methods of fishing** prohibits the use of fishing gear without authorisation from Eastern IFCA. Dredging activity anywhere in the District therefore requires prior consent from the Authority, which is only granted following an assessment of the environmental impact of the activity. More information on this byelaw can be found on <u>Eastern IFCA's website</u>. MMO will continue to engage directly with IFCAs regarding recommended management measures nearby/adjacent to their areas of jurisdiction.

¹¹ www.gov.uk/government/publications/haisborough-hammond-and-winterton-european-marine-sitespecified-areas-bottom-towed-fishing-gear-byelaw

3.7.2. Designated features

Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA was formally designated as a special area of conservation in September 2017 for the features 'sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time', and Annex I reef: biogenic (*S. spinulosa*).

Sandbanks within the site vary from almost breaching the sea surface, down to a maximum depth of 52 m. The site contains a mosaic of different physical habitats with different biological communities. The fauna of the sandbank crests and flanks is predominantly low diversity polychaete (cat worm) and amphipod (shrimp-like crustacean) communities which are typical of mobile sediment environments. The troughs contain more gravelly sediments and support diverse infaunal and epifaunal communities with occurrences of reefs of the tube-building ross worm *S. spinulosa*. Aggregations of *S. spinulosa* provide additional hard substrate for the development of rich epifaunal communities.

The site contains important breeding and nursery grounds for young commercially important fish, including sandeels, which are an important prey item for several bird species. The stabilisation of sediments by reef features lead to a biodiversity hotspot. This site is one of only 12 sites in the UK that contains *S. spinulosa* reef as a designated feature.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the reef feature. The remaining feature (Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time) and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 15 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 9 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 9: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (Natural England and JNCC, 2018).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	 For the feature to be in favourable condition thus ensuring site integrity in the long term and contribution to Favourable Conservation Status of Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time. This contribution would be achieved by restoring, subject to natural change: the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitat in the site; the structure and function of the qualifying habitat in the site; and the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitat relies.
Reefs	 For the feature to be in favourable condition thus ensuring site integrity in the long term and contribution to Favourable Conservation Status of Reefs. This contribution would be achieved by restoring, subject to natural change: the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitat in the site; the structure and function of the qualifying habitat in the site; and the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitat relies.



Figure 15: Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. Figure 16: Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area

Datum: ETRS 1989

MMO Reference: 10562

EA Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural al Area England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.7.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity within the site is conducted almost exclusively (99.6%) by non-UK vessels, with the majority of activity occurring in the section of the site beyond the 12 nm limit. Dutch beam trawlers are most prevalent however there has also been limited use of otter trawls by German, French and Belgian vessels and beam trawls by German and Belgian vessels.

3.7.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 15, known areas of Annex I reef: biogenic in Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton MPA are distributed unevenly across the site in discreet patches, with the majority of known reef feature situated in the southern portion of the site. However, it is worth noting that lack of evidence of reef features in the north of the site may not necessarily suggest absence of reef in that area, but potentially a lack of monitoring in the northern half of the site.

While some areas of reef are protected in the current MMO byelaw, newly found reef areas and Annex I reef: biogenic outside of 12 nm are not. The VMS data (Figure 16) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may lead to an adverse effect on site integrity. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.8. Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA

3.8.1. Designated site location

Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA is an inshore site on the north coast of Devon and Cornwall, in the south-west of England. It extends from the shoreline, covering 304 km² and reaching depths of 50 m (Figure 17).

It is a cross-boundary inshore and offshore site and fishing within the site is regulated by Cornwall IFCA and Devon and Severn IFCA (0 to 6 nm) and MMO (>6 nm). Its relevant SNCBs are Natural England (0 to 12 nm) and JNCC (>12 nm). There is no direct management of the MPA by MMO, Cornwall IFCA or by Devon and Severn IFCA, however the following byelaws may impact upon the use of bottom towed gear within the site:

- Cornwall IFCA **Methods of Fishing (Dredges) Byelaw** defining gear specifications and other conditions for the use of dredges for fishing;
- Cornwall IFCA Scallop Dredge (Limited Fishing Time) Byelaw limiting the fishing time for scallop dredging;
- Cornwall IFCA **Shellfish Boats** limiting the overall length of vessels used to fish for shellfish; and
- Cornwall IFCA **Trawling** limiting the overall power and length of vessels fishing using a trawl.
- Devon and Severn IFCA **Mobile Fishing Permit Byelaw** establishing a permit system across the Devon and Severn IFC District for the use of bottom

towed gear. Flexible permit conditions are associated with the byelaw containing gear and effort restrictions.

More information on these byelaws can be found on <u>Cornwall IFCA's</u> and <u>Devon and</u> <u>Severn IFCA's</u> respective websites. MMO will continue to engage directly with IFCA's regarding recommended management measures nearby/adjacent to their areas of jurisdiction.

3.8.2. Designated features

Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in January 2016.

The MPA protects a wide range of features from rocky habitat to soft sediment important both nationally and regionally to the MPA network. Most of the site contains rocky habitats in deeper waters (circalittoral rock) interspersed with sublittoral coarse sediments. The 0 to 6 nm portion of the site contains some of the finest reef-building tubeworm (honeycomb worm, S. alveolata) populations in Britain (Lieberknecht et al., 2011; Natural England, 2016). The MMO portion of the MPA (6 to 12 nm) contains an area of subtidal sand in the north-west but is mostly composed of subtidal coarse sediment and circalittoral rock. Large expanses of flat bed rock are present, either protruding through or covered by an overlaying layer of sediment of variable thickness. Not only does this make it difficult to differentiate between areas of rock and subtidal sediment across the site (Ware, 2016; Godsell, 2014; Green et al., 2016) but it also makes it a more ideal habitat for fragile sponges and anthozoan communities. Fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats, a habitat of conservation importance (HOCI), have been recorded in both IFCA and MMO portions of the site in association with the circalittoral rock, subtidal course sediment and subtidal sand habitats.

Fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats are of national importance and are listed as a UK priority habitat and habitat of principal importance under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006¹².

There are no records of pink sea-fan in the MMO portion of the site, but due to presence of this species within the IFCA portion of the site on the same habitats and similar depths as the MMO portion, this species has been considered in the impact pathway assessment (Table 10). The features assumed to be occurring within the MMO portion of the site are highlighted in green.

Although pink sea-fan are not included in Natural England's fisheries impact advice it is assumed, as pink sea-fan are similar to fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitat (HOCI), that impacts will be similar for both. Natural England and JNCC 'MCZ fisheries impact advice' also suggest the conservation objectives of fragile sponge and anthozoan communities on subtidal rocky habitats will not be met with unrestricted access by bottom towed gear (JNCC and Natural England, 2011).

¹² www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/contents

The pink sea-fan is of national and international importance. It is listed on the IUCN's Red List as 'Vulnerable', and on Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)¹³. It is a UK Priority Species and Species of Principal Importance under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006¹⁴, as well as a nationally scarce marine species. It is particularly vulnerable to bottom towed fishing activity as they grow very slowly in British waters, approximately 1 centimetre per year (Picton and Morrow, 2005).

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate and high energy circalittoral rock features. The remaining features and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites. Features located in the inshore portion of the site (0 to 6 nm) are outside the scope of MMO management and will be managed accordingly by the relevant IFCAs.

Figure 17 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 10 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Designated feature	Conservation objective
High energy circalittoral rock Moderate energy circalittoral rock	 Be brought into favourable condition, meaning that: its extent is stable or increasing; its structure and functions, its quality, and the composition of its characteristic biological communities (including diversity and abundance of species forming part or inhabiting the habitat) are sufficient to ensure that its condition remains healthy and does not deteriorate; and any temporary deterioration in condition is to be disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery.
Subtidal coarse	
sediment	
Subtidal sand	
Moderate energy infralittoral rock	 Maintained in favourable condition, meaning that: its extent is stable or increasing;
High energy infralittoral rock	 its structure and functions, its quality, and the composition of its characteristic biological communities (including
Coastal saltmarsh and saline reedbed (0 to 6 nm)	diversity and abundance of species forming part or inhabiting the habitat) are sufficient to ensure that its condition remains healthy and does not deteriorate; and
Low energy intertidal rock (0 to 6 nm)	

Table 10: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Hartland
Point to Tintagel MPA with the features currently assessed highlighted in
green (Natural England, 2022).

¹³ www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69/contents

¹⁴ www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/contents

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Moderate energy	 any temporary deterioration in condition is to be
intertidal rock (0 to 6	disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and
nm)	resilient to enable its recovery.
High energy intertidal	
rock (0 to 6 nm)	
Intertidal coarse	
sediment (0 to 6 nm)	
Intertidal sand and	
muddy sand (0 to 6 nm)	
Fragile sponge and	Be brought into favourable condition, meaning that:
anthozoan communities	its extent is stable or increasing;
on subtidal rocky habitats	 its structure and functions, its quality, and the composition of its characteristic biological communities (including diversity and abundance of species forming part or inhabiting the habitat) are sufficient to ensure that its condition remains healthy and does not deteriorate; and any temporary deterioration in condition is to be disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery.
Pink sea-fan (<i>E.</i>	Be brought into favourable condition and remain in such
verrucosa)	condition, meaning that, the population within a zone is
	supported in numbers which enable it to thrive, by maintaining:
	 the quality and quantity of its habitat;
	 the number, age, and sex ratio of its population;
	 any temporary reduction of numbers of a species is to be disregarded if the population is sufficiently thriving and resilient to enable its recovery; and any alteration to a feature brought about entirely by natural processes is to be disregarded when determining whether a protected feature is in favourable condition.
Honeycomb worm (S.	Maintained in favourable condition, meaning that:
alveolata) reef (0 to 6	 its extent is stable or increasing;
nm)	 its structure and functions, its quality, and the composition of its characteristic biological communities (including diversity and abundance of species forming part or inhabiting the habitat) are sufficient to ensure that its condition remains healthy and does not deteriorate; and any temporary deterioration in condition is to be disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery.

Figure 17: Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA location and designated feature distribution.



Date of Publication: 17/10/2022

Not to be used for navigation.

Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and Natural England data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO and Natural England copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. Figure 18: Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.8.3. Fishing activity

No VMS reports were recorded from 2016 to 2020. However, reviewing sightings data and expert opinion, trawling occurs in small amounts over a small part of MMO's portion of the MPA with 0 to 10 trips per year, when weather/tides force fishermen inshore (along the 6 nm limit). Low intensity demersal trawling is undertaken by a few, small inshore UK vessels targeting plaice, ray and cuttlefish using bottom otter and beam trawl from vessels based outside of the Cornwall IFC District. There are limited areas where towed gear can be used in the MPA. MMO's section of the site can be utilised under tides up to 7 m maximum and when appropriate weather conditions allow. No trawling currently occurs within Cornwall IFCA's section of the MPA (within 0 to 6 nm). One vessel less than 12 m in length, from the Devon and Severn IFC District, occasionally uses bottom towed gear in the MMO section of the MPA. Most landings for the area are from outside of the MPA. There is no evidence of EU vessels operating within the 6 to 12 nm section of the site.

3.8.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 17, there are significant areas of Hartland Point to Tintagel MPA that consist of circalittoral rock for which the site is designated.

Although VMS data (Figure 18) does not show bottom towed gear activity is taking place in the 6 to 12 nm portion of the site, sightings data and expert opinion suggest low levels of bottom towed gear fishing activity from under 12 m vessels occurs within the 6 to 12 nm portion of the site. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.9. Land's End and Cape Bank MPA

3.9.1. Designated site location

Land's End and Cape Bank MPA is found in the south-west of England and lies to the west of the Land's End peninsula. The site extends to almost 22 km from the coast and protects an area of approximately 302 km² (Figure 19).

Land's End and Cape Bank MPA is a joint inshore and offshore site regulated by Cornwall IFCA (0 to 6 nm) and MMO (>6 nm) and its relevant SNCBs are Natural England (0 to 12 nm) and JNCC (>12 nm). MMO is responsible for the management of the offshore 'Cape Bank' portion of the site.

Bottom towed gear is already prohibited in the majority of the Cape Bank portion of Land's End and Cape Bank MPA via the Lands End and Cape Bank European Marine Site (Specified Areas) Bottom Towed Gear Byelaw¹⁵.

¹⁵ www.gov.uk/government/publications/lands-end-and-cape-bank-european-marine-site-specifiedareas-bottom-towed-gear-byelaw

There is also direct management of the inshore Land's End section of the MPA by Cornwall IFCA via the Cornwall IFCA Closed Areas (European Marine Sites) No 2 Byelaw¹⁶ prohibiting the use of bottom towed fishing gear within a specified area. The following byelaws may impact upon the use of bottom towed gear within the rest of the site:

- Methods of Fishing (Dredges) Byelaw defining gear specifications and other conditions for the use of dredges for fishing;
- Scallop Dredge (Limited Fishing Time) Byelaw limiting the fishing time for scallop dredging;
- Shellfish Boats limiting the overall length of vessels used to fish for shellfish; and
- **Trawling** limiting the power and overall length of vessels fishing using a trawl.

More information on these byelaws can be found on <u>Cornwall IFCA's website</u>. MMO will continue to engage directly with IFCA's regarding recommended management measures nearby/adjacent to their areas of jurisdiction.

3.9.2. Designated features

The site was designated in 2017 to protect Annex I reef: rocky features, including the sub-feature circalittoral rock.

The Cape Bank portion of Land's End and Cape Bank MPA overlaps the larger Cape Bank MPA (Figure 19) which protects subtidal coarse sediment as well as moderate energy circalittoral rock, which can be treated as a sub-feature of the Annex I reef: rocky protected in the Land's End and Cape Bank MPA.

The reefs within the Land's End and Cape Bank MPA are completely submerged features composed almost entirely of granite. Their south westerly position on the British coast means they are exposed to strong tidal currents and oceanic swells coming in from the Atlantic Ocean. The Cape Bank region of the site is found in a fully marine environment with no major land-based sources of freshwater run-off. The reef within the Cape Bank portion of the site is of particular conservation interest due to its highly biodiverse tide-swept communities including sponge, faunal and algal turf, and crustose communities.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the reef feature. Outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 19 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 11 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

¹⁶<u>secure.toolkitfiles.co.uk/clients/17099/sitedata/Byelaws%20and%20orders/Cornwall_SFC/Closed-Areas-EMS-byelaw-No-2.pdf</u>

Table 11: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Land's End and Cape Bank MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (Natural England, 2018).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Reefs	Maintained or restored to favourable condition (please
	see section 2.2.5 for attribute information).

Figure 19: Land's End and Cape Bank MPA location and designated feature distribution.



Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and Natural England data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO, Natural England copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 20: Land's End and Cape Bank MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.

Image: Marine Management OrganisationLand's End and Cape BankMarine Protected AreaBottom Towed Gear VMS Activity: 2016-2020



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.9.3. Fishing activity

The majority of the Cape Bank section of Land's End and Cape Bank MPA is already protected via the MMO Lands End and Cape Bank European Marine Site (Specified Areas) Bottom Towed Gear Byelaw¹⁷ prohibiting bottom towed fishing gear activity. However, VMS records show evidence of bottom towed gear activity in the site from both UK and non-UK vessels. MMO marine officers advise these are likely to be false fishing records owing to vessels travelling at slower speeds (and therefore falsely considered to be fishing) due to vessels travelling against strong tidal movements in the area or to time their arrival into local ports with sufficient tide to allow entry and/or the allotted time provided by harbourmasters.

3.9.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 19, the vast majority of the Cape Bank portion of Land's End and Cape Bank MPA consists of circalittoral rock which makes up the Annex I reef: rocky feature for which the site is designated.

The VMS data (Figure 20) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature and given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may result in an adverse effect on site integrity. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction. The management measures for the larger Cape Bank MPA will also cover the entirety of the MMO section of the Land's End and Cape Bank MPA.

3.10. North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA

3.10.1. Designated site location

North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA is located in the southern North Sea covering an area of 3,603 km² (Figure 21).

3.10.2. Designated features

North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA was formally designated as a special area of conservation in September 2017.

The MPA includes a series of ten main sandbanks and associated fragmented smaller banks, as a result of tidal processes, as well as several isolated patches of the Annex I reef: biogenic (*S. spinulosa*) feature within several distinct clusters across the site. A mosaic of these areas of the site will be treated as this feature. The sandbanks are the most extensive example of the offshore linear ridge sandbank type in UK waters and the site is viewed as one integrated sandbank system. The banks support communities of invertebrates which are typical of sandy

¹⁷ www.gov.uk/government/publications/lands-end-and-cape-bank-european-marine-site-specifiedareas-bottom-towed-gear-byelaw

sediments in the southern North Sea such as polychaete worms, isopods, crabs, and starfish.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the reef feature. The remaining features (Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time) and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 21 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 12 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features. MMO has recently received additional data regarding the extent and distribution of the area to be managed as Annex I reef within the site and has incorporated this into the feature map for the MPA.

Table 12: Conservation objectives for designated features of the North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC, 2017).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	 For the feature to be in favourable condition thus ensuring site integrity in the long term and contribution to Favourable Conservation Status of Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time. This contribution would be achieved by restoring, subject to natural change: the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitat in the site; the structure and function of the qualifying habitat in the site; and the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitat relies.
Reefs	 For the feature to be in favourable condition thus ensuring site integrity in the long term and contribution to Favourable Conservation Status of Reefs. This contribution would be achieved by restoring, subject to natural change: the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitat in the site; the structure and function of the qualifying habitat in the site; and the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitat relies.

Figure 21: North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA location and designated feature distribution.



Date of Publication: 17/10/2022

Not to be used for navigation.

Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and JNCC data. © Collins Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 MMO Reference: 10562 MMO Reference: 10562

Figure 22: North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Not to be used for navigation.

Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.10.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity within the site is conducted predominantly by non-UK vessels (97%), particularly Dutch beam trawlers. There is also a small amount of beam trawling occurring from UK vessels. Otter trawling also occurs within the site at a much lower level, primarily from non-UK vessels. There are also isolated incidences of seining from non-UK vessels. Activity is concentrated primarily within the south-eastern section of the site, alongside some activity in the centre and the north of the site.

3.10.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 21, Annex I reef: biogenic in North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef MPA occurs in discreet areas within the site. The VMS data (Figure 22) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature and given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may lead to an adverse effect on site integrity. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.11. Offshore Brighton MPA

3.11.1. Designated site location

Offshore Brighton MPA is located 45 km offshore, south of Selsey Bill, West Sussex in deep water of the mid-eastern English Channel due south of Brighton covering 861 km². South-eastern and south-western corners meet a median line with French waters (Figure 23).

3.11.2. Designated features

Offshore Brighton MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in January 2016.

The site addresses an important national site network gap for circalittoral rock in the depth range 75 to 200 m. This feature is present within a mosaic of habitats across the north and western portion of the site so this area of the site will be treated as this feature.

Offshore Brighton MPA is made up of predominantly coarse sands and gravel with areas of exposed bedrock and mixed sediments. The deep-water rocks are dominated by animal communities but not plants due to the lack of sunlight. The animal communities include colourful sponges, a dense 'carpet' of sea firs, and the soft coral dead men's fingers on rocky outcrops. Hydroids, bryozoans, and sponges colonise the boulders and cobbles, where hermit crabs and starfish also thrive. The site also includes coarse sediment supporting burying animals including shrimp-like creatures, burrowing anemones, carpet shell clams and Venus cockle. The site also partially contains the Northern Paleovalley, a submerged ancient river system.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the high energy circalittoral rock feature. The remaining features and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 23 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 13 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 13: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Offshore
Brighton MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC,
2018b).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
High energy	Be brought into favourable condition, and remain in such
circalittoral rock	condition, meaning that:
	 the extent is stable or increasing;
	 the structures and functions, quality, and the
	composition of characteristic biological communities
Subtidal aparas	(which includes a reference to the diversity and
Subtidal coarse sediment	abundance of species forming part of or inhabiting
Sediment	each habitat) are such as to ensure that they remain
	in a condition which is healthy and not deteriorating;
	 any temporary deterioration in condition is to be
Subtidal mixed	disregarded if the habitats are sufficiently healthy
sediment	and resilient to enable its recovery; and
Seumeni	 any alteration to the features brought about entirely
	by natural processes is to be disregarded.



Figure 23: Offshore Brighton MPA location and designated feature distribution.

Offshore Brighton Marine Protected Area

Date of Publication: 31/08/2023 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Marine

Not to be used for navigation. Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO, and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, MMO and JNCC copyright and database right 2023. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.
Figure 24: Offshore Brighton MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.

200	Offshore Brighton
Marine Management Organisation	Marine Protected Area Bottom Towed Gear VMS Activity: 2016-2020



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.11.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity within the site consists mainly of non-UK activity (97%). The majority of the non-UK activity is from French vessels using mostly otter trawls followed by dredges and then demersal seines. The limited fishing activity from UK vessels is split evenly between dredging and seining. Bottom towed gear activity occurs throughout the site.

3.11.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 23, significant areas of circalittoral rock occur in the central and western portions of the site. The VMS data (Figure 24) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected rock features. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features MMO conclude this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.12. South of Celtic Deep MPA

3.12.1. Designated site location

Celtic Deep MPA is an offshore site located off the north coast of Cornwall, in the Western Channel and Celtic Sea. It covers an area of approximately 278 km². The site varies in depth between 50 and 100 m, with two small areas dipping below 100 m (Figure 25).

3.12.2. Designated features

South of Celtic Deep MPA was formally designated as a marine conservation zone in May 2019.

The site supports a variety of habitats ranging from rocky to sandy habitats. The depth of water across the site means that the amount of light reaching the seabed can be restricted, resulting in limited amounts of plant life and a seabed dominated by animal communities. The seabed is characterised by subtidal coarse sediment and subtidal sand, with small areas of subtidal mixed sediments and moderate energy circalittoral rock. The moderate energy circalittoral rock feature is present within a mosaic of habitats in the centre of the site so this area of the site will be treated as the circalittoral rock feature. The seabed is highly heterogenous and this variety of habitats allows a range of species to thrive, such as starfish and haddock. The varied nature of the seabed means it could support a wide range of animals such as worms, bivalves, starfish, anemones, sea firs and sea urchins. This site makes an important contribution towards achieving the network targets for subtidal coarse sediment and subtidal sand. The site also increases the connectivity of sediment habitats protected within surrounding MPAs.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the moderate energy circalittoral rock features. The remaining features and outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 25 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 14 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 14: Conservation objectives for designated features of the South of Celtic Deep MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC, 2021b).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Subtidal coarse sediment	Be brought into favourable condition, and remain in such condition, meaning that –
	 its extent is stable or increasing;
Subtidal sand	 its structures and functions, its quality, and the composition of its characteristic biological communities (which includes a reference to the diversity and abundance of species forming part of or inhabiting that habitat) are such as to ensure that it
Subtidal mixed	remains in a condition which is healthy and not deteriorating;
sediments	 any temporary deterioration in condition is to be disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery; and
	 any alteration to that feature brought about entirely by
	natural processes is to be disregarded.
Moderate energy circalittoral rock	Remain in favourable condition, meaning that –
Circailloral TOCK	 its extent is stable or increasing;
	 its structures and functions, its quality, and the composition of its characteristic biological
	communities (which includes a reference to the
	diversity and abundance of species forming part of or
	inhabiting that habitat) are such as to ensure that it
	remains in a condition which is healthy and not deteriorating;
	 any temporary deterioration in condition is to be
	disregarded if the habitat is sufficiently healthy and resilient to enable its recovery; and
	 any alteration to that feature brought about entirely by natural processes is to be disregarded.

Figure 25: South of Celtic Deep MPA location and designated feature distribution.



Subtidal mixed sediments (A5.4)

Not to be used for navigation.

Date of Publication: 17/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, MMO and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Figure 26: South of Celtic Deep MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.12.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show bottom towed gear activity in the site mainly consists of non-UK vessels (83%) particularly Irish beam trawlers. However, some bottom otter trawling and dredging activity by non-UK vessels also occurs. UK vessels are active in the site with beam trawls the gear of choice, but this is in considerably lower intensities than that of EU fishing vessels.

3.12.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 25, circalittoral rock in South of Celtic Deep MPA occurs in small areas within the centre of the site. The VMS data (Figure 26) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features MMO conclude this interaction may lead to a significant risk of hindering the conservation objectives of the site. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

3.13. Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA

3.13.1. Designated site location

Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA is an area of bedrock and stony reef located in the central English Channel, between St Catherine's point on the Isle of Wight and Barfleur Point on the Cotentin Peninsula in northern France. The site is approximately 65 km long (east to west) and up to 26 km wide (Figure 27).

3.13.2. Designated features

Wight-Barfleur MPA was formally designated as a special area of conservation in September 2017.

The MPA's Annex I reef: rocky habitats comprise of both bedrock reef (consolidated rock) and stony reef (cobbles and boulders) that support a diverse range of wildlife including sponges, tube worms, anemones, and sea squirts. The south-eastern area of the site contains part of a large geological feature known as a palaeochannel, which forms a major channel running roughly in a north-east to south-west direction across the English Channel.

This assessment considers the interaction between bottom towed fishing gears and the reef feature. Outstanding fishing gear interactions for this site will be assessed in the next stage of assessments, along with the remaining MMO-led sites, referred to as Stage 3 sites.

Figure 27 shows the distribution of designated features within the MPA. Table 15 shows the designated features of the MPA and related conservation objectives for the individual features.

Table 15: Conservation objectives for designated features of the Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA with the feature currently assessed highlighted in green (JNCC, 2018c).

Designated feature	Conservation objective
Reefs	For the feature to be in favourable condition thus ensuring site integrity in the long term and contribution to Favourable Conservation Status of Reefs. This contribution would be achieved by restoring, subject to natural change:
	 the extent and distribution of the qualifying habitat in the site; the structure and function of the qualifying habitat in the site; and the supporting processes on which the qualifying habitat relies.

Figure 27: Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA location and designated feature distribution.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562 Not to be used for navigation.

Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, MMO and JNCC data. © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, MMO and JNCC copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. Figure 28: Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA VMS activity from bottom towed gear from 2016 to 2020.



Date of Publication: 18/10/2022 Coordinate System: ETRS 1989 LAEA Projection: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area Datum: ETRS 1989 MMO Reference: 10562

Not to be used for navigation. Contains Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UK Hydrographic Office, Natural

England, JNCC and MMO data © Collins Bartholomew, Ordnance Survey, UKHO, Natural England, JNCC and MMO copyright and database right 2022. © ICES Statistical Rectangles dataset 2015. ICES, Copenhagen. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

3.13.3. Fishing activity

VMS records show that bottom towed gear activity within the site is almost exclusively (99%) conducted by non-UK vessels. French dredgers and bottom otter trawlers are most prevalent with some limited seining activity from other non-UK fishing vessels. The limited UK bottom towed gear activity that does occur is also via dredge and bottom otter trawl gears. Demersal trawling activity is concentrated primarily around the edges of the site, with a low level of activity in the centre of the site, whilst dredging activity occurs throughout the site, but mainly in the eastern section.

3.13.4. Fisheries impact assessment conclusion

As detailed in Figure 27, the vast majority of the Wight-Barfleur Reef MPA consists of Annex I reef: rocky. The VMS data (Figure 28) shows bottom towed gear activity is taking place over the protected reef feature. Given the evidence detailed previously (section 2.3) regarding the impact of bottom towed gear on rock and reef features, MMO conclude this interaction may result in an adverse effect on site integrity. Therefore management has been implemented via the Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023 to remove the interaction.

4. Conclusion

The evidence considered in section 2.3 of this assessment indicates that bottom towed fishing has the potential to have significant impacts on rock, reef and related features in the 13 MPAs considered. In particular these impacts are a result of physical impacts, such as abrasion.

Combined with consideration of the level of bottom towed fishing over the features assessed at each MPA (section 3), it is not possible to exclude significant negative impacts on the conservation objectives of those MPAs at this stage.

The management measure for highly sensitive gear-feature interactions within Stage 2 MPAs has been selected from the following four options:

- **Option 0:** Do nothing.
- **Option 1:** No statutory restrictions. Introduce a voluntary agreement.
- **Option 2:** Removal of pressures from specified areas of designated feature via prohibition of bottom towed fishing. This may include a whole site prohibition where sensitive designated features are distributed throughout the whole site.
- **Option 3:** Removal of pressures via a whole site prohibition across all sites. The use of bottom towed gear will be prohibited throughout the MMO section of all sites considered in this assessment.

Options 0 and 1 are not sufficient to deliver the required level of protection from the use of bottom towed fishing gear for the highly sensitive features of the MPAs considered in this assessment.

Option 3 would also remove the impact of bottom towed gear from the highly sensitive designated features of the MPAs, however as this would also close areas of the sites where bottom towed fishing is not impacting rock or reef features, this is not considered a proportionate approach to management. Interactions between bottom towed fishing gear and other designated features will be assessed and appropriate management implemented at a later stage.

Therefore, Option 2 is the preferred option. This option will conserve the site's marine habitats and fauna and further the conservation objectives of the MPAs, whilst allowing bottom towed fishing activities to take place in areas of the site where highly sensitive gear feature interactions do not occur.

Management measures are implemented through statutory regulation to ensure adequate protection is achieved and that MMO fulfils duties under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017¹⁸, the Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017¹⁹, and Section 125 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009²⁰.

¹⁸ www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1012/regulation/9/made

¹⁹ www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1013/regulation/6/made

²⁰ https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/23/section/125

Following the call for evidence stage from May to July 2022 and formal consultation stage from January to March 2023, in accordance with Option 2 MMO has developed the "Marine Protected Areas Bottom Towed Fishing Gear Byelaw 2023" which sets out spatial prohibitions on the use of bottom towed gear within the 13 MPAs considered in this assessment. Where highly sensitive designated features are distributed throughout an MPA, the use of bottom towed gear is prohibited throughout the entirely of the MPA. Where the highly sensitive designated feature occurs in discrete areas of the MPA, the use of bottom towed gear is prohibited over the extent of the feature. In both cases, a depth based minimum buffer has been applied from the edge of the feature in order to ensure that the use of bottom towed gear adjacent to the designated features do not negatively impact them.

5. Review of this assessment

MMO will review this assessment, or a selection of the above sites, every five years or earlier if significant new information is received. Such information could include:

- updated conservation advice;
- updated gear/feature impact evidence;
- updated advice on the condition of the features;
- considerable change in activity levels; or
- change in site management measures by another fisheries regulator.

6. References

Ashley, M., Rees, S.E. and Cameron, A. (2018). North Devon Marine Pioneer Part 1: State of the art report of the links between ecosystem and ecosystem services in the North Devon Marine Pioneer. A report to WWF-UK by research staff at the Marine Institute at Plymouth University. Available online at

https://www.northdevonbiosphere.org.uk/uploads/1/5/4/4/15448192/5.b_report_1_lin ks_between_the_ecosystem_2c_ecosystem_services_and_stakeholders_in_ndmp.p_ df., accessed 5 July 2021.

Attrill, M. J., Austen, M. C., Bayley, D. T. I., Carr, H. L., Downey, K., Fowell, S. C., Gall, S. C., Hattam, C., Holland, L., Jackson, E. L., Langmead, O., Mangi, S., Marshall, C., Munro, C., Rees, S., Rodwell, L., Sheehan, E. V., Stevens, J., Stevens, T. F. and Strong, S. (2011). Lyme Bay – a case-study: measuring recovery of benthic species; assessing potential "spillover" effects and socio-economic changes, 2 years after the closure. Response of the benthos to the zoned exclusion of bottom towed fishing gear and the associated socio-economic effects in Lyme Bay. Final Report 1. Report to the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs from the University of Plymouth-led consortium. Plymouth: University of Plymouth Enterprise Ltd.

Attrill M. J., Austen M. C., Cousens S. L., Gall S. C., Hattam C., Mangi S., Rees A., Rees S., Rodwell L. D., Sheehan E. V. and Stevens, T. F. (2012). Lyme Bay – a case-study: measuring recovery of benthic species; assessing potential "spillover" effects and socio-economic changes, three years after the closure. Report 1: Response of the benthos to the zoned exclusion of bottom towed fishing gear in Lyme Bay, March 2012. Report to the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs from the University of Plymouth-led consortium. Plymouth: University of Plymouth Enterprise Ltd.

Boulcott, P. and Howell, T.R. (2011). The impact of scallop dredging on rocky-reef substrata. Fisheries Research, 110(3), pp: 415-420.

Brown, A. E., Burn, A. J., Hopkins, J. J. and Way, S. F. (1997). The habitats directive: selection of Special Areas of Conservation in the UK. JNCC Report No. 270. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee. Available online at https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/5d20b480-9cc1-490f-9599-da6003928434/JNCC-Report-270-scan-web.pdf., accessed 16 June 2021.

Collie, J. S., Hall, S. J., Kaiser, M. J. and Poiner, I. R. (2000). A quantitative analysis of fishing impacts on shelf-sea benthos. Journal of Animal Ecology, 69(5), pp: 785-798.

Connor, D.W., Allen, J.H., Golding, N., Howell, K.L., Lieberknecht, L.M., Northen, K.O. and Reker, J.B. (2022). The Marine Habitat Classification for Britain and Ireland Version 04.05. In: JNCC (Ed). The Marine Habitat Classification for Britain and Ireland Version 22.04. Available online at www.jncc.gov.uk/MarineHabitatClassification

Cunningham, P., Hawkins, S., Jones, H. and Burrows, M. (1984). The geographical distribution of *Sabellaria alveolata* (L.) in England, Wales and Scotland, with investigations into the community structure of, and the effects of trampling on *Sabellaria alveolata* colonies. Report to the Nature Conservancy Council. Manchester: Department of Zoology, Manchester University.

Defra (2014). Fisheries in European marine sites: *Sabellaria spp.* reef. The Fisheries in European Marine Sites Implementation Group Matrix. Available online at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attach ment_data/file/310819/sabellaria.pdf, accessed on 31 October 2022.

Defra (2014a). Fisheries in European marine sites: Subtidal bedrock reef including chalk and subtidal cobble and boulder reef. The Fisheries in European Marine Sites Implementation Group Matrix. Available online at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attach ment_data/file/310821/subtidalbedrock.pdf, accessed on 31 October 2022.

Defra (2016). Cape Bank rMCZ Post-survey Site Report. Available online at <u>http://randd.defra.gov.uk/Document.aspx?Document=14048_CapeBankrMCZSumm</u> arySiteReport_V2.pdf, accessed May 2019.

Defra (2019). Marine strategy part one: UK updated assessment and Good Environmental Status. Available online at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-strategy-part-one-uk-updated-assessment-and-good-environmental-status.</u> Accessed 05 July 2021.

Defra, JNCC and Natural England (2019a). Marine Conservation Zones designations: Cape Bank. Available online at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-conservation-zones-cape-bank</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

Defra, JNCC and Natural England (2019b). Marine Conservation Zones designations: Foreland. Available online at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-conservation-zones-foreland</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

Defra, JNCC and Natural England (2019c). Marine Conservation Zones designations: Goodwin Sands. Available online at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-conservation-zones-goodwin-</u> <u>sands</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

Eggleton, J and Archer-Rand, S. (2016). Cape Bank rMCZ Post-survey Site Report. Report number 54. Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science.

Engel, J. and Kvitek, R. (1998). Effects of otter trawling on a benthic community in Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary. Conservation Biology, 12(6), pp: 1204-1214.

Eno, N. C., Frid, D. L. J., Hall, K., Ramsay, K., Sharp, R. A. M., Brazier, D. P., Hearn, S., Dernie, K. M., Robinson, K. A., Paramore, O. A. L. and Robinson, L.A. (2013).

Assessing the sensitivity of habitats to fishing: from seabed maps to sensitivity maps. Journal of Fish Biology, 83(4), pp: 826-846.

Fariñas-Franco, J. M., Pearce, B., Porter, J., Harries, D., Mair, J. M., Woolmer, A. S. and Sanderson, W.G. (2014). Marine Strategy Framework Directive Indicators for Biogenic Reefs formed by *Modiolus modiolus, Mytilus edulis* and *Sabellaria spinulosa*. Part 1: Defining and validating the indicators. Peterborough: JNCC. Available online at https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/82ff709f-56ff-4850-bdbf-2a3b63fc8cdc., accessed 16 June 2021.

Fletcher, S., Saunders, J., Herbert, R., Roberts, C. and Dawson, K. (2012). Description of the ecosystem services provided by broad-scale habitats and features of conservation importance that are likely to be protected by Marine Protected Areas in the Marine Conservation Zone Project area. Natural England Commissioned Reports, Number 088.

Fontana, V., Radtke, A., Bossi Fedrigotti., V., Tappeiner, U., Tasser, E., Zerbe, S. and Buchholz, T. (2013). Comparing land-use alternatives: Using the ecosystem services concept to define a multi-criteria decision analysis. Ecological Economics, 93, pp: 128-136.

Freese, L., Auster, P.J., Heifetz, J. and Wing, B.L. (1999). Effects of trawling on seafloor habitat and associated invertebrate taxa in the Gulf of Alaska. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 182, pp: 119–126.

Green, S., Cooper, R. and Dove, D. (2016). Hartland Point to Tintagel rMCZ Postsurvey Site Report. Report Number 25. Defra.

Gibb, N., Tillin, H., Pearce, B. and Tyler-Walters, H. (2014). Assessing the sensitivity of *Sabellaria spinulosa* reef biotopes to pressures associated with marine activities. Peterborough: JNCC. pp: 67. Available online at http://plymsea.ac.uk/id/eprint/6511/1/JNCC_Report_504_web.pdf.

Godsell, N. (2014). Hartland Point to Tintagel rMCZ (Inshore) Survey Report. Environment Agency.

Goodwin, C., Edwards H., Breen, J. and Picton, B. (2011). Rathlin Island - A Survey Report from the Nationally Important Marine Features Project 2009-2011. Northern Ireland Environment Agency Research and Development Series, no. 11/03.

Grieve, C., Brady, D. and Polet, H. (2014). Review of habitat dependent impacts of mobile and static fishing gears that interact with the seabed. Marine Stewardship Council Science Series, 2, pp: 18-88.

Hall, K., Paramour, O. A. L., Robinson, L. A., Winrow-Giffin, A., Frid, C. L. J., Eno, N. C., Dernie, K. M., Sharp, R. A. M., Wyn, G. C. and Ramsay, K. (2008). Mapping the sensitivity of benthic habitats to fishing in Welsh waters - development of a protocol CCW (Policy Research) Report No: 8/12 Bangor: Countryside Council for Wales (CCW). pp: 85

Hall-Spencer, J., Allain, V. and Fossa, J. H. (2002). Trawling damage to Northeast Atlantic ancient coral reefs. Proceedings of the Royal Society, London B, 269, pp: 507–511.

Hartnoll, R.G. (1998). Circalittoral faunal turf biotopes: an overview of dynamics and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. Oban, Scotland: Scottish Association of Marine Sciences (UK Marine SAC Project). pp: 109 Available online at <u>CFTTEXT3.PDF (marinebiodiversity.org)</u> accessed 17 June 2021.

Her Majesty's (HM) Government (2018). A Green Future: Our 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment. Available online at <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attach</u> <u>ment_data/file/693158/25-year-environment-plan.pdf</u>, accessed July 2021.

Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Kaiser, M. J., Queirós, A. M., Duplisea, D. E. and Piet, G. J. (2006). Cumulative impacts of seabed trawl disturbance on benthic biomass, production, and species richness in different habitats. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 63(4), pp: 721-736.

Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Sciberras, M., Bolam, S. G., Cambiè, G., McConnaughey, R. A., Mazor, T., Hilborn, R., Collie, J. S., Pitcher, C. R. and Parma, A. M. (2019). Assessing bottom trawling impacts based on the longevity of benthic invertebrates. Journal of Applied Ecology, 56(5), pp: 1075-1084.

Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Sciberras, M., Szostek, C. L., Hughes, K. M., Ellis, N., Rijnsdorp, A. D., McConnaughey, R. A., Mazor, T., Hilborn, R. and Collie, J.S. (2017). Global analysis of depletion and recovery of seabed biota after bottom trawling disturbance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(31), pp: 8301-8306.

Hinz, H., Tarrant, D., Ridgeway, A., Kaiser, M. J. and Hiddink, J. G. (2011). Effects of scallop dredging on temperate reef fauna. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 432, pp: 91-102.

Holt, T. J., Rees, E. I., Hawkins, S. J. and Seed R. (1998). Biogenic Reefs (volume IX). An overview of dynamic and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. Scottish Association for Marine Science (UK Marine SACs Project). pp: 170. Available online at

http://ukmpa.marinebiodiversity.org/pdf/Detailed_Marine_Communities_Reports/biog reef.pdf., accessed 16 June 2021.

Howarth, L. M. and Stewart, B. D. (2014). The dredge fishery for scallops in the United Kingdom (UK): effects on marine ecosystems and proposals for future management. Marine Ecosystem Management Report. York: University of York. <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/79233/1/Howarth_and_Stewart_2014_Ecosystem_eff</u>ects_management_of_UK_scallop_fisheries.pdf., accessed 17 June 2021.

Jensen, M. M., Thamdrup, B., Rysgaard, S., Holmer, M. and Fossing, H. (2003). Rates and regulation of microbial iron reduction in sediments of the Baltic-North Sea transition. Biogeochemistry, 65, pp: 295-317. JNCC (2017). Conservation objectives for North Norfolk Sandbanks and Saturn Reef Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Available online at <u>https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/d4c43bd4-a38d-439e-a93f-95d29636cb17#NNSSR-2-</u> <u>Conservation-Objectives-v1.0.pdf</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

JNCC (2018). JNCC's Glossary of Terms for Conservation Advice v1.0. Available online at <u>https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/c965d0a4-917d-48bd-b2cb-6549fa24455d/JNCC-ConservationAdvice-GlossaryOfTerms-2018.pdf</u>,accessed on 31 October 2022.

JNCC (2018a). Conservation objectives for Haig Fras Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Available online at <u>https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/71ba901a-8ab5-4107-aa24-99f6969877d1#HaigFras-2-ConservationObjectives-v1.0.pdf</u>,accessed on 24 October 2022.

JNCC (2018b). Conservation objectives for Offshore Brighton Marine Conservation Zone. Available online at <u>https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/c8852181-a0ab-4266-bcf6-62d44061a170#OffshoreBrighton-2-ConservationObjectives-V1.0.pdf</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

JNCC (2018c). Conservation objectives for Wight-Barfleur Reef Special Area of Conservation. Available online at <u>https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/11c55f61-4aa7-4665-a95b-0a552ccccd62#WBR-2-ConservationObjectives-V1.0.pdf</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

JNCC (2019). Marine, coastal and halophytic habitats: 1170 Reefs. Available online at <u>https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/habitat/H1170/</u> accessed on 31 October 2022.

JNCC (2019a). Methods report: method for creating version 8 of the UK Composite Map of Annex I Reefs. Available online at <u>https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/marine-habitats-data-product-habitats-directive-annex-i-marine-habitats/</u> accessed 25 June 2021.

JNCC (2021a). Conservation objectives for East of Haig Fras Marine Conservation Zone. Available online at https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/aea3f991-28c1-4201-b99c-8f6fca7af930#EHF-2-ConservationObjectives-V2.0.pdf, accessed on 25 August 2022.

JNCC (2021b). Conservation objectives for South of Celtic Deep Marine Conservation Zone. Available online at <u>https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/136727b6-5fb7-4a08-94bc-b6de37aecb19#SouthOfCelticDeep-ConservationObjectives-V1.0.pdf</u>, accessed on 24 October 2022.

JNCC (2021c). JNCC Conservation Advice: Farnes East MCZ. Available online at <u>https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/farnes-east-mpa</u>, accessed on 25 August 2022.

JNCC and Natural England (2010). Marine Conservation Zone Project – Ecological Network Guidance. Available online at <u>https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/94f961af-0bfc-4787-92d7-0c3bcf0fd083</u>, accessed on 31 October 2022.

JNCC and Natural England (2011). Advice from the Joint Nature Conservation Committee and Natural England with regard to fisheries impacts on Marine Conservation Zone habitat features. Available online at <u>http://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/e94680ee-de2e-4ea0-8e65-b86b12893ae0/MCZs-and-fisheries-2011.pdf</u>

JNCC and Natural England (2013). Inner Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge candidate Special Area of Conservation. Formal advice under Regulation 35(3) of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended), and Regulation 18 of the Offshore Marine Conservation Regulations (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007 (as amended). Available online at https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/inner-dowsing-race-bank-and-north-ridge/#conservation-advice, accessed 18 June 2021.

Jones, L. A., Hiscock, K. and Connor, D. W. (2000). Marine habitat reviews. A summary of ecological requirements and sensitivity characteristics for the conservation and management of marine SACs. UK Marine SACs Project report. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Kaiser, M. J., Clarke, K. R., Hinz, H., Austen, M. C. V., Somerfield, P. J. and Karakassis, I. (2006). Global analysis of response and recovery of benthic biota to fishing. Marine Ecology Press Series, 311, pp: 1616-1599.

Kaiser, M. J., Hormbrey, S., Booth, J. R., Hinz, H. and Hiddink, J. G. (2018). Recovery linked to life history of sessile epifauna following exclusion of towed mobile fishing gear. Journal of Applied Ecology, 55(3), pp: 1060-1070.

Last, K., Hendrick, V., Sotheran, I., Foster-Smith, B., Foster-Smith, D. and Hutchison, Z. (2012). Assessing the Impacts of Shrimp Fishing on *Sabellaria spinulosa* Reef and Associated Biodiversity in the Wash and North Norfolk SAC, Inner Dowsing Race Bank North Ridge SAC and Surrounding Areas. Report for Natural England.

Lieberknecht, L. M., Hooper, T. E. J., Mullier, T. M., Murphy, A., Neilly, M., Carr, H., Haines, R., Lewin, S. and Hughes, E. (2011). Finding Sanctuary. Final report and recommendations. Finding Sanctuary Stakeholder Project.

Løkkeborg, S. (2005). Impacts of trawling and scallop dredging on benthic habitats and communities. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, No. 472. Rome: FAO. pp: 58.

MALSF (Marine Aggregate Levy Sustainability Fund). (2011). East Coast REC *Sabellaria spinosa* in Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton. Available online at [GB100355] East Coast REC Sabellaria spinosa in Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton (ices.dk)., accessed 15 September 2021.

McConnaughey, R. A., Mier, K. L. and Dew, C. B. (2000). An examination of chronic trawling effects on soft-bottom benthos of the eastern Bering Sea. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 57(5), pp: 1377-1388.

McManus, J.W. (2001). Coral Reefs. In: J.H. Steele, S.A. Thorpe and K.K. Turekian (Eds). Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences. Academic Press, Elsevier Ltd. pp: 524-534.

Maddock, A (2008). UK Biodiversity Action Plan: Priority Habitat Descriptions. Available online at <u>JNCC</u>

MarLIN (2018). MarLIN Glossary: Biological Zones. Available online at <u>https://www.marlin.ac.uk/glossarydefinition/verticalbiologicalzones</u> accessed on 31 October 2022.

MMO (2021). Marine planning in England. Available online at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/marine-planning-in-england</u>,accessed on: 31 October 2022.

Natural England (2015). General descriptions for Special Area of Conservation features and Special Protection Area supporting habitats. Available online at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sac-features-and-spa-supporting-habitats-general-descriptions. Accessed 17 June 2021

Natural England (2016). Natural England's advice to Defra on proposed Marine Conservation Zones to be considered for designation in Tranche 2. Natural England.

Natural England (2018). Natural England Conservation Advice: Lands End and Cape Bank SAC - UK0030375. Available online at

https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/Marine/MarineSiteDetail.aspx?SiteCod e=UK0030375&SiteName=land&countyCode=&responsiblePerson=&unitId=&SeaAr ea=&IFCAArea=&NumMarineSeasonality=&SiteNameDisplay=Lands End and Cape Bank SAC&HasCA=1&NumMarineSeason, accessed on 25 August 2022.

Natural England (2022). Natural England Conservation Advice: Hartland Point to Tintagel MCZ - UKMCZ0034. Available online at

https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/Marine/MarineSiteDetail.aspx?SiteCod e=UKMCZ0034&SiteName=hartland&SiteNameDisplay=Hartland%20Point%20to%2 0Tintagel%20MCZ&countyCode=&responsiblePerson=&SeaArea=&IFCAArea=&Nu mMarineSeasonality=&HasCA=1, accessed on 19 August 2022.

Natural England and JNCC (2018). Natural England and JNCC Conservation Advice: Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton SAC - UK0030369. Available online at https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/Marine/MarineSiteDetail.aspx?SiteCod e=UK0030369&SiteName=haisborough&SiteNameDisplay=Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton

<u>SAC&countyCode=&responsiblePerson=&SeaArea=&IFCAArea=&NumMarineSeas</u> <u>onality=&HasCA=1</u>, accessed on 22 August 2022.

OSPAR Commission (2013). Background Document on *Sabellaria Spinulosa* Reefs. Available online at <u>https://www.ospar.org/documents?v=7342</u>, accessed 16 June 2021.

Pearce, B. (2017). The ecology of *Sabellaria spinulosa* reefs. Doctoral dissertation, University of Plymouth. Available online at https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/10098., accessed 16 June 2021.

Pearce, B., Hill, J. M., Grubb, L. and Harper, G. (2011). Impacts of marine aggregate extraction on adjacent *Sabellaria spinulosa* aggregations and other benthic fauna.

Marine Ecological Surveys Limited. Available online at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.29285.91361</u>

Pearce, B., Taylor, J. and Seiderer, L. J. (2007). Recoverability of *Sabellaria spinulosa* Following Aggregate Extraction. Marine Ecological Surveys Limited. Available online at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34738.50880</u>.

Picton, B.E. and Morrow C.C. (2005). Encyclopedia of Marine Life of Britain and Ireland. Available online at <u>Encyclopedia of Marine Life of Britain and Ireland</u> (habitas.org.uk), accessed 17 November 2022.

Rees, S.E., Ashley, M., Cameron, A. (2018). Executive Summary: North Devon Marine Pioneer, links between the ecosystem and ecosystem services in the North Devon Marine Pioneer. A report to WWF-UK by research staff the Marine Institute at University of Plymouth. Available online at

https://www.northdevonbiosphere.org.uk/uploads/1/5/4/4/15448192/5.a_executive_s ummary_marine_pionner_nc.pdf, Accessed 05 July 2021

Riesen, W. and Reise, K. (1982). Macrobenthos of the subtidal Wadden Sea: revisited after 55 years. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen, 35(4), pp: 409-423.

Rijnsdorp, A.D., Bastardie, F., Bolam, S. G., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Eigaard, O. R., Hamon, K. G., Hiddink, J. G., Hintzen, N. T., Ivanović, A., Kenny, A. and Laffargue, P. (2016). Towards a framework for the quantitative assessment of trawling impact on the seabed and benthic ecosystem. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73, pp: i127-i138.

Roberts, C., Smith, C., Tillin, H. and Tyler-Walters, H. (2010). Review of existing approaches to evaluate marine habitat vulnerability to commercial fishing activities. Environment Agency Report, SC080016/R3. Available online at http://plymsea.ac.uk/id/eprint/7031/1/scho1110bteq-e-e.pdf, accessed 17 June 2021.

Sciberras, M., Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Szostek, C. L., Hughes, K. M., Kneafsey, B., Clarke, L. J., Ellis, N., Rijnsdorp, A. D., McConnaughey, R. A. and Hilborn, R. (2018). Response of benthic fauna to experimental bottom fishing: A global metaanalysis. Fish Fisheries, 19, pp: 698–715. doi:10.1111/faf.12283

Sewell, J. and Hiscock, K. (2005). Effects of fishing within UK European Marine Sites: guidance for nature conservation agencies. Report to the Countryside Council for Wales, English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage. Marine Biological Association. Available online at

http://ukmpa.marinebiodiversity.org/pdf/FishGuidance05_Final_Report_screen.pdf., accessed 16 June 2021.

Sheehan, E. V., Stevens, T. F., Gall, S. C., Cousens, S. L. and Attrill, M.J. (2013). Recovery of a temperate reef assemblage in a marine protected area following the exclusion of towed demersal fishing. PloS ONE, 8(12), e83883.

Tillin, H. M. and Gibb, N. (2015). Circalittoral [*Sabellaria*] reefs (on rock). In: H. Tyler-Walters and K. Hiscock (Eds). Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews, [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. Available online at <u>http://plymsea.ac.uk/id/eprint/8691/1/marlin_habitat_225_2019-03-12.pdf</u>, accessed 16 June 2021.

Tillin, H. M., Hull, S. C. and Tyler-Walters, H. (2010). Development of a sensitivity matrix (pressures-MCZ/MPA features). Report to the Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs from ABPMer, Southampton and the Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN). Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the UK. Defra Contract No. MB102 Task 3a, Report No 22.

Tillin, H.M., Marshall, C., Gibb, N. and Garrard, S.L. (2020). Sabellaria spinulosa on stable circalittoral mixed sediment. In: H. Tyler-Walters and K. Hiscock (Eds). Plymouth: Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews. Available online at

https://www.marlin.ac.uk/habitats/detail/377/sabellaria_spinulosa_on_stable_circalitt oral_mixed_sediment

UKBAP (UK Biodiversity Action Plan), (2000). UK Biodiversity Group Tranche 2 Action Plans. Volume V – maritime species and habitats. Peterborough: English Nature, pp: 242.

Van der Reijden, K. J., Koop, L., O'Flynn, S., Garcia, S., Bos, O., Van Sluis, C., Maaholm, D. J., Herman, P. M., Simons, D. G., Olff, H. and Ysebaert, T. (2019). Discovery of *Sabellaria spinulosa* reefs in an intensively fished area of the Dutch Continental Shelf, North Sea. Journal of Sea Research, 144, pp: 85-94.

Van Dolah, R. F., Wendt, P. H. and Nicholson, N. (1987). Effects of a research trawl on a hard-bottom assemblage of sponges and corals. Fisheries Research, 5(1), pp: 39-54.

Vaughan, D.V. (2017). Fishing effort displacement and the consequences of implementing Marine Protected Area management – An English perspective. Marine Policy, 84, pp: 228-234.

Vorberg, R. (2000). Effects of shrimp fisheries on reefs of *Sabellaria spinulosa* (Polychaeta). ICES Journal of Marine Science, 57, pp: 1416–1420.

Ware, S. (2016). Hartland Point to Tintagel rMCZ 2013 Survey Report. Cefas.

7. Glossary

Attribute - Selected characteristic of an interest feature/sub-feature which contributes to the overall condition of the feature to which it applies.

Bottom towed gear - a range of fishing gear types designed to take or disturb species living on or near the bottom of the seabed. Forms of bottom towed gear include trawls, dredges and seines. Fishing speed VMS records associated with the following gear codes have been included in VMS density maps: TBB – Beam Trawls, OT – Otter Trawls (not specified), OTB – Bottom Otter Trawls, OTT – Otter Twin Trawls, PT – Pair Trawls, PTB – Bottom Pair Trawls, TB – Bottom Trawls, TBN – Nephrops Trawls, TBS – Shrimp Trawls, TX – Other Trawls, DRB – Boat Dredges, HMD – Mechanized Dredge, HMP - Pumps, HMX – Harvesting Machines, SDN – Danish or Anchor Seines, SPR – Pair Seines, SSC – Scottish Seines, SV – Boat or Vessel Seines, SX – Seine Nets (not specified).

Conservation objectives - Conservation objectives are set for each designated feature of an MPA, to either maintain or restore a designated feature of the protected site.

Designated features – Habitats or species within an MPA which have been designated as protected features.

General management approach – The approach advised by Natural England and JNCC for a particular feature in order to help achieve the conservation objectives for an MPA; either maintaining or recovering a feature to favourable condition.

Habitats Directive – Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora²¹.

HOCI – habitat of conservation importance. Habitats that are threatened, rare, or declining. More information can be found in the Ecological Network Guidance (Marine Conservation Zone Project) section 4.2.34 (JNCC and Natural England, 2010).

IFCA – Inshore Fisheries Conservation Authority. IFCAs are responsible for fisheries management from 0 to 6 nautical miles (nm). There are 10 IFCAs in England, each one funded by local authorities.

ICES – International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. ICES is an intergovernmental marine science organisation, providing evidence on the state and sustainable use of our seas and oceans.

JNCC – Joint Nature Conservation Committee. A public body that advises the government on UK and international nature conservation. This includes aspects related to the marine environment from 12 nm to 200 nm.

²¹ www.legislation.gov.uk/eudr/1992/43/contents

Marine plans – MMO marine plans have been designed to help manage the seas around England (MMO, 2021).

MCZ – marine conservation zone. Marine conservation zones are a type of MPA in English, Welsh and Northern Irish waters designated under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009²² (for England and Wales) or The Marine Act (Northern Ireland) 2013²³ (for Northern Ireland).

MMO – Marine Management Organisation. MMO is an executive non-departmental public body, sponsored by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and is the manager and independent regulator of England's seas.

MPA – marine protected area. Marine protected areas are protected sites with a marine element, this includes special areas of conservation (SAC), special protection areas (SPA) and marine conservation zones (MCZ).

MPA assessment – MPA site level assessments are carried out in a manner consistent with the requirements of in Regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and Regulation 28 of the Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 for EMS and the requirements of Section 126 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 for MCZ. For EMS, the assessments will determine whether, in light of the site's conservation objectives, fishing activities are having an adverse effect on the integrity of the site. For MCZ the assessments will determine whether there is a significant risk of fishing activities hindering the conservation objectives and general management approach of the site.

Natural England - Government advisor for the environment in England. This includes aspects of the marine environment of 0 to 12 nm.

SAC – special area of conservation. Special areas of conservation are MPAs put in place to protect habitats and species listed in Annexes I and II of Council Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive).

Sensitivity assessment – Assessment of sensitivity of a species or habitat which takes into account ability to resist impacts, and rate of rate of recovery after an impact.

SNCB - statutory nature conservation body. A collective term for Natural Resources Wales (NRW), Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC), Natural England (NE), Northern Ireland's Council for Nature Conservation and the Countryside (which generally works through the Northern Ireland Environment Agency) and NatureScot. These organisations have a statutory responsibility to provide conservation advice for MPAs and report on the condition of protected features.

Supporting processes – used to describe the natural processes that support the feature. These include hydrodynamic regime, water and sediment quality and supporting habitats (JNCC, 2018).

²² www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/23/contents

²³ www.legislation.gov.uk/nia/2013/10/contents

VMS – vessel monitoring system. All commercial fishing vessels over 12 metres in length in UK waters must report their position via VMS when at sea. VMS devices on the vessels send regular reports of position and vector.