

Module 2.5 Clinical Overview

## **Module 2.5**

### **Clinical Overview**

Copyright 2010 the GlaxoSmithKline group of companies. All rights reserved.  
Unauthorised copying or use of this information is prohibited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
ABBREVIATIONS .....	3
1. PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT RATIONALE.....	4
2. OVERVIEW OF BIOPHARMACEUTICS .....	4
3. OVERVIEW OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY .....	4
4. OVERVIEW OF EFFICACY .....	8
5. OVERVIEW OF SAFETY .....	8
6. BENEFITS AND RISKS CONCLUSIONS.....	8
7. REFERENCES.....	9

## ABBREVIATIONS

HLR	High Level Resistance
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
MIC <sub>50</sub>	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration required to inhibit the growth of 50% of organisms
MIC <sub>90</sub>	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration required to inhibit the growth of 90% of organisms
MRCNS	Methicillin-resistant coagulase negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>
MRSA	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
MRSE	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>
MSCNS	Methicillin-susceptible coagulase negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>
MSSA	Methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
MSSE	Methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>
R	Resistant
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
tRNA	Transfer-ribonucleic acid

## Trademark Information

Trademarks of the GlaxoSmithKline group of companies
BACTROBAN
EISMYCIN
TURIXIN

Trademarks not owned by the GlaxoSmithKline group of companies

## 1. PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT RATIONALE

Not applicable to this Type II Variation.

## 2. OVERVIEW OF BIOPHARMACEUTICS

Not applicable to this Type II Variation.

## 3. OVERVIEW OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

### 3.1. Introduction

This application for a variation is submitted following a request from the MHRA (in their role as P-RMS for the recent PSUR worksharing procedure) to amend section 5.1 of the SmPCs for mupirocin. GSK has been asked to revise the format of section 5.1 to align with guidance note CPMP/EWP/558/95, Rev 1 (“Note for Guidance on the evaluation of medicinal products indicated for treatment of bacterial infections”) and submit the appropriate data to support this revision of the labelling.

### 3.2. Pharmacokinetics

### 3.3. Pharmacodynamics

#### 3.3.1. Microbiological Profile

Mupirocin is a non-systemic antibiotic originally isolated from certain strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (British Pharmacopeia 2011) under submerged fermentation (Gisby and Bryant, 2000). Mupirocin shares no structural relationships with other antibiotics currently available. It consists of a short fatty acid side-chain ester linked to monic acid, the tail end of which side-chain appears to mimic the carbon skeleton of the amino acid isoleucine. (Hughes et al, 1980; Casewell and Hill, 1987)

The name “pseudomonic acid” was ascribed originally to the major metabolite [pseudomonic acid A], which accounted for most of the observed antibiotic activity (Sutherland et al., 1985). The term “mupirocin” has now been accepted as the approved generic name for pseudomonic acid A (Casewell and Hill, 1985). Mupirocin, as well as the other pseudomonic acids, has been synthesised (Bryskier, 2005). The drug was introduced first into clinical practice for the treatment of skin infections in the UK in 1985 and is now available in more than 90 countries (Kresken et al, 2004).

#### Mode of Action

Mupirocin has a novel mechanism of action (inhibition of isoleucyl tRNA synthesis) which differs from that of other available antibiotics (Gisby and Bryant, 2000).

The effect of mupirocin has been studied on the major metabolic processes in *Staphylococcus aureus* where mupirocin has been shown to strongly inhibit both protein synthesis and RNA synthesis. DNA and cell wall formation (through peptidoglycan synthesis) are inhibited to a lesser extent and interference with these processes is considered to be a secondary effect. The primary effect of low concentrations of the antibiotic is the inhibition of protein synthesis, leading to bacteriostasis. (Hughes and Mellows, 1978[b])

Mode of action studies as to mupirocin were continued using *Escherichia coli*. These studies have demonstrated that the primary target of mupirocin in the bacterial cell wall is isoleucyl [Ile]-tRNA synthetase, the enzyme which charges the appropriate tRNA with isoleucine – an essential component of protein synthesis (Farmer et al 1992; Hughes et al, 1980). It has been postulated that, as an analogue of isoleucine, mupirocin is a powerful competitive inhibitor of Ile-tRNA synthetase. The antibiotic competes for the active site of the enzyme. It binds specifically but reversibly to the enzyme, thus preventing incorporation of isoleucine into growing protein chains and thereby inhibiting bacterial protein synthesis. It has been suggested that the inhibition of RNA synthesis is a consequence of a protective mechanism imposed in response to the pseudomonic acid-induced lack of the amino acid isoleucine. (Hughes and Mellows, 1978[a]).

### **Bacteriostatic and Bacteriocidal Activities**

The mode of action of mupirocin suggested that its antibacterial action was bacteriostatic and MIC and MBC studies have since confirmed that the activity of mupirocin is indeed largely bacteriostatic (Casewell and Hill, 1985).

However, studies of killing kinetics have also shown that the molecule is stable and that prolonged exposure to mupirocin results in sustained, albeit slow, bacteriocidal action. (Casewell and Hill, 1987; Casewell and Hill, 1985) The results of viable count studies to measure the bacteriocidal effects produced by mupirocin against staphylococci showed that the antibiotic caused an inhibition of growth during the initial period of the test, followed by a period of bacteriocidal activity which resulted in a marked reduction in the number of bacteria at 24h (Sutherland, 1985). Wuite et al (1985) found that the antibiotic was bacteriocidal in action at the high concentrations (20,000 mg/liter) present in the 2% formulation used in the studies conducted by his group; local therapy is said to offer an advantage in the treatment of superficial skin infections in that relatively high concentrations of an antibacterial agent can be placed directly at the site of infection (constrained only by the development of local hypersensitivity reactions). Bryskier (2005) notes as well that the reduction in bacterial cell numbers from initial levels is dependent upon the concentration of the antibiotic.

The activity of mupirocin is significantly enhanced in an acidic medium and the notion has been advanced that this feature may well be advantageous in relation to the acid pH associated with the skin and its environment (Sutherland et al 1985). The pH of normal skin is about pH 5.5 and this acidity might be expected to contribute to the anti-staphylococcal activity of mupirocin *in vivo* (Casewell and Hill, 1985). Cookson (1998) has also noted that the bacteriocidal properties of mupirocin appear to be enhanced at a lower (more acidic) pH approximating that of many parts of the skin.

## Mechanism of Resistance

Mupirocin sensitive strains are defined as having minimal inhibitory concentration [MIC] values in the range of 0.12 to 4.0 µgrams/ml (Farmer et al, 1992).

The widespread use of mupirocin has resulted in the emergence of mutant strains expressing mupirocin resistance – a matter of clinical significance as this phenomenon raises concerns as to the continued efficacy of the antibiotic (Simor et al, 2007; Jones et al, 2006; Bryskier, 2005; Hurdle et al, 2004). Two types of resistance to mupirocin have been described in *S. aureus*: low-level and high-level, and these are thought to result from different mechanisms.

High-level resistance [MICs  $\geq$  512 µgrams/ml] (Gilbart et al, 1993) has been described in strains of *S. aureus* isolated from patients on a dermatology ward in summer 1987, i.e. not long after the agent's initial use in clinical practice (Cookson 1990; Rahman et al, 1987). High-level resistance is mediated by the acquisition of an unusual plasmid containing a new gene (*mupA*) that encodes a second, and novel, Ise-tRNA synthetase enzyme whose function is not inhibited by mupirocin (Hodgson et al, 1994).

Low-level resistance in *S. aureus* is more common and is thought to result from point mutations within the usual staphylococcal chromosomal gene (*ileS*) for the target isoleucyl tRNA synthetase enzyme (Antonio et al, 2002). Acquired low-level resistance results in elevated MICs into the range of 8 to 256 µg/ml; low-level mupirocin resistance could be trained *in vitro* and low-level resistance was observed in an early *in-vivo* study (Cookson, 1998).

The clinical significance of low-level mupirocin resistance is still a matter of debate (Hurdle et al, 2004), whereas it is now generally agreed that strains with high-level mupirocin resistance cannot be eradicated with mupirocin (Kresken et al, 2004).

Intrinsic resistance of such gram-negative organisms as the *Enterobacteriaceae* to mupirocin could be due to the poor ability of the compound to permeate through the bacterial outer wall and interact with the isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase of such bacteria [i.e., failure to penetrate the cell envelope and reach its target site] (Wilson et al, 1995; Capobianco et al, 1989).

## Cross Resistance

Due to its unique chemical structure and to its particular mode of action, mupirocin does not show any cross-resistance with other clinically available antibiotics (Sutherland et al, 1985).

## Microbiological Susceptibility

Mupirocin has potent antibacterial activity (Wilson, 1995). The antimicrobial spectrum of mupirocin against representative strains of aerobic and anaerobic gram-positive bacteria is set forth in the listing below [adapted from Sutherland et al, 1985].

Module 2.5 Clinical Overview

Mupirocin is active primarily against gram-positive cocci (coagulase-positive and coagulase- negative *staphylococci*; *streptococci*), with the exception of *Enterococcus* spp which are distinctly less susceptible (Bryskier, 2005; Wilson et al, 1995; Sutherland et al, 1985).

Mupirocin is active against *S aureus* [a coagulase-positive *staphylococcus*], whether the strains are susceptible or resistant to penicillin G, tetracyclines, erythromycin A, fusidic acid, lincomycin, chloramphenicol or meticillin [the latter now discontinued in the UK] (Bryskier, 2005). Activity has also been observed against coagulase-negative *staphylococci* (Cookson 1990).

Mupirocin possesses good activity against beta-hemolytic *streptococci* of the Lancefield A, C, and G groups, with Group B *streptococci* being slightly less susceptible. Variable susceptibility is observed amongst the viridians group *streptococci*, depending on species. (Bryskier, 2005).

Mupirocin possesses moderate activity against some gram-positive bacilli such as *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* and *Listeria monocytogenes*; other aerobic gram-positive bacteria, including *Corynebacterium* spp. and *Micrococcus luteus* are less susceptible, as are anaerobic gram-positive bacteria such as: *Peptostreptococci* spp., *Clostridium* spp. and *Propionibacterium acnes* (Sutherland et al, 1985).

Gram-positive aerobic enterococcal species such as *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* are inherently resistant. (Bryskier, 2005)

Mupirocin is inactive against anaerobes. Although inactive against anaerobic cocci, mupirocin is as active against *Staphylococcus aureus* under anaerobic conditions as it is under aerobic conditions. (Sutherland et al, 1985)

Antibacterial spectrum in part is impacted by innate target affinity and access, and unknown bases (Hughes et al., 1980; Capobianco et al., 1989).

Taking into account the above discussion and the approved indication of the product, the following additional information is considered appropriate for the SmPC:

<b>Commonly susceptible species</b>
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> *
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> *
<i>Streptococcus</i> spp. ( $\beta$ -haemolytic, other than <i>S. pyogenes</i> )
<b>Species for which acquired resistance may be a problem</b>

<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp., coagulase negative
<b>Inherently resistant organisms</b>
<i>Corynebacterium</i> spp.
<i>Micrococcus</i> spp.

**4. OVERVIEW OF EFFICACY**

Not applicable to this Type II Variation.

**5. OVERVIEW OF SAFETY**

Not applicable to this Type II Variation.

**6. BENEFITS AND RISKS CONCLUSIONS**

A proposed amendment to the SmPC, based on the data provided above is detailed in m1.3.1. GSK believes this revision will update and enhance the prescribing information available to physicians.



## 7. REFERENCES

- Antonio M, McFerran N and Pallen MJ. Mutations affecting the Rossman fold of isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase are correlated with low-level mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46(2):438-42.
- Bryskier A. 2005. Mupirocin. P. 964-971. In, Bryskier, A. (ed.), *Antimicrobial Agents*. ASM (American Society for Microbiology) Press. Washington, D.C.
- Capobianco JO, Doran CC and Goldman RC. Mechanism of mupirocin transport into sensitive and resistant bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1989;33(2):156-63.
- Casewell MW and Hill RLR. Mupirocin ('pseudomonic acid') – a promising new topical antimicrobial agent. *Journal of Antimicrob Chemother* 1987;19:1-5.
- Casewell MW and Hill RLR. *In-vitro* activity of mupirocin ('pseudomonic acid') against clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Antimicrob Chemother* 1985; 15:523-31.
- Cookson BD. The emergence of mupirocin resistance: a challenge to infection control and antibiotic prescribing practice. *Journal of Antimicrob Chemother* 1998; 41:11-18.
- Cookson BD. Mupirocin resistance in staphylococci. *Journal of Antimicrob Chemother* 1990;25:497-503.
- Farmer TH, Gilbert J and Elson SW. Biochemical basis of mupirocin resistance in strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Antimicrob Chemother* 1992; 30:587-96.
- Gilbert J, Perry CR and Slocombe B. High-level mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: evidence for two distinct isoleucyl-tRNA synthetases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1993;37(1):32-38.
- Gisby J and Bryant J. Efficacy of a new cream formulation of mupirocin: comparison with oral and topical agents in experimental skin infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;44(2):255-60.
- Hughes J and Mellows G. Interaction of pseudomonic acid A with *Escherichia coli* B isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase. *Biochem J* 1980; 191:209-19.
- Hughes J, Mellows G and Soughton S. How does *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, the producing organism of the antibiotic pseudomonic acid A, avoid suicide? *FEBS Letters* 1980.122:322-324.
- Hughes J and Mellows G [a]. Inhibition of Isoleucyl-Transfer Ribonucleic Acid Synthetase in *Escherichia coli* by pseudomonic acid. *Biochem J* 1978; 176:305-18.

Module 2.5 Clinical Overview

Hughes J and Mellows G [b]. On the mode of action of pseudomonic acid: inhibition of protein synthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Antibiotics* 1978; 31(4):330-35.

Hurdle JG, O'Neill AJ and Chopra I. The isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase mutation V588F conferring mupirocin resistance in glycopeptide-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* is not associated with a significant fitness burden. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004;53:102-104.

Jones RN, Li Q, Kohut B et al. Contemporary antimicrobial activity of triple antibiotic ointment: a multiphased study of recent clinical isolates in the United States and Australia. *Diagnostic Microbiol and Infect Dis* 2006; 54:63-71.

Kresken M, Hafner D, Schmitz FJ et al on behalf of the Working Group for Antimicrobial Resistance of the Paul-Ehrlich-Society for Chemotherapy. Prevalence of mupirocin resistance in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*: results of the Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Study of the Paul-Ehrlich-Society for Chemotherapy, 2001. *Internat J Antimicrob Agents* 2004;23:577-81.

Rahman M, Connolly S, Noble WC et al. Diversity of staphylococci exhibiting high-level resistance to mupirocin. *J Med Microbiol* 1990;33:97-100.

Rahman M, Noble WC and Cookson B. Mupirocin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *The Lancet* 1987;330(8555):387-8.

Simor AE, Stuart TL, Louie L et al and the Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program. Mupirocin-resistant, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in Canadian hospitals. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;51(11):3880-86.

Sutherland R, Boon RJ, Griffin KE et al. Antibacterial activity of mupirocin (pseudomonic acid), a new antibiotic for topical use. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1985;27(4):495-98.

Ward A and Campoli-Richards DM. Mupirocin. A review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use. *Drugs* 1986;32:425-444.

Wilson JM, Oliva B, Cassels R et al. SB 205952, a novel semisynthetic monic acid analog with at least two modes of action. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995;39(9):1925-33.

Wuite J, Davies BI, Go MJ et al. Pseudomonic acid, a new antibiotic for topical therapy. *Journal of the Am Acad Dermatol* 1985;12:1026-1031.