

Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

Sarah Mills Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC PO Box 36 Totton Southampton SO43 7PH

Energy Infrastructure Planning

3-8 Whitehall Place London SW1A 2JP

Email: S37consents@beis.gov.uk **Website:** www.gov.uk/desnz

Our ref: 1782u Your ref: EZG397

12th December 2023

Dear Miss Mills,

SCREENING DECISION BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE UNDER THE ELECTRICITY WORKS (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) (ENGLAND AND WALES) REGULATIONS 2017 ("THE 2017 REGULATIONS")

NAME OF SCHEME: Winchester Road

Decision:

The Secretary of State concludes that the proposed works are not EIA development under the 2017 Regulations and do not require a statutory EIA as they are unlikely to have significant effects on the environment due to their nature, location and size. A copy of this letter has been sent to the LPA for information.

Screening decision for a proposed development ("the proposed development") to:

• Modify an existing single-user 240/415v overhead line to a multi-user line

Secretary of State considerations:

The Secretary of State has considered the factors set out in Schedule 3 of the 2017 Regulations, together with the information within the supplied



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

documentation ("the Application") by Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC ("the Applicant") in relation to the impacts on the environment of the proposed development and the views of South Downs National Park Authority ("the LPA"). In particular, in reaching his decision the Secretary of State notes the following factors:

- 1. The proposed development does not fall within Schedule 1 (mandatory EIA).
- 2. The proposed development falls under Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations as the electricity line is to be installed above ground in a sensitive area.
- 3. The proposed development falls within the South Downs National Park.
- 4. The LPA were consulted regarding the proposed development and had no objections. The LPA concluded that the proposed development would not result in any adverse visual impact on the character or appearance of the National Park landscape.
- 5. The proposed development is a minor modification to an existing piece of infrastructure and is not likely to have a significant effect on the National Park in which it is situated.

Yours sincerely,

John McKenna Head of Network Planning team Energy Infrastructure Planning Delivery Team