

## INDEPENDENT PLANNING FORUM FOR HS2

<b>Title:</b>	<b>Independent Planning Forum for HS2 – Phase One</b>	
<b>Date &amp; Time</b>	<b>South Meeting</b> <b>Thursday 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2.00 – 4.00 pm</b> <b>Friends Meeting House, NW1</b>	
<b>Chair</b>	<b>[REDACTED]</b>	Independent Chair
<b>Promoter Attendees:</b>	<b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b>	Town Planning Manager, HS2 London Planning Manager, HS2 DfT
<b>Local Authority Attendees:</b>	<b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b> <b>[REDACTED]</b>	Chiltern District Council (CDC) London Borough of Camden (LBC) Westminster City Council (WCC) Three Rivers District Council (TRDC) Hertfordshire County Council (HCC) South Northamptonshire Council (SNC) Aylesbury Vale District Council (ACDC)
<b>Apologies</b>		

Item		Action Owner
1.	<b>Introductions</b>	
	Introductions were made.	
2.	<b>Review of notes &amp; actions from last meeting</b>	
2.1	The notes were agreed as an accurate record.	
2.2	<p><b>Outstanding actions (October 2014)</b></p> <p>The table was gone through and the following additional points raised:</p> <p>–</p> <p><i>North Meeting, July, Item 5</i> – The Chair enquired if he could attend the Community Fund workshop scheduled for 10<sup>th</sup> December given his knowledge of the corresponding fund on CTRL (HS1).</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm.</p> <p><i>South Meeting, Sept, Item 2.2</i> – LBC asked if the example Camden LEMP could be shared with the community now, as they are being asked what the content of a LEMP will be.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>HS2 Ltd</p>
3	<p><b>Draft CoCP - LPAs feedback on sections 4, 5.1, 5.3, 5.5-5.11, 5.13 – 5.15</b></p> <p>The Chair explained that at their pre-meeting, the LPAs had collated the comments carried forward from yesterday's pre-meeting with their own. He then highlighted some of the LPAs' key issues.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> [REDACTED] (LBC) [I thought I offered to do this, having been</p>	LBC

## INDEPENDENT PLANNING FORUM FOR HS2

	<p>present at both pre-meetings? In fact of course, I did.] to produce a table of collated LPA comments and forward to HS2 Ltd.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to amend the Planning Forum Forward Plan to update when specific sections of the CoCP would be discussed.</p> <p>With regard to future revisions to the Draft COCP, HS2 Ltd clarified that given LBC are the lead authority on the Draft CoCP and are likely to appear later in the HoC Select Committee programme, if there are issues outstanding on the draft CoCP they could be raised by LBC at HoC Select Committee. Otherwise, and for other EMRs, the LPAs could be given a 2<sup>nd</sup> house undertaking that issues not raised in HoC Select Committee could be raised at HoL Select Committee and the Promoter would not object that the issue had not been raised in the HoC Select Committee, which would ensure that lead LPAs would have an opportunity to heard on any outstanding issues.</p>	HS2 Ltd
4	<p><b>General Principles of the draft Environmental Minimum Requirements</b></p> <p>The Chair summarised the discussion at the North Planning Forum (22<sup>nd</sup> October), and given that the LPAs still had concerns with the principle of the EMRs, HS2 Ltd agreed to revisit this item at December Planning Forum.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to provide more detail at the December Planning Forum on the principles of the EMRs and in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Enforcement, and</li> <li>(2) Reasonable endeavours.</li> </ul> <p><b>Action:</b> LPAs to consider if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The general principles of the EMRs are ever going to be acceptable?</li> <li>(2) If they are, then are there specific word changes proposed?</li> </ul>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>LPAs</p>
5	<p><b>Feedback from LPAs on Planning Forum webpages</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LPAs suggested it would be useful to have a search facility on the site.</li> <li>• HS2 Ltd suggested the title should include 'Phase One'.</li> <li>• LPAs suggested archived information should be in the past tense.</li> <li>• LPAs asked if the colour of the web pages could change.</li> <li>• LPAs thought the contrast of the colour on the left hand column should be clearer.</li> <li>• HS2 Ltd and LPAs agreed that once meeting papers and minutes are uploaded that the web pages would be a really useful tool to share information with other LPA officers, and links could be shared by email rather than circulating meeting papers.</li> </ul> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to progress updating pages and circulate link to members</p>	HS2 Ltd

## INDEPENDENT PLANNING FORUM FOR HS2

	in advance of December meeting.	
<b>6</b>	<b>HS2 Updates</b>	
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Subgroups</b>	
6.1.1	<p><i>Environmental Health Subgroup:</i> Next meeting 5<sup>th</sup> November 2014. CDC asked that if the Chair role had not been resolved, that the Chair of the Planning Forum act on an interim basis as Chair of the Subgroup.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm.</p>	HS2 Ltd
6.1.2	<p><i>Highways Subgroup:</i> Next meeting 6<sup>th</sup> November 2014.</p>	
6.1.3	<p><i>Heritage Subgroup:</i> HS2 Ltd summarised key items discussed at subgroup on 30<sup>th</sup> September.</p>	
<b>6.2</b>	<p><b>Parliamentary Process</b></p> <p>DfT outlined the Select Committee's progress through October, and the future recess periods for Parliament as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 18<sup>th</sup> December – 5<sup>th</sup> January</li> <li>• 12<sup>th</sup> February – 23<sup>rd</sup> February</li> </ul> <p><u>AP1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 42 petitions were received on AP1.</li> <li>• Consultation on the AP1 Environmental Statement closes on 14<sup>th</sup> November.</li> </ul>	
<b>6.3</b>	<p><b>HS2 Update</b></p> <p><u>GI works</u> GI works meetings have taken place with highways officers at LBs Brent and Ealing, and dates are in diaries for LB Camden and Birmingham City Council.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm if the CoCP applies to works pre-Royal Assent. <b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm if the EMRs will apply to statutory undertakers' works post Royal Assent.</p> <p><u>Funding</u> DfT confirmed that the Promoter will provide funding for environmental health and highways officers to process consents associated with HS2. Arrangements are likely to be put in place by service level agreements, the detailed arrangements of which are yet to be discussed with the LPAs. SNC suggested these could cover a County and District sharing a resource.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd</p>

## INDEPENDENT PLANNING FORUM FOR HS2

	<p>DfT confirmed that the Promoter would not be funding enforcement of the CoCP as this is a nominated undertaker activity.</p> <p>LPA's agreed that the funding update was good news.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm the approach and timeframes on discussions, to enable LPA's to get a 2<sup>nd</sup> house undertaking so discussions can continue, and any outstanding matters can be raised at the House of Lords Select Committee.</p> <p><u>Supply Chain Conferences</u> HS2 Ltd stated that supply chain conferences had been held recently in London and Manchester.</p> <p><u>Forward Plan and Document Route Map</u> <b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to update the Forward Plan and Document Route Map, and circulate with meeting papers.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>HS2 Ltd</p>
	<p><b>AOB</b></p> <p><b>Action:</b> HS2 Ltd to confirm December meeting dates and room bookings, with LPA pre-meet from 12pm.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p>