Title:	Independent Planning Forum for HS2 – Phase One		
Date & Time	North Meeting Wednesday 20 th July 2016 13:15 – 16:00 Warwick Hilton Stratford Road, Warwick	South Meeting Thursday 21 st July 2016 13:30 – 16:00 The Euston Office, One Euston Square, Melton Street, London	
		Meiton Street, London	
Chair		Independent Chair	
Promoter Attendees:		HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd	
		HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd	
Local Authority	North	DfT	
Attendees:	South	Staffordshire County Council Warwickshire County Council Warwickshire County Council Birmingham City Council Birmingham City Council Buckinghamshire County Council Northamptonshire County Council Warwick District Council Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council Stratford on Avon District Council Lichfield District Council South Northamptonshire Council South Northamptonshire Council	
		Oxfordshire County Council OPDC Aylesbury Vale District Council London Borough of Hillingdon Buckinghamshire County Council Buckinghamshire County Council South Bucks and Chiltern District Council South Bucks & Chiltern District Council Hertfordshire County Council Three Rivers District Council South Northamptonshire Council London Borough of Brent London Borough of Camden Warwickshire County Council Warwickshire County Council	

Item		Action Owner
1	Introductions	
	Introductions were made.	
2	Landscape Design Approach	
	, HS2 Ltd Lead Landscape Designer, presented slides which introduced the HS2 Landscape Design Approach. The presentation included the following:	
	 The role of Landscape Design throughout HS2 Understanding and responding to local context 	
	- What the HS2 Design Vision means for Landscape - HS2 Landscape Design Methodology	
	Action: Circulate the slides and HS2 Landscape Design Approach document.	HS2 Ltd
	North: Bucks CC asked when there will be clarification in terms of the MWCC and what discussion will take place to implement minimum provisions that will be applied to contractors. HS2 Ltd confirmed that the MWCC will be required to implement the provisions of the Landscape Design Approach, and to comply with the Environment Minimum Requirements.	
	North & South: HS2 Ltd said that before the final design is agreed, engagement will occur with Local Authorities and stakeholders, and there will be HS2 Design Panel input into the approach document. There will be milestones and regular meetings to discuss design with the contractors. Communities will be engaged on landscape design where it is appropriate in the context of community engagement in the design of key design elements.	
	North: Bucks CC said they were pleased HS2 Ltd mentioned Local Authorities but they will need to know the scope of these discussions to ensure they have sufficient resources. HS2 Ltd said they recognise this, hence the purpose of the Service Level Agreement (SLA).	
	North: Bucks CC asked if it would be safe to say that LAs will require landscape resources next year. HS2 Ltd stated that the County Council should not but some District Authorities could, such as a Planning Officer who understands landscape issues.	
	North: The Chair asked HS2 Ltd to clarify the relevance of Schedule 17 for Landscape Design. HS2 Ltd confirmed that approval of plans and specifications will be required for earthworks under paragraph 3 of Schedule 17, and under paragraph 9 of Schedule 17 bringing into use approval will be required to confirm that appropriate mitigation plans are in place.	
	North: Birmingham CC asked if there was a table of requirements in the Landscape Design Approach document for Local Authorities to consider in	

:d

 Further detail that will come through the detailed design stage when the MWCC are on board, will feed into their Environmental Management Systems (EMSs) / Environmental Management Plans (EMPs). Engagement on the content of the LEMP with the communities will likely be carried out prior to Royal Assent, by the engagement team. LEMPs are to be uploaded to the HS2 website, although this is to be made clear they are still in draft until Royal Assent. Discussion that relevant U&As will be appended to the LEMPs for ease of reference at Royal Assent. Discussion confirmed that the Statement of Expectation will be appended to the LEMPs once this has been finalised. Diagram showing the 'hierarchy' of environmental documents to be produced, to be appended to the LEMPs once produced. March 2016 Item 8 – HS2 Ltd stated there has been no announcement regarding the appointment of the Construction Commissioner.	
Advisory Panel (IAP) is still being considered. Action: HS2 Ltd to update on IAP appointment process at the next meeting.	HS2 Ltd
May 2016 – HS2 Ltd said that the Highways Subgroup will have the Bridges Design Requirements document as an agenda item after the meeting on the 3^{rd} August. The Chair asked why the document could not be circulated sooner given that LA Planning colleagues had already received the document.	
Action: HS2 Ltd to feedback to the Highways Team.	HS2 Ltd
May 2016 – HS2 Ltd confirmed that they have not received any feedback on the principles of the Fee Regulations and Appeal Regulations which were shared at the May Planning Forum meeting. HS2 Ltd said it would be an agenda item at the next meeting and to send comments to the Planning Forum mailbox.	
Action: Local Authorities to send any comments/questions regarding the principles of the Fee Regs and Appeal Regs to the Planning Forum mailbox before the next Planning Forum meeting.	LAs
South: HCC said that at the last meeting the Community Engagement team said they would be meeting with LAs regarding Community Liaison Plans. HS2 Ltd said they would ask the Community Engagement Team for an update.	
Action: HS2 Ltd to feedback to the Community Engagement Team.	HS2 Ltd
South: LBC asked if the Action Log could be sent with the minutes of this meeting.	
Action: HS2 Ltd to circulate the updated Action Log with the meeting minutes.	HS2 Ltd

4	Community Engagement Framework – Key Changes	
	As the document had only been shared with the Planning Forum recently, HS2 Ltd presented the key changes that had been made to the document following previous Local Authority feedback. The key changes were:	
	 Inclusion of new text on design development Inclusion of new text on Health & Safety Inclusion of Annex A: community engagement document hierarchy Inclusion of Annex B: example of an HS2 Ltd Information Sheet Inclusion of Annex C: a template of a Community Liaison Plan 	
5	 Planning Portal - update HS2 Ltd told the Planning Forum that discussions with Planning Portal have commenced and that Planning Portal will give the Forum a presentation at a future meeting. HS2 Ltd confirmed that Schedule 17 submissions could be submitted using existing Planning Portal processes with agreed 'tailoring'. North: WDC stated that applications have been known to get stuck in the 	
	Planning Portal system for 3 weeks. HS2 Ltd noted this to feedback to Planning Portal. HS2 Ltd stated that the process will be set out in a Planning Forum Note.	
	North & South: HS2 Ltd asked Local Authorities to volunteer to be part of a working group to work together and trial the Planning Portal process.	
	South: (HCC) volunteered to take part in the trial.	
	North: The Chair emphasised that the working group will establish how to use the current Planning Portal for Schedule 17 submissions. HS2 Ltd stated that a process or protocol in terms of what to input into the fields when making a Schedule 17 submission will be established. HS2 Ltd asked Local Authority volunteers to email the Planning Forum mailbox soon as this work needs to progress quickly.	
	North: LDC highlighted that all Phase One Local Authorities will need to be properly briefed to the process or protocol that is established.	
	Action: Local Authorities who wish to volunteer should email the Planning Forum mailbox.	LAs
6	Planning Forum Notes (PFNs)	
	- Written Statements and Design & Access Statements (DAS)	
	HS2 Ltd introduced the PFN which will set out the contents of the written statements to accompany requests for approval under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of Schedule 17. Design & Access Statements will be produced for plans and	
L	1	

specification submissions for stations and key design elements and their content will reflect the scope of paragraphs 2 and 3 of Schedule 17.	
North: Bucks CC asked how the submissions are quality assured. HS2 Ltd confirmed that all Schedule 17 submissions would be reviewed by HS2 Ltd prior to submission by the contractor.	
- Consultation Arrangements	l
HS2 Ltd introduced the PFN which clarifies that paragraph 18 of Schedule 17 sets out when statutory bodies should be invited to make representations. The note will provide guidance on which type of submissions are likely to be relevant. HS2 Ltd suggested that it would be more efficient for LAs to send a consultation notification via email instead of providing a hard copy.	
HS2 Ltd stated that where elected members and/or parish councils requested briefing on the Schedule 17 planning regime, HS2 Ltd would be happy to provide this.	
South: WCC said that Local Authorities not being required to consult with Parish Councils, does not reconcile with WCC's interpretation of statements made by the Promoter's Counsel at Select Committee. HS2 Ltd stated that the requirements of Schedule 17 are clear, and that Counsel understand the Bill requirements.	
Action: HS2 Ltd to feedback to the Hybrid Bill Team.	HS2 Ltd
- Lorry Routes	l
HS2 Ltd introduced the PFN which will set out the approach to Lorry Route approvals. Approvals are sought for lorry routes to and from worksites with more than 24 LGV movements per day.	
HS2 Ltd stated that a process for approving routes to and from local businesses and suppliers that do not reach trunk road networks needs to be established. Submissions will include a general provision that, where an existing business is located between the special/trunk road and a worksite, LGVs from that business to the site will be required to take the shortest appropriate route to join the main route, so as not to disadvantage local businesses.	
	l
North: Bucks CC suggested that this might be addressed through the Traffic Liaison Groups (TLGs).	

	 North: SCC asked when these approvals will occur. HS2 Ltd said that these approvals are likely to be progressed as part of the early works. It is likely that discussions will commence in October once the technical work to inform them is complete. South: LBB said that the TLRN is relatively small within the London Network and asked who HS2 Ltd are speaking to. HS2 Ltd confirmed that the approval remains with the London Borough. North & South: The Chair asked if this PFN was going to be on the agenda at the Highway Subgroup meeting. HS2 Ltd confirmed that it would be discussed at Highways Subgroup. Action: HS2 Ltd to circulate the draft Planning Forum Notes to the Forum. Action: Local Authorities to consider the draft Planning Forum Notes and provide comments to the Planning Forum mailbox by the 2nd September (revised date since meeting). 	HS2 Ltd LAs
7	Draft Service Level Agreement – HS2 feedback on LA comments	
	HS2 Ltd confirmed that the 3 rd draft SLA would be circulated next week. It is hoped that this draft will resolve a number of issues Local Authorities raised in their feedback.	
	HS2 Ltd clarified that there will be an individual SLA for each Local Authority.	
	North & South: Bucks CC said that the approach discussed previously was that every Local Authority would have the same template for consistency. HS2 Ltd said they will take that same template as far as they can generically, but individual agreements will be signed by each Local Authority.	
	HS2 Ltd presented the key changes in the 3 rd draft.	
	North & South: Bucks CC said that there should be a novation clause, to provide for when the nominated undertaker is not HS2 Ltd.	
	North: SDC asked if there is any clarity that HS2 Ltd will be the Nominated Undertaker. HS2 Ltd said that this is the working assumption and that although HS2 Ltd negotiated Assurances, does not mean they do not apply to a Nominated Undertaker that is not HS2 Ltd.	
	South: WCC asked if the final SLA is issued before the House of Lords Select Committee had decided on WCCs petition with regard to engagement costs, how would HS2 Ltd accommodate the change to the SLA? HS2 Ltd stated that any necessary changes would be incorporated at the instruction of the House of Lords Select Committee.	

	HS2 Ltd asked for the Forum's feedback as soon as possible on whether they wished to proceed with individual discussions on the 3 rd draft SLA.	
	North: WDC said that Warwick Council are not confident in the estimated number of consents given that different multipliers appear to have been used for different Local Authorities.	
	Action: HS2 Ltd will consider the estimated consent numbers to ensure consistency across North and South Local Authorities.	HS2 Ltd
	North: LDC stated that the consents numbers shared with them were considered not enough work for one officer. HS2 Ltd said that this level of detail would inform the individual discussions on the SLA.	
	South: The Chair stated that the version that is sent to Local Authorities should be considered and comments collated.	
	Action: Local Authorities will consider the 3 rd draft SLA and let HS2 Ltd know if they are to respond collectively or are willing to progress individual discussions.	LAs
8	Draft Planning Memorandum – update	
	HS2 Ltd stated that no further comments from Local Authorities had been received so the version in the EMRs (March 2016) is being considered final and would be the version sent to Local Authorities for signing.	
	North: LDC asked if it will be sent to Chief Executives. HS2 Ltd said that the signing process needs to be confirmed with DfT and then Planning Forum will be informed.	
9	HS2 Ltd Updates	
	Parliamentary Update – DfT provided a parliamentary update regarding the appointment of Constant and Constant , the SoS for Transport and Constant , Minister of State for Transport.	
	North: WCC said that there was talk of a 12 month delay to the project. HS2 Ltd said that the NAO Report which had been recently published had made recommendations and that HS2 Ltd will respond to them.	
	North: The Chair asked if the Select Committee will sit during the Conference period. HS2 Ltd confirmed they would not.	
	Project Update – HS2 Ltd told the Planning Forum that a report has been published with recommendations from Constant and Second Seco	

	 Subgroup Updates – HS2 Ltd told the Planning Forum that the next Highway Subgroup is scheduled for the 3rd August and the next Environmental Health Subgroup is scheduled for the 15th September (since brought forward to 6th). Forward plan – HS2 Ltd discussed potential dates for Planning Forum in September (since confirmed as 14th North and 15th South) and October. Action: HS2 Ltd will send out calendar invites once dates are confirmed. 	HS2 Ltd
	South: SBDC/CDC asked if the Community Engagement Framework will be on the September or October Planning Forum agenda. HS2 Ltd said they would need to check timescales.	
	Action: HS2 Ltd to check timescales with regards to the CEF and inform the Forum when meeting papers are circulated.	HS2 Ltd
	POST MEETING NOTE: Comments due by the 9 th September. There will be an opportunity for Local Authorities to provide key comments at the September meeting.	LAs
	North: Bucks CC asked when Local Authorities will receive a construction programme as per the Planning Memorandum, as Local Authorities will be required to sign Planning Memorandum prior to seeing their Construction Programme. HS2 Ltd confirmed that the Planning Memorandum is for Local Authorities to become a Qualifying Authority, and is not predicated on the construction programme.	
10	AOB North & South: None raised.	