

From: Andy Brind [REDACTED]

Sent: 07 December 2023 16:37

To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Proposal S62A/2023/0027 Land at Warish hall farm north of Jacks Lane Takeley

Dear Sirs

I have sent this email to **strongly oppose** the Warish Hall Jacks Lane revised development plan for an additional 40 houses in our village.

The factors of greatest concern are:-

- 1) The loss of countryside within the Countryside Protection Zone (The harm to the character of the countryside outweighs the lack of land supply in the district).
- 2) Mental wellbeing of residents the fields and open spaces are used by residents of the local area to walk and enjoy .
- 3) Local health and school provision is already inadequate for the existing population.
- 4) A need to protect against coalescence between the airport and adjacent local villages
- 5) Smiths Green has eleven listed heritage assets which this development will impact directly.
- 6) Jacks lane wood is inhabited by Fallow and Muntjack deer also Bats, Owls and is a wild life haven with the only direct access to these woods being via the proposed development field
- 7) Our water supply is only just on the national limit of 0.8 of a bar pressure we often loose water supply in the summer also the fire hydrant main is only 75mm both are not suited to the continued over development of the village.
- 8) Traffic and congestion in the village is already at breaking point with journey times into Enfield (my place of work) increased often by at least 45 minutes in the past 8 years the M11 can not take the traffic load and often at a standstill at junction 7 for Harlow with East Herts at Bishops Stortford increasing their building program and Uttlesford proposing to increase their program this situation will soon become total gridlock.

I would like to thank the planning inspectorate for refusing permission to develop this site earlier this year and can only hope that the same outcome is achieved with this virtually identical resubmission of basically the same proposed development.

Yours faithfully

Andrew Brind