This application must be considered in the context of UTT/21/1987/FUL which was refused on appeal.

The applicant is AGAIN seeking to obtain back door permission by resubmitting an amended proposal

The smaller part of refused UTT/21/1987/FUL relating to Jacks Field has already been resubmitted in a different cloak and was still refused.

This is another bite of the same cherry and all of the original objections and reasons for refusal remain valid including without limitation:-

*The development will be wholly detrimental to a small rural community and will create further unacceptable additional flows of vehicular traffic onto an already overused, heavily congested minor road.

*The proposed estate would have a drastic and detrimental impact on wider rural setting, including adjacent/proximate ancient woodland, and pre-existing residences (some of which are listed and of historic significance) and the overall setting of the Smiths Green village hamlet.

*Despite claims to the contrary there would be no way to provide safe conduits for the inevitable heavy increase in pedestrian and cycle traffic to areas surrounding the site and even if there were those conduits would themselves be in conflict with the existing, settings, rights and rulings of adjacent areas

*There has already been a significant increase in residential development in the Takeley area and there are already outline plans for developments on the fringes of an already over-stretched and over-populated village with poor infrastructure and meagre social, recreational, transport, road, education, social and other services.

An already meagre habitat resource for declining heritage wildlife, birds, insects, trees flowers and shrubs will be shamefully diminished for go good reason.

I object to the application and cannot see any conceivable revision that would temper that objection.

Kind Regards

John Doherty