

**From:** Tim Ashenden [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2023 13:37  
**To:** Section 62A Applications <[section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** S62A/2023/0026. Planning application for land west of Robin Hood Road, Elsenham, Essex

Hi,

We've been given plans relating to the outline planning application for this site.

It appears from the plans that access to the site is now being considered via the turning area and bay adjacent to the site in Robin Hood Road near the railway line.

Are you aware that these bays are used for daytime and out of hours routine and emergency access by Network Rail to the pedestrian crossing at the bottom of Robin Hood Road?

If the application is agreed these vehicles will still need access and would therefore block entry/exit to the entire site and the residents of the 40 new homes.

I see no reason to create this problem when there is perfectly adequate access to the site from the other side where the former owners of the site reside.

There is a single track road running down the western boundary of the site which is used solely by them and as such access this way would have minimal impact on local residents.

Tim Ashenden  
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Tim Ashenden [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Mon, 4 Dec 2023, 12:14  
**Subject:** Planning application for land west of robin hood road, Elsenham, Essex  
**To:** <[section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>

Hi,

We've been given plans relating to the outline planning application for this site.

It appears from the plans that access to the site is now being considered via the turning area and bay adjacent to the site in Robin Hood Road.

Are you aware that these bays are used for daytime and out of hours routine and emergency access by Network Rail to the pedestrian crossing at the bottom of Robin Hood Road?

If the application is agreed these vehicles will still need access and would therefore block entry/exit to the entire site and the residents of the 44 new homes.

I see no reason to create this problem when there is perfectly adequate access to the site from the other side where the owners of the site reside.

There is a single track road running down the western boundary of the site which is used solely by them and as such access this way would have minimal impact on local residents.

Tim Ashenden

