

Uttlesford District Council

Building for a Healthy Life

A Design Toolkit for neighbourhoods, streets, homes and public spaces





Homes England is the national housing accelerator.

Building for a Healthy Life is Homes England's key measure of design quality. Building for a Healthy Life is the latest edition of - and new name for - Building for Life 12.

Building for a Healthy Life (BHL) updates England's most widely known and most widely used design tool for creating places that are better for people and nature. The original 12 point structure and underlying principles within Building for Life 12 are at the heart of BHL. The new name reflects changes in legislation as well as refinements which we've made to the 12 considerations in response to good practice and user feedback.

This edition of Building for a Healthy Life was created to showcase examples of best practice within Uttlesford, to help reinforce the Council's commitment to good design at every scale, from new places that create thriving new communities to individual buildings. This document is intended to help designers and decision-makers meet their responsibility to deliver excellent design by highlighting common issues and suggesting straightforward ways to embed quality. It is also to be used as a tool to discuss good quality design with local people. Streets that are places for people rather than just cars, and green/blue infrastructure that supports biodiversity and amenity need more than ever to be key considerations for any new development, likewise design that imaginatively reflects the character and identity of the area, including landscape and heritage aspects. Planning applications involving residential development are expected to demonstrate how they address the Building for a Healthy Life quality design criteria, and therefore it is suggested that designers use this document to develop proposals from the outset.

As we approached the publication of BHL, Coronavirus (COVID-19) reached our country. Intensive engagement with NHS England and NHS Improvement, Homes England and relevant stakeholders had concluded before restrictions were imposed, or their impact anticipated. The need to make it easier for people to choose to cycle or walk to essential services or work to minimise traffic and mitigate climate change become more important as public transport capacity and demand reduced. The virus made designing for active travel and access to green space more important to our health and overall sense of wellbeing. The Department for Transport brought forward proposals, announcing a £2bn investment for dedicated cycling and walking routes, setting up Active Travel England whilst also publishing LTN 1/20 and Gear Change. Where there is a direct connection between BHL and how we might respond to the pandemic and the need for more active travel, these can be identified with the ©.

Building for a Healthy Life is a Design Code to help people improve the design of new and growing neighbourhoods.

BHL has been created to allow a broad range of people to use it easily – from members of a local community, local councillors, developers to local authorities – allowing those involved in a proposed new development to focus their thoughts, discussions and efforts on the things that matter most when creating good places to live.

Organised across three headings, 12 considerations are presented to help those involved in new developments to think about the qualities of successful places and how these can be best applied to the individual characteristics of a site and its wider context. These three headings will guide you from **macro through to micro scale considerations**.

Each consideration is **illustrated with clear written and visual prompts** helping you to identify good practice and avoid common pitfalls.

BHL can help local communities to set clear expectations of new developments by offering a series of easy to understand considerations that will also allow local communities to more easily identify the qualities (or deficiencies) of development proposals.

14 INTEGRATED NEIGHBOURHOODS

Natural connections

Walking, cycling and public transport

Facilities and services

Homes for everyone

38 DISTINCTIVE PLACES

Making the most of what's there

A memorable character

Well defined streets and spaces

Easy to find your way around

62 STREETS FOR ALL

Healthy streets

Cycle and car parking

Green and blue infrastructure

Back of pavement, front of home



Building for a Healthy Life's 12 considerations move away from the 12 questions in Building for Life 12. This is a tactical shift in emphasis. Questions demand a quick response whereas good design requires more time, analysis and thought.

BHL offers written and visual prompts directing you to the components of successful places. You will notice that photographs are free of annotations and descriptions to encourage you to think about why a particular image has been included and help you to better recognise well designed (and less well designed) places.

The 12 considerations capture the areas of design and placemaking that need most attention but are often the most overlooked.

If you already use or are familiar with Building for Life 12 you will recognise that the basic principles are the same. If you're a local authority and you've cited Building for Life 12 in your Local Plan or other policy documents a useful table¹ has been provided to allow you to understand where changes have been made and see that the basic principles are the same.

When using BHL it is important that local authorities and developers **discuss the 12 considerations at the very start of the design process,** agreeing what is required to achieve a green light against each consideration. It is also recommended that the considerations are also used to frame discussions with local communities and other stakeholders. This approach is much more effective than having these discussions later on when a site layout has been produced - and when a considerable amount of time and money will have been spent. It is simply more effective to use the 12 considerations as a basis for discussion and design exploration before progressing proposals too far - a tenet which distinguishes community engagement from community consultation.

See pages 86-8

Trim trail at Franklin Drive, Elsenham

Using Building for a Healthy Life

²BHL generally works for developments of around ten homes or more. For smaller developments, the considerations can be useful prompts

although not all of them might be appropriate for the scale of the scheme.

BHL works best where it is used as a 'golden strand' running through the development and planning process. This involves:

- Local authorities embedding BHL considerations into Local Plans, Local Design Codes, Supplementary Planning Documents and site specific briefs. The 12 considerations are a very effective way of structuring pre-application discussions relating to design quality².
- Highways Authorities adopting the Manual for Streets principles and thinking.
- **Developers** adopting BHL, using it as a design tool for new developments from the inception of a scheme, rather than after (or towards the end of) the design process.
- Local authorities, developers, local communities and other stakeholders using BHL as a way to set expectations of new developments. The larger the scale of the development, the broader the engagement needs to be and to help develop a strong understanding of the site and its wider context alongside the needs of the local community.
- Planning Committees and local councillors using BHL to assist with decision making allowing them to more easily identify the design qualities (or weaknesses) of proposed new developments.
- Strategic Planning Leads within the 44 Integrated Health System organisations across England building relationships with local planning authorities with a view to participating in Local Plans, supplementary planning documents, site specific development briefs and pre-application discussions.

Developers, local authorities and local communities can also use BHL to review the quality of completed developments, helping them to understand areas of success alongside areas for improvement.

Homes England endorse Building for a Healthy Life. Homes England have used BFL12 since 2019 and are now using BHL. Homes England use the 12 considerations as part of its evaluation process for selecting bidders for its land disposal programme. Procurement panel partners whose designs ignore BHL considerations are marked down in the

bidding process.

Building for a Healthy Life	National Planning Policy Framework 2021	National Design Guide	
Natural connections	92a; 92c; 98; 106d; 130c; 130d; 130f	B3; M1; M2; N1; R3	
Walking, cycling and public transport	92a; 92b; 92c; 104c; 104e; 106d; 110a; 110c; 112; 130f	B1; B3; M1; R3	
Facilities and services	92a; 92c; 93a; 95; 98	B1; B3; N1; P3; U1; U3	
Homes for everyone	61-63; 65; 73	B1; B2; U2; U3	
Distinctive Places			
Making the most of what's there	125; 128; 130c; 130d	C1; C2; I1; B2; R3	
A memorable character	125; 128; 130c; 130d; 131	C2; I1; I2; I3; B3	
Well defined streets and spaces	92a; 92b; 130f; 131	B2; M2; N2; N3; P1; P2; H2; L3	
Easy to find your way around	92a; 92b; 106d; 130f; 131	I1; M1; M2; U1	
Streets for All			
Healthy streets	92a; 92b; 92c; 104c; 104e; 110a; 110c; 112; 130f; 131	M1; M2; N3; P1; P2; P3; H1; H2	
Cycle and car parking	92a; 92c; 104c; 106d; 107; 108; 112c;	B2; M1; M3	
Green and blue infrastructure	92a; 92b; 92c; 93a; 98; 120b; 130f; 131	C1; B3; M1; N1; N2, N3; P1; P3; H1; R3; L1	
Back of pavement, front of home	92a	M3; H3; L3	
Generally	7-10; 16c-e; 38-42; 126-135	15; 16; 17; 20-29; 31-32	
Using the tool as a discussion tool	39; 40-42; 127; 132; 133		

The relationship between Building for a Healthy Life, the National Planning Policy Framework



Achieving the best outcome

BHL is foremost a **design process structure**, **not a scoring system**. For this reason we list and illustrate examples of good practice highlighted by a green light. Poor practice is highlighted with a red light.

Where an element of design is considered to fall between a green and a red traffic light, an amber light can be assigned to a particular consideration. An amber light does not mean that the design scores 'half a point'. Instead it cautions that an aspect of a scheme is not fully resolved. In many cases it is possible to rethink and redesign an aspect of a scheme to achieve a better outcome.

The more green lights a proposed development secures, the better it will be.

The objective is to minimise the number of amber lights and avoid red lights.

A red light suggests that one or more aspects of a scheme need to be reconsidered.

BHL offers a **process for collaborative working** between developers, local authorities, communities and other stakeholders by providing principles for creating better places and focusing attention on them. Successful placemaking comes from talking, discussing and exploring ideas, workshops, drawing and modelling.

The best way to use BHL is to use the **12 considerations as a starting point and for those involved to agree what is needed to secure a green light against each consideration.** It is particularly helpful if local authorities clearly explain what is expected to secure a green light against a particular consideration.

There may be **circumstances where amber lights cannot be avoided** due to circumstances beyond the control of the local authority and/or the developer.

For instance, third-party land ownership may prevent optimal connectivity from being achieved (See Natural Connections, page 14). Here an amber light might be justified if the layout of streets and spaces does not prevent you from improving the scheme's connections in the future.

BHL reflects Manual for Streets (2007) in the Healthy Streets consideration (see page 62). It is recognised that a number of local highway authorities have not adopted (or have not fully adopted) the principles set out in Manual for Streets. This can make it very difficult for developers to secure a green light against Healthy Streets. Where this is the case, an amber light is considered justified. This means the developer should not be penalised for not being able to secure a green light against this particular consideration.

BHL promotes more innovative practices adopted by some Highway Authorities to encourage other authorities to reconsider current practice. In 2020, Homes England will publish a set of street details that meet the expectations of both urban designers and highways officers. These more innovative approaches to street design encourage slower vehicle speeds, higher levels of walking and cycling; improving levels of physical activity and local air quality.











Who decides what is a green, amber or red light?

By using BHL you can better understand the qualities of well-designed developments. The written and visual guidance against each consideration will help you understand how a green light scheme looks and functions – and how a red light scheme looks and functions.

The more you use BHL, the more confident and knowledgeable you will become. References³ signpost you to other useful publications.

If you need help, advice or training this can be found from the authors of BHL and also the nationwide Design Network⁴ whose members are based locally.

Building for a Healthy Life Commendations

If a development secures at least nine green lights (and no red lights), you can apply for BHL Commendation. A Commendation will allow you to use BHL logo on the development and help you showcase its qualities to prospective home buyers.

Step One: Contact your local Design Network partner and request a BHL Review (fees apply).

If the BHL Review agree that you secure at least nine green lights (and no red lights), you will be issued with a short report confirming this. The local Design Network partner will send a copy of this report to Design for Homes.

If the BHL Review do not agree that your development merits at least nine green lights, you will still receive a report that will clearly explain where the issues are and how you can either resolve these issues or better consider these on a future development.

At least two people will conduct the BHL Review with more people on larger developments.

Step Two: Contact Design for Homes.

You will be issued with your BHL Commendation e-certificate and branding pack (fee applies).



















Putting Health into Place

Improving the health of local communities requires greater action, addressing the role that the built environment has on people's health and wellbeing as part of what is called a 'whole systems' approach.

'Putting Health into Place' is a series of publications that capture the findings of the Healthy New Towns Programme. Led by NHS England and NHS Improvement, Public Health England and partners, ten demonstrator sites across England explored the 'how to' of healthy Placemaking. The publications present ten principles that show how healthier places can be planned and designed creating new ways of providing integrated and health care services. The ten principles are also embedded within BHL.









england.nhs.uk/publications/putting-health-into-place

The 10 Putting Health into Place principles and Building for a Healthy Life





Create places that are well integrated into the site and their wider natural and built surroundings. Avoid creating isolated and disconnected places that are not easy places to move through and around.

- Look beyond the red line that marks the extent of your site. Ordnance Survey maps along with satellite mapping software such as Google Earth are useful tools to help you understand the wider context and how you can best stitch a new development into a place.
- Identify the places, facilities and services you need to connect to.

- Draw points of connection into and through your site creating a strong and direct street, path and open space network.
- Create well-connected street and path networks, providing opportunities for these to be extended beyond the site boundary in the future.
- Research and respond to how water flows and nature moves across your site and the wider surroundings.

















- Edge to edge connectivity.
- Respond to pedestrian and cyclist desire lines.
- Connected street patterns. These work best when they include straight or nearly straight streets to makes pedestrian routes as direct as possible.
- Filtered permeability. A useful technique that designs out 'rat running' and creates a pleasant low traffic environment around people's homes whilst still allowing pedestrian and cycle movement.
- Continuous streets (with public access) along the edges of a development. Private drives can frustrate pedestrian and cycle movement along the edges of a development.
- Connecting existing and new habitats; safeguarding existing or creating new movement corridors for nature.
- Where retained, keeping hedgerows within the public realm, safeguarding their future retention and management.
- Streets and routes that can be extended in the future.
- Adoption to site boundaries.















- Single or limited points of access for pedestrians and cyclists.
- Extensive use of private drives.
- Pedestrian or cycle routes that are not well overlooked and lit after dark.
- Failing to respond to existing (or anticipate future) pedestrian and cycle desire lines.
- No opportunities to connect or extend streets and paths if required by later development.
- Internal streets and paths that are not well connected or are indirect.
- Retaining existing hedgerows between the back gardens of individual homes.
- Ransom strips.







NEIGHBO

Short trips of up to three miles⁵ can be easily made on foot or bicycle if the right infrastructure is in place, helping to improve public health and air quality whilst also reducing local congestion and carbon emissions.

- Cycle and walk the neighbourhood to understand where off-site interventions will be most useful⁶. Local residents and councillors can help you understand where investment in improvements to pedestrian and cycle infrastructure might have most impact.
- Invite people to cycle within the site and beyond to destinations within at least a three mile radius: with routes through green spaces, quiet streets alongside prioritised and protected routes on busy streets, junctions and roads.
- If there is an existing protected cycle network, connect to it. Alternatively, begin a new one by building or funding routes to key destinations.

- Ensure access for all and help make walking feel like an instinctive choice for everyone undertaking short journeys (such as the school run or older generations accessing local facilities and services).
- · Streets and paths that connect people to places and public transport services in the most direct way, making car-free travel more attractive, safe and convenient.
- Make sure that all streets and routes pass in front of people's homes rather than to the back of them creating a well overlooked public realm.
- Exploit existing (or planned) public transport hubs, such as train stations and bus interchanges, to build at higher densities and channel a higher percentage of journeys to public transport.






















Nudge people away from the car. Offer cycle (and cargo bike) parking closer to the entrance of commercial, leisure and community facilities than car parking spaces.

Provide scooter and cycle parking at schools. Scooters can encourage younger children to get active on the way to school.

Design out school runs dependent on cars.

Start or contribute to the delivery of a Local Cycle and Walking Strategy Infrastructure Plan.

Zebra, parallel⁷ and signalised crossing.

Tight corner radii (<3m) at street junctions and side streets.

Concentrate new development around existing or new transport hubs.

Demand Responsive Transport⁸, car clubs and car shares.

Short and direct walking and cycling connections that make public transport an easy choice to make.

New or improved Park and Ride schemes.

20mph design speeds, designations and traffic calming.

Protected cycle ways along busy streets.



green = go ahead

⁷See Department of Transport (2019) Traffic Signs Manual: Chapter 6 (Section 17, p.129) assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/851465/dft-traffic-signs-manual-chapter-6.pdf

8Also known as on demand transport.





























- Travel Packs that fail to influence people's travel choices.
- White line or undivided shared pavement/cycle ways.
- Pedestrians and cyclists losing priority at side junctions.
- Oversized radii corners on streets that are principally residential that allow motor vehicles to travel around corners at high speeds.
- Streets that twist and turn unnaturally in an effort to control vehicle speed but make walking and cycling routes longer than they need to be.
- Streets principally designed around waste collection vehicles.
- Overwide carriageways9 that reduce space for pedestrians and cyclists, making it more difficult for people to get around easily especially when social distancing restrictions are in place.
- Serviced parcel developments where pedestrian and cycle connections between different phases of development are frustrated.





Places that offer social, leisure and recreational opportunities a short walk or cycle from their homes.

- Developments that provide community facilities, such as shops, schools, workplaces, health facilities, co-working spaces, parks, play spaces, cafés and other meeting places that respond to local community needs.
- Locate any new facilities in the best location for those walking, cycling and using public transport.
- Consider whether improving existing facilities will add more value to the local community than adding new ones.
- Assess or identify what sport and leisure provision there is for people of all ages, paying particular attention to the needs of children, teenagers and older people.
- Create places where people can meet each other such as public spaces, leisure facilities, community buildings, cafes and restaurants to provide opportunities for social interaction – helping to improve public health by encouraging physical activity and helping to tackle those affected by loneliness and isolation.
- 'Play on the way' can make car-free trips more fun for children making them want to walk or cycle to school.
- Sustainable drainage schemes that contribute towards an attractive and accessible network of streets and public spaces.













- Intensifying development in locations that benefit from good public transport accessibility, in particularly around public transport hubs such as train stations and bus interchanges.
- Reserving land in the right locations for non-residential uses.
- Active frontages.
- Clear windows along the ground floor of non-residential buildings (avoid obscure windows).
- Mixing compatible uses vertically, such as placing supported accommodation at the heart of new developments above active ground floor uses.
- Giving places where routes meet a human scale and create public squares.
- Frequent benches can help those with mobility difficulties to walk more easily between places.



















- Local centres that are not easily accessible and attractive to pedestrians and cyclists.
- Non-residential developments that are delivered as a series of individual parcels with their own surface level car parks set back from the street.
- Where routes converge, avoid creating places that are of an inhuman scale and that frustrate pedestrian and cycle movement.
- Inactive street edges, dead elevations, service yards next to the street and obscure ground floor windows.
- Play and other recreational facilities hidden away within developments rather than in located in more prominent locations that can help encourage new and existing residents to share a space.
- Not anticipating and responding to desire lines, such as between public transport stops and the entrances to buildings and other facilities.



red = stop & rethink

A range of homes¹⁰ that meet local community needs.

- A mix of housing types and tenures that suit the needs of the local community. This may include first time buyer homes, family homes, homes for those downsizing and supported living.
- Maximising the opportunities offered by supported accommodation, placing these homes at the heart of new developments above active ground floor uses such as shops, community facilities and pre-schools.¹¹
- Offering people access to at least some private outdoor space. This is particularly important for people's mental health and wellbeing especially when social distancing and travel restrictions are in place. ❸



¹ºThere are mental health benefits associated with older people living in their homes for longer and within a community setting.

¹¹Early research indicates that there may be social, physical and mental health benefits by providing opportunities for older generations to feel part of a broader community.

















- Designing homes and streets where it is difficult to determine the tenure of properties through architectural, landscape or other differences.
- Apartment buildings might separate tenure by core but each core must look exactly the same.
- A range of housing typologies supported by local housing needs and policies to help create a broad-based community.
- Homes with the flexibility to meet changing needs.
- Affordable homes that are distributed across a development.
- Access to some outdoor space suitable for drying clothes for apartments and maisonettes.
- Consider providing apartments and maisonettes with some private outdoor amenity space such as semi-private garden spaces for ground floor homes; balconies and terraces for homes above ground floor.













- Grouping affordable homes in one place (except on smaller developments).
- Dividing places and facilities such as play spaces by tenure.
- Revealing the different tenure of homes through architecture, landscape, access, car parking, waste storage or other design features.
- Not using the space around apartment buildings to best effect and where these could easily be used to create small, semi-private amenity spaces allocated to individual ground floor apartments.



Understand and respond.

- Allow time for good design, walk the site and the surroundings with the local planning authority.
 Discuss, understand and agree opportunities and constraints building a shared vision that makes use of the topography and other existing assets on and beyond the site.
- Explore conceptual ideas before settling on an agreed way forward and producing a site layout. For instance, if there are existing site features explore how these might be best integrated into a place.
- Identify any visual connections into, out, through and beyond the site.
- Work with the contours of the land.
- Understand how water flows across and pools on the site. Explore how water can be used to enhance biodiversity, create character and improve people's sense of wellbeing.
- Draw all these considerations together to get the street, block and open space structure right from the start (a framework or concept plan).

- Consider opportunities for natural lighting, cooling and ventilation. Take care not to compromise important urban design principles such as perimeter block structure.
- Identify opportunities to integrate and reuse existing features of value, these might be natural or manmade, on or beyond the site.
- Be careful that hedges are not simply retained and prevent a sensible and practical new development layout. It may be more effective to create and plant new hedgerows and tree belts into development proposals than work around existing hedges. A well thought out approach may even increase habitat and biodiversity.
- Be sensitive to existing development but avoid creating buffer spaces between existing and new back gardens.
- Using the landform and ground conditions (soil) in a considered way. For instance, low-nutrient subsoils are ideal to put to one side if you wish to establish wildflower meadows rather than importing new topsoil.



















- Taking a walk to really understand the place where a new development is proposed and understand how any distinctive characteristics can be incorporated as features.
- Using existing assets as anchor features, such as mature trees and other existing features.
- Positive characteristics such as street types, landscape character, urban grain, plot shapes and sizes, building forms and materials being used to reflect local character.
- Sensitive transitions between existing and new development so that building heights, typologies and tenures sit comfortably next to each other.
- Remember the 'four pillars' of sustainable drainage systems.
- Protecting and enhancing existing habitats; creating new habitats.
- Interlocking back gardens between existing and new development (where existing back gardens adjoin a site boundary).

12These are water quantity, water quality, amenity and biodiversity,















- Designing without walking the site first.
- Funnelling rainwater away in underground pipes as the default water management strategy.
- Unmanaged gaps between development used as privacy buffers to existing residents.
- Placing retained hedges between rear garden boundaries or into private ownership.
- Building orientations and designs that fail to capitalise on features such as open views.
- Not being sensitive to existing neighbouring properties by responding to layout arrangements, housing typologies and building heights.







red = stop & rethink

Create places that are memorable.

- Create a place with a locally inspired or otherwise distinctive character.
- Review the wider area for sources of inspiration. If distinctive local characteristics exist, delve deeper than architectural style and details. Where the local context is poor or generic, do not use this as a justification for more of the same. Inspiration may be found in local history and culture.
- Understand where positive local character comes from: streets, blocks and plots (urban grain), green and blue infrastructure, land uses, building form, massing and materials often underpin the essence of the distinctive character of settlements rather than architectural style and details.

- Using a local materials palette (where appropriate)
 can be a particularly effective way to connect a
 development to a place. This is often more achievable
 and credible than mimicking traditional architectural
 detailing which can be dependent on lost crafts.
- Brownfield sites can offer sources of inspiration for new development. Greenfield and edge of settlement locations often require more creativity and inspiration to avoid creating places that lack a sense of local or otherwise distinctive character.
- Character can also be created through the social life of public spaces. Create the physical conditions for activity to happen and bring places to life.

















- A strong, hand drawn design concept. To find the right solution a number of different ideas and options might need to be explored.
- Drawing inspiration from local architectural and/or landscape character.
- Reflecting character in either a traditional or contemporary style.
- Structural landscaping as a way to create places with a memorable character.
- Memorable spaces and building groupings.
- Place names that have a connection to the locality can help stimulate ideas and design thought. A place name like 'Valley View' will always be more helpful on larger, multi-developer developments than generic terms such as 'Parcel R5.1'.

































- Using a predetermined sequence of house types to dictate a layout.
- Attempting to create character through poor replication of architectural features or details.
- Arranging buildings next to each other in a way that does not create a cohesive street scene.
- Referencing generic or forgettable development nearby to justify more of the same.

red = stop & rethink

Create a network of streets and spaces that are well enclosed by buildings and/or structural landscaping, taking care to ensure that front doors and the principal facades of buildings face streets and public spaces.

- A strong framework of connected and well overlooked streets and spaces.
- Look beyond the plan and illustrative street scenes; what will you actually see and experience walking along the street?
- Perimeter blocks with clearly defined public fronts and private backs.
- Active frontages. Front doors, balconies, terraces, front gardens and bay windows are a good way to enliven and add interest to the street and create a more human scale to larger buildings such as apartments and supported living accommodation.
- Carefully considered street corners.
- Three dimensional models (physical or computer generated) and simple, hand drawn street cross sections can be particularly useful tools to understand and test the spatial qualities of a place.



















- Streets with active frontages.
- Well defined streets and spaces, using buildings, landscaping and/or water to enclose and define spaces.*
- Cohesive building compositions and building lines.
- Front doors that face streets and public spaces.
- Apartments that offer frequent front doors to the street.
- Dual aspect homes on street corners with windows serving habitable rooms.
- Perimeter blocks.
- Well resolved internal vistas.
- Building typologies that are designed to straddle narrow depth blocks.

*Figure ground diagrams can be a useful way to test this.



































- Distributor roads and restricted frontage access.
- Broken or fragmented perimeter block structure.
- Presenting blank or largely blank elevations to streets and public spaces.
- Lack of front boundaries, street planting and trees.
- Apartment buildings with single or limited points of access.
- Apartment buildings accessed away from the street.
- Staggered and haphazard building lines that are often created by placing homes with a mix of front and side parking arrangements next to each other.
- Street corners with blank or largely blank sided buildings and/or driveways. Street edges with garages, back garden spaces enclosed by long stretches of fencing or wall.
- Buffers between new and existing development that create channels of movement between back gardens whether access is permitted or not.
- Single aspect homes on street corners.
- Bits of left over land between the blank flank walls of buildings.





Use legible features to help people find their way around a place.

- Streets that connect with one another.
- Streets that are as straight and as direct as possible.
- Use street types, buildings, spaces, non-residential uses, landscape, water and other features to help people create a 'mental map' of a place.
- Streets with clearly different characters are more effective than 'character areas' in helping people grasp whether they are on a principal or secondary street.
- For larger sites, it will be necessary to use streets and spaces with different characters to help people to find their way around.

















- Designing for legibility when creating a concept plan for a place.
- Using streets as the main way to help people find their way around a place. For instance, principal streets can be made different to more minor streets through the use of different spatial characteristics, building typologies, building to street relationships, landscape strategies and boundary treatments.
- Navigable features for those with visual, mobility or other limitations.
- Frame views of features on or beyond a site.
- Create new legible elements or features on larger developments further reinforce legible features where necessary through the landscape strategy, building and layout design, hard landscaping and boundaries.
- Simple street patterns based on formal or more relaxed grid patterns.













- No meaningful variation between street types.
- Disorientating curvilinear street patterns.
- Disconnected streets, paths and routes.
- Building typologies, uses, densities, landscaping or other physical features are not used to create places that are different to one another.
- Cul de sac based street patterns.



Streets are different to roads. Streets are places where the need to accommodate the movement of motor vehicles is balanced alongside the need for people to move along and cross streets with ease. Activity in the street is an essential part of a successful public realm.

- Low-speed streets and neighbourhoods with pedestrian and cycle priority.
- The right balance between movement and place functions.
- Rethinking the way we distribute street space.
 At times of more relaxed social distancing, demand for better quality cycle provision is expected to increase as public transport capacity reduces.
 Congestion caused by motor vehicles will make it unattractive for people to switch from public transport to cars creating a unique opportunity to change the way we move around our cities, towns and villages.
- Healthy streets improve people's physical and mental health. Encouraging walking, cycling, outdoor play and streets where it is safe for younger children to cycle (or scooter) to school can create opportunities for social interaction and street life bringing wider social benefits.

- Street trees.
- Avoid streets that are just designed as routes for motor vehicles to pass through and for cars to park within.
- Boulevards and streets with active edges rather than distributor roads and bypasses with no (or limited) frontage access.
- •Streets that are easy to cross; providing priority for pedestrians and cyclists across junctions and accesses.
- Well overlooked streets with front doors facing streets and public spaces.
- Provide conditions for cycling appropriate to the speed and volume of motor traffic.
- Inclusive design: think about how people with visual, mobility or other limitations will be able to use the street confidently and safely.

















- Streets for people.
- 20mph (or lower) design speeds; 20mph designations.
- Tree lined streets. Make sure that trees have sufficient space to grow above and below ground, with long term management arrangements in place.
- Tight corner radii (3m or less).
- Places to sit, space to chat or play within the street.
- Pavements and cycleways that continue across side streets.
- Anticipating and responding to pedestrian and cycle 'desire lines' (the most direct routes between the places people will want to travel between).
- Landscape layers that add sensory richness to a place visual, scent and sound.

















- Roads for cars.
- Failure to adhere to the user hierarchy set out in Manual for Streets.
- Wide and sweeping corner radii (6m or more).
- 6m + wide carriageways.
- Highways engineering details that make pedestrian and cycle movements more complex and difficult.
- Street trees conveyed to individual occupiers.
- Distributor roads with limited frontage access, served by private drives.
- Painted white line cycle routes on pavements or on carriageways.
- Speed control measures that rely on significant shifts in street alignment that contribute towards wasting land whilst also creating disorientating places.







Well-designed developments will make it more attractive for people to choose to walk or cycle for short trips helping to improve levels of physical activity, air quality, local congestion and the quality of the street scene. Well-designed streets will also provide sufficient and well-integrated car parking.

- Provide secure cycle storage close to people's front doors so that cycles are as convenient to choose as a car for short trips.
- Integration of car parking into the street environment.
- Anticipate realistic levels of car parking demand, guarding against displaced and anti-social parking; thinking about the availability and frequency of public transport.

- Avoid confusing car ownership with car usage.
- Creative solutions for attractive, convenient and safe cycle parking or higher density developments (such as apartment buildings).
- Generous landscaping to settle frontage car parking into the street.
- Shared and unallocated parking.





















Secure and overlooked cycle parking that is as close to (if not closer) than car parking spaces (or car drop off bays) to the entrances of schools, shops and other services and facilities.

Shared and unallocated on street car parking.

Landscaping to help settle parked cars into the street.

Frontage parking where the space equivalent to a parking space is given over to green relief every four bays or so.

Anticipating and designing out (or controlling) anti-social car parking.

A range of parking solutions.

Small and overlooked parking courtyards, with properties within courtyard spaces with ground floor habitable rooms.

Staying up to date with rapidly advancing electric car technology.

More creative cycle and car parking solutions.































- Providing all cycle storage in garages and sheds.
- Over reliance on integral garages with frontage driveways.
- Frontage car parking with little or no softening landscaping.
- Parking courtyards enclosed by fencing; poorly overlooked, poorly lit and poorly detailed.
- Over-reliance on tandem parking arrangements.
- Failing to anticipate and respond to displaced and other anti-social parking.
- Views along streets that are dominated by parked cars, driveways or garages.
- Car parking spaces that are too narrow making it difficult for people to use them.
- Cycle parking that is located further away to the entrances to shops, schools and other facilities than car parking spaces and car drop off bays.
- Relying on garages being used for everyday car parking.



Creative surface water management such as rills, brooks and ponds enrich the public realm and help improve a sense of wellbeing and offer an interaction with nature. As the richest habitat for a range of flora and fauna, they are also a key play in achieving the net gain in biodiversity sought by the 2020 Environment Bill.

- Create a strong landscape strategy that has impact from 'day one'. Don't 'layer' landscape onto a scheme at the end of the process. Landscape changes can offer opportunities to reintroduce lost habitats and species.
- Create a network of different types of spaces.
- Weave opportunities for habitat creation throughout the development. Plan these as movement corridors to support biodiversity.
- Create food growing opportunities such as allotments and orchards on larger developments.

- Have a sustainable drainage 'treatment train' thinking about the 'four pillars'. Capture water as close as possible to where it falls. Be creative with rain gardens, ponds and swales and avoid steeplysided or fenced holes in the ground.
- Well-designed multi-functional sustainable drainage will incorporate play and recreational opportunities.
- Well-overlooked public open spaces with strong levels of natural surveillance.
- Robust management and long term stewardship.



















- Biodiversity net gain through features such as species rich grasslands.
- Movement and feeding corridors for wildlife, such as hedgehog highways. Bird boxes, swift nesting bricks and bat bricks may be appropriate.
- Plans that identify the character of new spaces, such as 'parks', 'woodland', 'allotments', 'wildflower meadows' rather than 'P.O.S.'.

 Be more specific about the function and character of public open spaces.
- Create Park Run ready routes on larger developments and other ways to encourage physical activity and social interaction.
- Capturing and managing water creatively and close to where it falls using features such as rain gardens and permeable surfaces. Allow people to connect with water.
- Create a habitat network providing residents with opportunities to interact with nature on a day to day basis. Wildlife does not flourish within disconnected back gardens, artificial lawns and tightly mown grass.
- Provide natural surveillance opportunities.
- A connected and accessible network of public open spaces with paths and other routes into and through.
- Sports and play facilities.
- Well considered management arrangements whether public or privately managed.























- Surface water management by way of a large, steep sided and fenced holes in the ground.
- Small pieces of land (typically grassed over) that offer little or no public, private or biodiversity value that over time become neglected and forgotten.
- Large expanses of impervious surfaces.
- Not designing paths and routes through open spaces where it is difficult for people to create distance between themselves and other people when social distancing restrictions are in place.
- Buildings that turn away from open spaces.
- Poor quality finishing, detailing and maintenance.



Garden cities, towns and suburbs used hedges to define public and private spaces, helping to create characterful and biodiverse places. The space between the back of the pavement and the face of buildings has a significant impact on the quality of a place. Clear demarcations between public and private spaces can encourage people to personalise the front of their homes whilst also offering opportunities to integrate level changes, utility boxes and waste storage.

- Clearly define private spaces through strong boundary treatments.
- Manage changes in level in a way that does not compromise the qualities of the street.
- Design the space between the back of the pavement and building façades carefully to integrate services, waste storage and utilities cabinets (meter boxes) so their impact is reduced.
- Avoid pieces of 'leftover' land that serve no useful public or private function. Homes with shallow street backs need careful thought as it is not uncommon to see these spaces poorly resolved with small pieces of grass turf or gravel.
- Outdoor amenity space for apartment buildings, such as a balcony for relaxing
 or the drying of clothes.

















- Defensible space and strong boundary treatments.
- Boundary treatments that add ecological value and/or reinforce distinctive local characteristics.
- Well integrated waste storage and utility boxes. If relying on rear garden storage solutions for terraces and townhouses, provide direct access to these from the street.
- Front garden spaces that create opportunities for social interaction.
- Ground floor apartments with their own front doors and semi-private amenity spaces help to enliven the street whilst also reducing the amount of people using communal areas.
- Consider providing terraces or balconies to above ground floor apartments these can also help to enliven the street, increase natural surveillance and provide residents with access to the open air.
- No left over spaces with no clear public or private function.
- Consider apartment buildings whose access is from a deck rather than a corridor, enabling cross ventilation of apartments while limiting shared common parts which are enclosed.



















- Poorly considered spaces between the back of the pavement and the face of buildings that erode the quality of the street environment.
- Narrow and small grass frontage strips for space between the back of the street and the façades of buildings that are impractical to maintain.
- Waste storage solutions for terraced homes that rely on residents storing bins and crates in rear garden spaces and instead often sees bins and crates placed next to front doors.
- Slab on edge.
- Concrete screed with pebbles.
- Prominent external pipes, flues and utility boxes.
- Pieces of left over land between or to the side of buildings with no clear public or private function.
- Poorly resolved changes in level.



















red = stop & rethink

Moving from Building for Life 12 to Building for a Healthy Life

We recognise that many local planning authorities refer to BFL12 in their Local Plans and other policy documents. BFL12 and BHL share the same principles and structure. For this reason, we consider that local planning authorities will be able to switch from BFL12 to BHL providing that this is made clear at the start of pre-application discussions.

Local authorities may well choose to keep using the previous edition of BFL12 and this is a decision best made locally. If a local planning authority chooses to use BHL but a prospective planning applicant expresses reservations, we suggest using the previous edition of BFL12 as the next best alternative.

The differences between the editions (BFL12 and BHL) are set out and explained here.

Building for Life 12 (2018 edition)	Building for a Healthy Life (2020 edition)	The title change reflects the greater emphasis on healthier and more active lifestyles. NHS England and NHS Improve ment have chosen to use the tool as an effective way to reach a broader audience and share the findings of the Healthy New Towns Programme.
Integrating into the neighbourhood	Integrated neighbourhoods	Remarks
1. Connections	1. Natural connections	Greater emphasis and advice relating to connecting places.
2. Facilities and services	2. Walking, cycling and public transport	Greater emphasis on active travel (walking and cycling) to reflect good practice (such as 'Putting Health into Place'); reinforcing efforts by government and the NHS to improve the nation's health and wellbeing through the way in which new developments are planned and designed.
3. Public transport	3. Facilities and services	Moved from being the second to the third consideration; improved written and visual guidance.
4. Meeting local housing requirements	4. Homes for everyone	No change; improved written and visual guidance.

Creating a place	Distinctive Places	
5. Character	5. Making the most of what's there	Moved from being the sixth to the fifth consideration. A distinctive or locally inspired identity will only be created when the time is taken to understand the site and its wider context.
6. Working with the site and its context	6. A memorable character	This consideration has been switched from position 5 to position 6.
7. Creating well defined streets and spaces	7. Well defined streets and spaces	No change; improved written and visual guidance.
8. Easy to find your way around	8. Easy to find your way around.	No change; improved written and visual guidance.
Street and home	Streets for All	
9. Streets for all	9. Healthy streets	Improved written and visual guidance. Renaming this consideration further highlights the importance of street design and the impact this has on people's travel choices, levels of physical activity and public health. Good street design prioritises pedestrians and cyclists, encouraging active travel modes; in turn improving people's health and wellbeing. Additional prompts encourage street space to be shared more fairly between pedestrians, cyclists and motor vehicles allowing carriageway space to be redistributed; allowing protected cycle ways and wider pavements to be created. Pedestrians can then be offered more space to allow for social distancing whilst protected cycle ways will allow more people to cycle safely and confidently, ensuring that reduced public transport capacity (at times of social distancing) does not lead to greater private car usage (leading to further congestion, increased energy usage and reductions in air quality).
Street and home	Streets for All	
10. Car parking	10. Cycle and car parking	Emphasising the importance of thinking about cycle parking design as a key factor in encouraging people to choose to cycle rather than drive for shorter trips.

86 87

11. Public and private spaces	11. Green and blue infrastructure	Focuses on public space only with private space consideration being moved into the last consideration. The change in title to 'green and blue infrastructure' reflects forthcoming changes in legislation that place a greater emphasis on improved habitat creation and better water management.
12. External storage and amenity space	12. Back of pavement; front of home	The emphasis of this consideration has been directed to focus more on the space between the back of the pavement and the façade of individual buildings. These spaces are very often poorly resolved and have a significant impact on the quality of the street environment.
		Developments that perform well against this consideration will also resolve storage issues relating to waste and recycling containers.
		The consideration also highlights the need to consider providing some amenity space for apartments and maisonettes. This is in direct response to the challenges experienced by those living in apartments and maisonettes during lockdown, recognising the importance of being able to sit outside in the open air to people's mental wellbeing.

BHL is a free to use tool. We're keen to track which local planning authorities are using BHL.

If you're a local planning authority and you are using (or planning to use) BHL (or BfL12) please email info@designforhomes.org

References and further reading:

Alexander, C. (1978) A pattern language: towns, buildings and construction, OUP, USA.

Alcock, A., Bentley, I., McGlynn, S., Murrain, P. and Smith, G. (1985) Responsive Environments: a manual for designers, Routledge, London.

Arnstein, R. (1969) 'A Ladder of Citizen Participation', Journal of the American Planning Association, 35: 4, 216 — 224.

Birkbeck, D. and Kruczkowski, S. (2018) Building for Life 12: the sign of a good place to live.

Birkbeck, D. and Kruczkowski, S. Collins. P (ed.) (2015) Adeliladu am Oes 12 Cymru: Arwydd o le da I fyw ynddo, Welsh Government.

Burton Joyce Parish Council and Gedling Borough Council (2015), Burton Joyce Neighbourhood Plan 2017-2028.

CIRIA SuDS Manual (2015) Available at ciria.org.

Cowan, R. (2021) Essential Urban Design, RIBA Publishing.

Cullen, G. (1961) The concise townscape, Routledge, London.

Department of Transport and Department of Communities and Local Government (2007) Manual for Streets.

Department of Transport (2012) Local Transport Note: 1/12, London.

Department for Transport (2020) Gear Change: a bold new vision for cycling and walking, HMSO.

Department for Transport (2020) Cycle Infrastructure Design, LTN 1/20

Duany, A. et al, (2000) Suburban nation: the rise of sprawl and the decline of the American Dream, North Point Press, New York.

Duany, A., Plater-Zyberk, E. and Speck, J. (2001) Suburban Nation. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, New York.

Durham County Council (2018) Draft Durham Building for Life 12 Supplementary Planning Document.

English Partnerships and the Housing Corporation (2000) Urban Design Compendium 1, London.

English Partnerships and the Housing Corporation (2007) Urban Design Compendium 2, London.

English Partnerships (2006) Car parking: what works where, London.

Gehl, J. (1971) Life between buildings: using public space, Island Press, Washington D.C.

Gehl (2010) Cities for people, Island Press, Washington D.C.

Hall, G. (2015) Building for Life 12 Independent Assessment, Fernwood, Nottinghamshire, Urban Forward Limited.

Lynch, K (1960) The image of the city, MIT Press, Mass.

Maidstone Council (2018) Building for Life 12: Maidstone Edition, Design South East.

Meeda, B, Parkyn, N. and Walton, D.S. (2016) Graphics for urban design, ICE Publishing, London.

Miller, M. (2010) English Garden Cities, English Heritage, Swindon.

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (2019) National Design Guide.

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (2019) National Planning Policy Framework.

Montgomery, C. (2013) Happy city: transforming our lives through urban design, Penguin, London.

NHBC (2015) Avoiding rubbish design: providing bin storage on new housing developments, London.

NHS England and NHS Improvement (2019) Putting Health into Place.

Speck, J. (2013) Walkable City, North Point Press.

Speck, J. (2018) Walkable city rules, Island Press, London.

Public Health England (2017) Spatial Planning for Health: An evidence resource for planning and designing healthier places.

Public Health England (2020) Using the planning system to promote healthy weight environments:

guidance and supplementary planning document template for local authority public health and planning teams.

State of Nature Partnership (2019), State of Nature 2019.

Town and Country Planning Association (2013) Creating garden cities and suburbs today: a guide for councils, London.

Town and Country Planning Association (2015) Public health in planning: good practice guide, London.

Urban Design Group (2020) Highways Measures under the Covid 19 Crisis: Fast urban change – a how to guide, London.

Walker, P. (2017) Bike Nation, Penguin Random House, London.



David Birkbeck
Hons FRIBA

David is Chief Executive of Design for Homes, a social enterprise founded in 2000 to campaign for homes to be better designed and produced in greater volumes. David co-authored Building for Life 12 and is both the Housing Design Awards' director and a judge. An honorary fellow of RIBA, he has served as a design advisory board member at the Homes and Communities Agency, as an NHBC councillor and as 'ambassador' for Wood For Good, David sits on the Cambridge Quality Panel. Other work includes "Car Parking: What Works Where" and he had a hand in the 2009 HAPPI report recommendations to make developments designed for seniors at least as appealing as general market-sale homes.



Stefan Kruczkowski BA (Hons) DipTP (Dist) PhD

Stefan is an urban designer and co-authored the original version of Building for a Healthy Life - Building for Life 12 with David Birkbeck.
Stefan completed the first doctoral thesis on Building for Life 12 in 2018.

Stefan primarily offers face to face and remote urban design support to local planning authorities across England with over a decade of experience in development management and policy. Stefan also contributes to design review panels for Design:Midlands and Design:West and is a Design Council Built Environment Expert. Stefan regularly collaborates with Design for Homes delivering training and overseas study tours.



Phil Jones
BSc (Hons) CEng FCIHT FIHE MICE

Phil is a Chartered Engineer with over 35 years' experience in traffic engineering and transport planning. Phil specialises in achieving synergy between transport and urban design, with the aim of creating places and spaces that meet aesthetic, social and functional aims.

He founded Phil Jones Associates in 2003; the PJA group of companies now provides services in transport, engineering and placemaking and employs around 80 staff based in four UK offices and in Melbourne, Australia.



David SingletonBSc (Hons) DipLA MA CMLI

David set up DSA Environment
+ Design to focus on bringing
people and landscape together
to make places. He believes
this may be done through high
quality, well-managed blue-green
infrastructure.

His experience covers a wide range of landscape and urban design practice, from planning to detailed design, on projects as diverse as commercial and housing to minerals. He teaches at Nottingham Trent University and is a member of the Design:Midlands Design Review Panel.



Sue McGlynn BSc (Hons) DipUD MAUD

Sue is an independent urban design consultant with extensive experience of masterplanning and design coding for new settlements. Sue is well known as a presenter and is co-author of the seminal urban design book Responsive Environments: A manual for designers.

Sue contributes to design review panels for Design:West, Design: Midlands and is Design Council Built Environment Expert. Sue regularly delivers urban design training and recently contributed towards a nationwide programme for Homes England. Her contribution to urban design has been recognised by the Urban Design Group with a Lifetime Achievement Award.







Building for a Healthy Life is the latest edition of and new name for Building for Life 12.

The original 12 point structure and underlying principles within Building for Life 12 are at the heart of Building for a Healthy Life. Building for a Healthy Life is about how to assemble neighbourhoods and new settlements by thinking about and applying 12 key considerations. Designed to frame discussions between local authorities, developers, local communities and other stakeholders, Building for a Healthy Life will help you to create better places for people and nature.

Places in Uttlesford included in this design toolkit:

Thorpe Lea Close, Great Chesterford
Franklin Drive, Elsenham
Morris Dance Place, Thaxted
Constable Place, Saffron Walden
The Avenue, Saffron Walden
White Street, Great Dunmow
Audley End Station, Wendens Ambo
Takeley Primary School
Warwick Road, Little Canfield
Dairy Lane, Stansted Mountfitchet

Saffron Walden Market Square
Munson Mill Close, Wimbish
Forest Hall Park, Stansted
Mountfitchet
Oak Drive, Elsenham
Bell College Court, Saffron Walden
Raynhams, Saffron Walden
The Spike, Saffron Walden
Gold Street Mews, Saffron Walden
Mountfitchet Castle Street,
Stansted Mountfitchet

Endorsed by:







URBAN DESIGN GROUP

Birkbeck, D., Kruczkowski, S. with Jones, P., McGlynn, S. and Singleton, D.

ISBN: 978-1-5272-6432-8 June 2020

Design by

Building for a Healthy Life c/o Design for Homes The Old Forge Audley End Business Centre London Road Saffron Walden CB11 4JL

info@designforhomes.org