

## RA 4951 - Quality System - MRP Part M Subpart G

### Rationale

An effective Quality System (QS) is a vital element of the Military Continuing Airworthiness Management Organization (Mil CAMO) and underpins the Continuing Airworthiness of all the Air Systems identified in the Continuing Airworthiness Management Exposition (CAME). Regardless of who or where Subcontracted Airworthiness tasks are being conducted, the purview of the QS is to encompass all activities and relevant supporting organizations to ensure the Airworthiness management of its Air Systems is at the required standard. Unmonitored or unassured activity can lead to a loss of configuration, which could significantly increase the Risk to Life and hence reduce Air Safety. ► **This RA** ◀ provides for the establishment of an independent QS and ► **Mil CAMO** ◀ Quality Manager ► **(Mil CAMO QM)** ◀ which is essential Assurance activity for sustaining Airworthiness of Air Systems and ensuring Air Safety.

### Contents

#### Definitions Relevant to this RA

4951(1): Establishing a Quality System

4951(2): Functions of the Quality System

4951(3): Retention of Quality System Records

### Definitions

#### Definitions Relevant to this RA

1. ► ◀

### Regulation

#### 4951(1)

#### Establishing a Quality System

4951(1) The Military Continuing Airworthiness Manager (Mil CAM) **shall** establish an independent QS and designate a ► **Mil CAMO** ◀ QM to monitor compliance with, and the adequacy of, procedures required to ensure Airworthy Air Systems. Compliance monitoring **shall** include a feedback system to the Delivery Duty Holder (DDH) or Accountable Manager (Military Flying) (AM(MF)) to ensure corrective action ► **is completed** ◀ as necessary.

### Acceptable Means of Compliance

#### 4951(1)

#### Establishing a Quality System

2. ► **The Mil CAM should** appoint a Mil CAMO QM. The Mil CAMO QM **should**:
  - a. Be able to demonstrate appropriate direct access to the DDH or AM(MF) on matters concerning the QS, with that relationship reflected in the CAME.
  - b. Highlight non-compliances and poor standards to the Mil CAM.
  - c. Be responsible for follow up or closure of any QS findings.
  - d. Have successfully completed all relevant Air Safety training courses<sup>1</sup>.
  - e. Have a thorough knowledge of the organization's CAME.
  - f. Where they are non-Crown Servants, have their appointment endorsed by the MAA through the submission and approval of a MAA MAOS / CAMO Form 4<sup>2</sup>. ◀
3. The scope of the QS **should** cover all Continuing Airworthiness activities including those Subcontracted.
4. Procedures **should** be subject to periodic review. It is the responsibility of all personnel to report any difficulties with the procedures via their organization's internal feedback mechanisms.

<sup>1</sup> ► Refer to RA 1440 – Air Safety Training.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to the MAOS / CAMO Form 4 – Details of Nominated Personnel. ◀

### Acceptable Means of Compliance 4951(1)

5. ▶◀
6. The feedback part of the system **should** address who is required to rectify any non-compliance in each particular case and the procedure to be followed if rectification is not completed within appropriate timescales; the feedback system **should** be directed to the DDH or AM(MF) through the Mil CAM.
7. The independent Quality Audit reports **should** be sent to the relevant department for rectification action giving target ▶ **deadline** ◀ dates. These dates **should** have been previously discussed with the department. The relevant department is required to rectify findings and inform the ▶ **Mil CAMO** ◀ QM or the Quality auditor of such rectification.
8. The Mil CAM **should** hold regular meetings with ▶ **corrective action plan owners** ◀ to check progress on rectification. Such meetings may be delegated on a routine basis to the ▶ **Mil CAMO** ◀ QM, subject to ▶ **the Mil CAM meeting at least twice per year with the personnel involved to review the overall performance.**
9. ▶◀

### Guidance Material 4951(1)

#### Establishing a Quality System

10. The Mil CAMO QS may be combined with the QS of other Service or MAA-approved organizations if appropriate. Such arrangements are to be clearly articulated in the CAME.
11. The scope of the Mil CAMO QS will cover all Maintenance activity on Air Systems that the Mil CAMO is responsible for, both on and off platform, including Contracted Depth Maintenance.
12. The term independence in respect of this RA refers to the need of those undertaking Quality activity to be independent from the task rather than from the Mil CAMO. ▶◀
13. ▶ **The MAA MAOS / CAMO Form 4 can be found on the MAA Website<sup>3</sup>.** ◀

### Regulation 4951(2)

#### Functions of the Quality System

- 4951(2) The QS **shall** monitor all Mil CAMO activities. It **shall** include the following functions, as a minimum:
- a. Assurance of personnel Competence.
  - b. Assurance of all CAMO tasks, processes, ▶ **orders** ◀ and procedures.
  - c. Assurance of CAME compliance with Regulation.
  - d. Assurance of Alternative Acceptable Means of Compliance, Waivers and Exemption (AWE) submissions and subsequent AWE management.
  - e. Assurance of Maintenance activity conducted by Maintenance organizations is adequate for maintaining the Continuing Airworthiness of their Air Systems.
  - f. Assurance of all Contracted Maintenance carried out in accordance with (iaw) the Air System Document Set (ADS).
  - g. Assurance of continued compliance with the requirements of MAA Approval of the Mil CAMO.

<sup>3</sup> ▶ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/regulatory-article-ra-4806-personnel-requirements-mrp-145a30>. ◀

**Regulation  
4951(2)**

h. Assurance of all Mil CAMO activities being performed iaw RA 4900 - 4974 (MRP Part M).

**Acceptable  
Means of  
Compliance  
4951(2)**

**Functions of the Quality System**

14. The independent Quality Audit **should**:
  - a. **▶ Conduct Verification ◀** and **▶ Validation of all ◀** procedures and changes to the procedures within the CAME.
  - b. Use product sampling and sample checks.
  - c. Reach across applicable organizations, including Maintenance organization(s) (Forward and Depth (or Line and Base)), Delivery Team(s) (DT) and all Mil CAMO activity.
  - d. Ensure that all aspects of compliance are checked annually, including all the Subcontracted activities<sup>4</sup>. **▶ This ◀** may be carried out as a complete single exercise or subdivided over the annual period iaw a scheduled plan.
15. Where findings have been identified, the particular procedure **should** be rechecked until the findings have been rectified, after which the independent Audit procedure may revert back to the annual interval for that particular procedure. Provided that there are no Safety related findings, the Audit time periods specified may be increased by **▶ the Mil CAM but this should not exceed ◀** 100% (ie to a 2 year cycle) **▶ ◀**.
16. Where the organization has more than one location approved, the QS **should** describe how these are integrated into the system and include a plan to Audit each location every year.
17. A report **should** be raised each time an Audit is carried out describing what was checked and the resulting findings against applicable requirements, procedures and products.
18. The independence of the Audit **should** be established by always ensuring that Audits are carried out by personnel not **▶ involved with ◀** the function, procedure or products being checked.
19. An organization **should** establish a Quality plan to show when and how often the Mil CAMO activities will be Audited.
20. All non-conformities **▶ and ◀** non-compliances **▶ ◀ should** be investigated and rectified using a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) approach<sup>5</sup>, tool, or techniques<sup>5</sup>. Instructions for the use of RCA **should** be detailed in Part 2 of the CAME.

**Guidance  
Material  
4951(2)**

**Functions of the Quality System**

21. The independent Audit represents an objective overview of all Mil CAMO related activities. It is intended to complement the Military Airworthiness Review, to ensure that all Air Systems managed by the organization remain Airworthy. The independent auditor is not to be directly involved with the task being audited, though may be a part of the organization.
22. The independent Audit does not require each procedure to be checked against each Air System when it can be shown that the particular procedure is common to more than one Air System and the procedure has been checked every year without resultant findings.
23. In regards to Contracted Maintenance it may not be necessary for the Mil CAMO QM to Audit the supply chain through multiple levels of Subcontractors. Instead the Mil CAMO QM is to **▶ ◀ monitor ▶ the ◀** directly Contracted organization and **▶ receive Assurance ◀** that Subcontractors are being appropriately monitored if

<sup>4</sup> Refer to RA 4956 – Military Continuing Airworthiness Management Organization Tasks Performed by Other Organizations - MRP Part M Subpart G.

<sup>5</sup> **▶ Further information may be found in Civil Aviation Authority - CAP1760 – Effective Problem Solving and Root Cause Identification Stage 6, refers. Available at: [http://publicapps.caa.co.uk/docs/33/CAP1760 Root Cause Identification Paper \(Issue 02 April 19\).pdf](http://publicapps.caa.co.uk/docs/33/CAP1760%20Root%20Cause%20Identification%20Paper%20(Issue%20April%2019).pdf) ◀**

**Guidance  
Material  
4951(2)**

the Contracted organization's own QS extends to cover their activity and ► the Mil CAM is ◀ able to monitor such Audit reports.

24. The Mil CAMO QM is to be mindful of the ► ◀ arrangements for access to ► Contracted and Subcontracted ◀ organizations. Where access for the Mil CAMO is not clearly stipulated in the contract, the Mil CAMO QM ought to pursue the contracting DT to amend the contract accordingly. The Mil CAMO QM may utilize a wide range of sources to monitor such organizations; for example where a DT utilizes a Government Quality Assurance Organization Contract Monitoring Team to ► conduct Assurance of ◀ Contracted Maintenance, evidence from such activity may be acceptable for Assurance purposes. In the event of disputed access to a Contracted organization, the ► ◀ Government Quality Assurance Representative (GQAR) ► may ◀ undertake Assurance activity, or alternatively may apply for GQAR status; further information may be found on the Knowledge in Defence (KiD)<sup>6</sup> website typing 'Managing Quality' in the search bar.

**Regulation  
4951(3)**

**Retention of Quality System Records**

4951(3) The records of these activities **shall** be stored for at least two years ► ◀.

**Acceptable  
Means of  
Compliance  
4951(3)**

**Retention of Quality System Records**

25. ► Mil CAMO Quality System Records **should** be categorized as Continuing Airworthiness Records<sup>7</sup> and retained for at least two years. ◀

**Guidance  
Material  
4951(3)**

**Retention of Quality System Records**

26. ► RA 4964(2)<sup>7</sup> provides additional details on record keeping requirements. ◀

<sup>6</sup> Refer to Knowledge in Defence at website - ► <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/knowledge-in-defence-kid>. ◀

<sup>7</sup> ► Refer to RA 4964(2): Continuing Airworthiness Records. ◀