Jones, Michael

From:	info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk
Sent:	26 January 2023 12:34
То:	'Tanner, Matt'
Cc:	Jones, Michael
Subject:	RE: Whitehouse Field - Schedule 5 Notification and TVBC consultation

Matt,

What could Test Valley Borough Council possibly add to this ? You have seen the numerous emails & letters from the LPA stating that they would like the works completed as soon as possible to avoid further enforcement action.

You have seen the planning inspectorates previous decisions and notes in relation to the planning position of the site which confirm unequivocally the position. Perhaps if you could be transparent and share the questions you have asked the LPA we could assist you in answering them ?

This has been delayed so far for over 5 years !! My concern is that the EA have now adopted an approach which seems to be "lets just delay the job as long as we can",

How do we know that we will agree the extension once again with you and then on the 17th Feb you will either delay us again and ask for another extension or simply say NO its not recovery, sadly at this stage I have grave concerns over the motives of the EA which I do hope that I am proven wrong over. At some point we must glean a positive and level headed outcome and if this means it must come from the inspectorate then that is what we must do.

I quote the last planning inspectorates comments in relation to our environmental permit application and whether the threshold of recovery was met.

13. "The EA accept that there is an obligation on the appellant to complete the works to fulfil planning permission ref: TVN6179/8, which the LPA are evidently keen to see completed. It was established at the Hearing that the EA's only concern relates to the level of waste that is needed to complete the works.

16. "The EA stated at the Hearing that if a robust calculation for the amount of waste required to complete the necessary works was put before them, <u>they would very likely issue a recovery permit</u>, as they accept there is an obligation to undertake the works. However, the amount of waste required in this case remains somewhat unclear from the evidence before me. The LPA are of the view that it is in the region of 16,000 cubic metres.

Please read the above carefully because this was given in evidence on oath by the EA & I cannot see where there can be any clarification needed, in fact The only thing required was an accurate plan of the works which the EA now have with this submission yet still we have been waiting nearly 2 years.

Kind regards,

Simon Nelson

