

Jones, Michael

From: Tanner, Matt <Matthew.Tanner@environment-agency.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 October 2022 12:02
To: info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk; Jones, Michael
Subject: RE: Whitehouse Field - Additional Information Required

Morning Simon and Michael,

Apologies for not updating sooner. We have been advised to escalate this to our Policy team, who are involved in both the technical and legal aspects of our guidance. They were not available for comment this week, but we are hoping to arrange a meeting next week to discuss your application specifically. Whether or not conclusions have been made, I will update you by the end of next week.

Again, apologies for the delays in response and determination.

Kind regards,

Matt Tanner BSc (Hons) MSc

Senior Permitting Officer (Waste Deposit Team)

Environment Agency | Richard Fairclough House, Latchford, Warrington WA4 1HT

Matthew.Tanner@Environment-Agency.gov.uk

Mobile: 07876 130613

Pronouns: [he/him \(why is this here?\)](#)

Typical hours : Monday – Friday, 7am – 3pm



From: info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk <info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk>
Sent: 07 October 2022 07:59
To: Tanner, Matt <Matthew.Tanner@environment-agency.gov.uk>; 'Jones, Michael' <Michael.Jones2@tetrattech.com>
Subject: RE: Whitehouse Field - Additional Information Required
Importance: High

Matt,

Good morning, I did leave you a voicemail yesterday to which I had no reply, so presuming you are busy thought I would follow up with a very quick email.

Please could you provide me with an update further to your meeting with your senior colleague on Wednesday afternoon 5th October at which you confirmed that you would be discussing our application for recovery.

Thank you for your help so far it is greatly appreciated, however, I cannot allow this to continue infinitely given the length of time that this has continued and need to draw a conclusion urgently one way or the other.

To recap. Further to all previous correspondence and dialogue with yourself, I see no other issues now with our application other than you potentially needing sight of the evidence of the obligation that the EA unequivocally