Jones, Michael

From: info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk

Sent: 04 March 2023 23:33

To: 'Tanner, Matt'
Cc: Jones, Michael

Subject: FW: Whitehouse field

Importance: High

Matt,

Further to the previous correspondence received to yourself from the LPA as part of their statutory consultee response. It is clear that their response letter does confirm the obligation further and outlines the position if the scheme is not completed in line with the approval.

Please also see below further email and confirmation from the head of planning.

This once again confirms that there is an obligation to complete the works in line with the approval, inspectorates decision and enforcement plan. This also demonstrates that as part of this obligation we are using the minimum amount of waste needed under the planning approval. This being that the enforcement plan is the same as the plan within our permit application and WRP.

To that note there can be no doubt that there is a clear obligation to do the works and that we are using the minimum amount of waste necessary under the approved planning permission to complete the scheme.

I would be grateful if you could now confirm that this is the case and that you are in agreement and can agree that the project does meet recovery and in turn issue us with an environmental permit so that we can complete the project.

Kind regards,

Simon Nelson



Phone: 01264 726121 or 01264 357627

Mobile: 07920 100 120

Email: info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk

Website: www.nelsonplanthire.co.uk

Confidentiality Note:

This message is intended for the named person above and may be confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure.

If it has reached you by mistake please contact the sender on 01264 726121 and delete the message immediately.

From: Finch, Simon <SFinch@testvalley.gov.uk>

Sent: Friday, March 3, 2023 5:35 PM **To:** info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk

Cc: James, Andrew <AnJames@testvalley.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Whitehouse field

Dear Mr Nelson,

Apologies for not coming back sooner. Please note that I am leave the first half of next week.

We have reviewed the situation again in light of recent correspondence and the email from Paul Jackson in 2018.

The reason we advised the Environment Agency that you were intending to proceed with a standalone 5 hole golf course was because that was set out in your pre-application enquiry which Emma Jones responded to. In your email to her of 22nd September you twice refer to the development being standalone. This was reiterated a few weeks ago when the Chief Executive, Andrew Ferrier, and I met with you.

Our view is that building and opening a standalone 5 hole course would not be in line with the 1997 permission which authorises only an extension of the neighbouring course.

However, it is still our opinion that you can construct an extension to the golf course in accordance with the 1997 approval, including its conditions, and meeting the requirements of the enforcement notice. It would be somewhat unusual to do this, given that there is no understanding or agreement in place for the additional 5 holes to be used by the existing golf course so the extra holes would not be used, but appreciate that is a matter for you.

This being the case it seems to us that the letter to the EA did reflect the appropriate planning position regarding the land. Our letter specifically says that we would be prepared to review the position in light of any information provided by you which we have done.

I trust this is helpful and we would be happy to discuss further if useful.

Regards,

Simon Finch

Head of Planning & Building Planning & Building

Test Valley Borough Council Beech Hurst Weyhill Road Andover SP10 3AJ 01264 368186



