

## Jones, Michael

---

**From:** info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk  
**Sent:** 01 December 2022 10:45  
**To:** 'Tanner, Matt'  
**Cc:** Jones, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: Whitehouse Field - Schedule 5 Notification and TVBC consultation

**Importance:** High

Matt,

Your email leaves me somewhat bewildered.

Firstly it is unequivocal and clear that there is an obligation to carry out the works, this was established at the last planning inspectorates hearing which has also been recorded as a legal and binding document, the EA role in this was clear and concise and the answers they gave were helpful and played a large part in the inspectorates decision. We also have a recording of this hearing and the answers that were given to the inspectorate on oath.

It appears that you now attempt to re-write history and unravel the past in an attempt to delay these works even further, this is pre-meditated, spiteful and typical of the EA and its officers who are some of the most obstructive people that I have ever met, the EA are hell bent on finding an excuse to stop these works and come hell or high water have delayed this process beyond anything which can be determined as unreasonable.

Concluding the matter.

We have a planning permission which is clear and has been further determined and made clear in the court by the planning inspectorate. Regardless of whether any planning authority wish it to exist or not, the fact remains it is our legal right in planning terms to construct the scheme for which we have a full planning approval, the EA cannot take this away nor can the planners. The planners have made it clear numerous times what will happen if we do not complete the scheme in line with the planning approval and as quickly as we possibly can. Your further dialogue with the planners is a smokescreen for your true spiteful motivation.

The EA are the regulatory body for all waste matters and have no influence on planning matters so that door is closed. The remit for the EA is to assess and determine an application for a standard rules permit, you have asked us over 3 applications for information which is over and above what you require. In relation to the last application which you are determining it has been determined that there is an obligation to complete the works and that the works are recovery yet still you kick the can down the road, dialogue with your predecessor confirmed that the EA's systems are in his words de'funkt/broken, you ignore emails, phone calls and seem to live in a world where time stands still, I am sure this is all part of the game for you guys but this isn't fair on businesses or landowners trying to complete projects.

Our stance is clear, by the 9<sup>th</sup> of December my legal advice tells me that we can start the process of applying to the planning inspector to determine this very straight forward issue once and for all, we have no choice due to your unreasonable actions and we will seek costs in this regard to follow this process.

I cant be clearer or fairer with you, it's a shame you cant or wont reciprocate.

Regards,

Simon Nelson