

## Jones, Michael

---

**From:** Tanner, Matt <Matthew.Tanner@environment-agency.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 29 September 2022 08:52  
**To:** Jones, Michael; info@nelsonplanthire.co.uk  
**Subject:** RE: Whitehouse Field - Additional Information Required

Morning Both,

Thank you for your patience while we reviewed your response. On discussing with the Recovery Lead, we have some questions to follow up. Apologies if this goes over any previous points - Please provide as much detail as possible to help us understand.

- The WRP makes several references to an obligation as specified in Condition 6 of planning permission TVN.6179/8. This condition only requires the holder of the permission to agree plans with the local authority and to stick to those agreed plans. This is not a specific obligation. Please confirm in a written response either via an email or a revised WRP that Condition 6 of the cited planning permission does not constitute an obligation.
- We know material was brought to site by the enforcement action taken by TVBC. It is not clear within the WRP what material has been placed already at site and whether the volume of material stated is required under this recovery permit. We need to know the total volume of material brought to site already and how this fits in with what is needed in total to complete the works as described. The plan must take into consideration both of these facts.
- The waste recovery plan does not cover in any detail the presence of existing waste material on site and whether this material is sufficient on its own to complete the works. It's not clear whether this material was placed permanently or whether it has been stockpiled for future use. We therefore cannot consider that the minimum amount of waste will be used to complete the scheme.
- If waste has been tipped without authorisation we need you to consider what additional material is required and to demonstrate the scheme is recovery whether you would go ahead with non-waste if waste was not available. We cannot continue the determination until you provide us with clearer information on existing waste on site and how this relates to permissions (if any) in place at the time of placement. Any revision to the WRP must consider all waste brought to site and not just the remaining volume.
- It's not really clear why the operator is required to complete these bunds and why given the permission has been in place since 1997 have the council not taken specific enforcement action against the land owner for not completing the scheme as the golf course has been operating since that time. The enforcement action taken by TVBC and subsequent appeal was for other matters, not just the non-completion of the bund. Why in your view is there still an obligation /liability on the operator if the golf course has been operating all this time without visual screening bunds being in place.

If anything is unclear, please contact me.

Kind regards,

**Matt Tanner BSc (Hons) MSc**

Senior Permitting Officer (Waste Deposit Team)

**Environment Agency** | Richard Fairclough House, Latchford, Warrington WA4 1HT

[Matthew.Tanner@Environment-Agency.gov.uk](mailto:Matthew.Tanner@Environment-Agency.gov.uk)

Mobile: 07876 130613

**Pronouns: he/him ([why is this here?](#))**

Typical hours : Monday – Friday, 7am – 3pm