



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL  
PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL  
PROPERTY)**

**Case reference** : **HS/LON/00BJ/F77/2023/0214**

**Property** : **1a Banbury Street, London, SW11 3EJ**

**Applicant** : **Mr Edward Geary**

**Respondent** : **Northumberland & Durham Property Trust Ltd**

**Type of application** : **Referral of a re-registration of Fair Rent under the Rent Act 1977.**

**Tribunal** : **Mr Charles Norman FRICS  
Valuer Chairman  
Ms Marina Krisco FRICS**

**Date of Decision** : **11 September 2023**

**Date of Reasons** : **7 October 2023**

---

**REASONS**

---

## **Background**

1. On 27 April 2023, the landlord sent an RR1 application for re-registration of a fair rent to the Rent Officer. The landlord sought a rent of £232.80 per week per week and no services were said to be provided. The previous rent was registered by the Rent Officer on 6 June 2019, effective from 19 July 2019 at £194.00 per week.
2. On 6 June 2019 28 December 2022, the Rent Officer registered a Fair Rent of £235.50 per week, with effect from the same date.
3. By a letter dated 11 June 2023 the tenant objected to the registration. The grounds set out personal circumstances, which it is unnecessary to describe in detail, as personal circumstances must be disregarded (see below).
4. On 19 July 2023, the Tribunal issued directions to the parties requiring them to produce any evidence on which they wished to rely in support of their respective cases, by using a Reply Form. Paragraph E directed the parties to request an inspection if they so wished, which neither did. The matter was set down for a determination on the papers unless either party requested a hearing, which neither did. The tenant completed a Reply Form. The landlord did not respond to the appeal.
5. On 11 September 2023, the Tribunal determined, on the papers, the fair rent at £250.50 per week. Subsequently, the landlord requested Reasons.

## **The Law (see Appendix)**

6. When determining a fair rent the Tribunal, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances (other than personal circumstances) including the age, location and state of repair of the property. Section 70 is set out in the Appendix below.
7. *In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Tribunal* (1995) 28 HLR 107 and *Curtis v London Rent Assessment Tribunal* [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

## **The Tenant's Reply**

8. The tenant stated that the property comprised a living room, kitchen, 2 bedrooms and a bathroom. The property included central heating and double glazing. White goods and carpets/curtains were provided by the tenants. The tenant installed the bathroom. The tenant did not refer to any comparables.

## **The Property**

9. From Google Street View, the Rent Register and the tenant's Reply Form, the Tribunal finds that this is a flat on ground and first floors in a converted end of terrace house in Battersea.

## **Valuation**

10. The Tribunal must firstly determine the market rent for a property of this size, in this location and in its current condition. It must also disregard the effect of improvements carried out by the tenant. The personal circumstances of either party must also be disregarded, as required by section 70(1) of the Rent Act 1977, which is set out in the Appendix below.
11. Using its own general knowledge of the Greater London property market, the Tribunal found that the market rent for a property of this size and in this location, in good condition, with the usual white goods, carpets and decorated to a good condition would be £1,900 per month, or £438.50 per week. The Tribunal therefore agreed with the Rent Officer who had taken their starting point rent at £438 per week.
12. However, the property is not in the condition that would be necessary for the landlord to obtain such a rental. All white goods, carpets and curtains are the property of the tenant. The Tribunal made a 5% adjustment for this. Further the tenant installed the bathroom, and the Tribunal made a 10% downward adjustment to reflect this. Taking these factors into account, the Tribunal therefore made a deduction of 15% £285 per month (£65.77 per week) from the market rent of £1,900 per month of leaving £1,615 per month (£372.72 per week) as an adjusted rent.
13. The Tribunal found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality of Greater London having taken Judicial Notice of long housing association and local authority waiting lists in Greater London. It therefore made a deduction of 20% £323 per month (£74.54 per week) from the adjusted market rent of £1,615 per month to reflect this element. This left an adjusted rent of £1,292 per month, which equates to £298.16 per week.

14. The Tribunal is then required to apply the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999. The calculation was included on the decision sheet and produced a maximum fair rent of £250.50 per week.
15. The Tribunal must register the lower of the adjusted market rent or Maximum Fair Rent as the fair rent for the property. In this instance the Maximum Fair Rent produces the lower figure, and the Tribunal therefore registered the rent of £250.50 per week for this property, with effect from 11 September 2023, being the date of the Tribunal's decision.

**Name:** Mr Charles Norman FRICS    **Date:** 7 October 2023

### ANNEX - RIGHTS OF APPEAL

- The Tribunal is required to set out rights of appeal against its decisions by virtue of the rule 36 (2)(c) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal)(Property Chamber) Rules 2013 and these are set out below.
- If a party wishes to appeal against this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
- The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the Regional office within 28 days after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.
- If the application is not made within the 28-day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed despite not being within the time limit.
- The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property, and the case number), state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

**Appendix**

**Rent Act 1977**

Section 70 Determination of fair rent.

(1) In determining, for the purposes of this Part of this Act, what rent is or would be a fair rent under a regulated tenancy of a dwelling-house, regard shall be had to all the circumstances (other than personal circumstances) and in particular to—

(a) the age, character, locality, and state of repair of the dwelling-house, . . . F1

(b) if any furniture is provided for use under the tenancy, the quantity, quality and condition of the furniture [F2, and]

[F2(c) any premium, or sum in the nature of a premium, which has been or may be lawfully required or received on the grant, renewal, continuance or assignment of the tenancy.]

(2) For the purposes of the determination it shall be assumed that the number of persons seeking to become tenants of similar dwelling-houses in the locality on the terms (other than those relating to rent) of the regulated tenancy is not substantially greater than the number of such dwelling-houses in the locality which are available for letting on such terms.

(3) There shall be disregarded—

(a) any disrepair or other defect attributable to a failure by the tenant under the regulated tenancy or any predecessor in title of his to comply with any terms thereof;

(b) any improvement carried out, otherwise than in pursuance of the terms of the tenancy, by the tenant under the regulated tenancy or any predecessor in title of his;

(c)(d). . . . . F3

(e) if any furniture is provided for use under the regulated tenancy, any improvement to the furniture by the tenant under the regulated tenancy or any predecessor in title of his or, as the case may be, any deterioration in the condition of the furniture due to any ill-treatment by the tenant, any person residing or lodging with him, or any sub-tenant of his.

F4[(3A) In any case where under Part I of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 the landlord or a superior landlord is liable to pay council tax in respect of a hereditament (“the relevant hereditament”) of which the dwelling-house forms part, regard shall also be had to the amount of council tax which, as at the date on which the application to the rent officer was made, was set by the billing authority—

(a)for the financial year in which that application was made, and

(b)for the category of dwellings within which the relevant hereditament fell on that date,

but any discount or other reduction affecting the amount of council tax payable shall be disregarded.

(3B)In subsection (3A) above—

(a)“hereditament” means a dwelling within the meaning of Part I of the Local Government Finance Act 1992,

(b)“billing authority” has the same meaning as in that Part of that Act, and

(c)“category of dwellings” has the same meaning as in section 30(1) and (2) of that Act.]

(4)In this section “improvement” includes the replacement of any fixture or fitting.

[F5(4A)In this section “premium” has the same meaning as in Part IX of this Act, and “sum in the nature of a premium” means—

(a)any such loan as is mentioned in section 119 or 120 of this Act,

(b)any such excess over the reasonable price of furniture as is mentioned in section 123 of this Act, and

(c)any such advance payment of rent as is mentioned in section 126 of this Act.]

(5).....