

## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant:	Miss G Smith
Respondent:	C Whitley and J Whitley trading as The Plassey Hair Studio
Before: Date:	Employment Judge R L Brace 11 August 2023

## JUDGMENT

In the absence of an ET3 response from the respondents, and there being sufficient material before me to enable a proper determination to be made, I uphold the following claim:

The Claimant was dismissed by reason of redundancy by the Respondents, Clare Whitley and Julian Whitley t/a The Plassey Hair Studio on 23 September 2022. She is therefore entitled to a statutory redundancy payment from the Respondents, calculated at 14 years i.e. £4,037.11.

I act in accordance with my powers under Rule 21 of the Employment Tribunal's Rules of Procedure 2013.

## REASONS

- 1. The claim was served on the respondents on the trading address given for the First Respondent and at the address provided by the Claimant for the Second Respondent.
- 2. A response was due by 20 April 2023 but none was received.
- 3. An Employment Judge is required by rule 21 of the Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013 to decide on the available material whether a determination can be made and , if so, obliged to issue a judgment which may determine liability only or liability and remedy.

- 4. I have in the claim form sufficient to enable me to find the claim for a redundancy payment proved on a balance of probability against the respondents and the amount claimed in particular the Confirmation of Entitlements document provided to the Claimant by the Respondent.
- 5. The Respondent may submit an application for reconsideration and a draft response within 14 days of the date upon which this judgment is sent to the parties.

Employment Judge R Brace Dated: 11 August 2023

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON 22 August 2023

FOR THE SECRETARY OF EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS Mr N Roche